Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

# Self-assembled naphthalimide derivatives as efficient and low-cost electron extraction layer for n-i-p perovskite solar cells

Lingchang Li,<sup>a</sup> Yongzhen Wu,<sup>a,\*</sup> Erpeng Li,<sup>a</sup> Chao Shen,<sup>a</sup> Hao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojia Xu,<sup>a</sup> Gao Wu,<sup>b</sup> Molang Cai,<sup>b</sup> Wei-Hong Zhu <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Functional Materials Chemistry, Feringa Nobel Prize Scientist Joint Research Centre, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science & Technology, Shanghai 200237 (China). E-mail: <u>wu.yongzhen@ecust.edu.cn</u>

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Alternate Electrical Power System with Renewable Energy Sources, Beijing Key Laboratory of Novel Thin-Film Solar Cells, Beijing Key Laboratory of Energy Safety and Clean Utilization, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, P. R. China

## **Supplementary Information**

Co	ontents	S1
1.	Materials and reagents	S2
2.	Synthetic scheme	S2
3.	Synthesis	S3
4.	Perovskite solar cells fabrication	S6
5.	Characterization and measurements	S7
6.	Experimental data	S8
7.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR, <sup>13</sup> C-NMR, and HRMS of synthesized compounds	S18

## 1. Materials and reagents

All chemicals and solvents used for synthesis are of reagent grade and were obtained from J&K Scientific, Adamas Reagent Co Ltd and so on. Other materials and solvents used for perovskite solar cell fabrication were purchased from TCI and Sigma-Aldrich.

## 2. Synthetic scheme



Figure S1. Synthetic route to compound PN-P.



Figure S2. Synthetic route to compound TN-P.



Figure S3. Synthetic route to compound NDI-P.

#### 3. Synthesis

Synthesis of intermediate 2: 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride (compound 1, 2.0 g, 7.22 mmol) was dissolved in 2-methoxyethanol (25 ml), and piperidine (0.95 ml, 9.64 mmol) was added subsequently. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to reflux at 125 °C for 12 h under nitrogen. After cooling to room temperature, ice water (60 ml) was added into the mixture. The precipitates was obtained using buchner funnel to filter the mixture and then purified by crystallization from ethanol. This yield **2** as a brown solid (1.2 g, 60%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 8.58-8.56 (m, 1H), 8.51-8.48 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.45-8.42 (m, 1H), 7.73-7.68 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.31-3.28 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 4H), 1.93-1.87 (m, 4H), 1.79-1.73 (m, 2H).

Synthesis of intermediate 3: Compound 2 (370 mg, 1.32 mmol),  $\beta$ -alanine *tert*butyl ester hydrochloride (292 mg, 1.61 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 183 mg, 1.50 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (120 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to reflux at 80 °C for 20 h under argon. After that, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified over silica gel using dichloromethane/petroleum ether (v/v, 2:1) as the eluent to yield **3** as a yellow product (300 mg. 52%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 8.58-8.55 (m, 1H), 8.51-8.48 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.40-8.37 (m, 1H), 7.70-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.16 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.46-4.41 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.25-3.21 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4H), 2.70-2.65 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.92-1.86 (m, 4H), 1.74-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.42 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of PN-P: Compound 3 (100 mg, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (10 ml), then trifluoroacetic acid (0.57 ml, 7.65 mmol) was added dropwise into the solution. After stirred overnight at room temperature, the reaction mixture was titrated to pH  $\approx$  5 using 1.5 M aqueous solution of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and extracted in separating funnel with dichloromethane (50 ml). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified over silica gel using dichloromethane/methanol (v/v, 20:1) as the eluent. This gave **PN-P** as a bright yellow solid (75 mg, 85%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 8.59-8.57 (m, 1H), 8.52-8.49 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.41-8.39 (m, 1H), 7.70-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.17 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52-4.48 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.26-3.22 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 2.85-2.81 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.92-1.86 (m, 4H), 1.76-1.72 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 175.14, 164.52, 164.02, 157.60, 132.98, 131.28, 130.94, 130.03, 126.27, 125.38, 122.83, 115.51, 114.76, 54.55, 35.68, 32.45, 26.22, 24.34. HRMS: *m/z*; [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 353.1501; found: 353.1499.

Synthesis of intermediate 4: 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride (compound 1, 1.0 g, 3.61 mmol),  $\beta$ -alanine *tert*-butyl ester hydrochloride (785 mg, 4.32 mmol) and DMAP (504 mg, 4.12 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (100 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to reflux at 80 °C for 20 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent in the mixture was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (v/v, 1:4) as the eluent, yielding **4** as a white spumescent solid (1.01g, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 8.67-8.65 (m, 1H), 8.59-8.57 (m, 1H), 8.43-8.41 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.05-8.03 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.87-7.83 (m, 1H), 4.46-4.43 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.70-2.66 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of intermediate 5: Compound 4 (304 mg, 0.76 mmol), 4-

trifluoromethylphenylboronic acid (192 mg, 1.01 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (116 mg, 0.10 mmol) and 2 M aqueous solution of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (4 ml, 8.00 mmol) were added into tetrahydrofuran (30 ml). Then the closed system was filled with nitrogen immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to reflux at 85 °C for 12 h before being allowed to cool to room temperature. After that, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the mixture was dried in reduced pressure. Then organics was extracted in separating funnel using dichloromethane (100 ml). The obtained crude product was further purified over silica gel using dichloromethane/petroleum ether (v/v, 1:4) as the eluent to yield a white solid (290 mg, 83.7%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 8.68-8.66 (m, 2H), 8.18-8.16 (m, 1H), 7.84-7.82 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.75-7.71 (m, 2H), 7.65-7.63 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.51-4.47(t, *J* = 7,6 Hz, 2H), 2.73-2.69 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of TN-P: Compound 5 (152 mg, 0.32 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (6 ml), dropping trifluoroacetic acid (1 ml, 13.46 mmol) into the mixture and sealing the system. After stirred overnight at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified over silica gel using dichloromethane /methanol (v/v, 10:1) as the eluent. This gave TN-P as a white solid (117 mg, 89%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 12.40 (br.s, 1H), 8.56-8.54 (m, 2H), 8.21-8.19 (m, 1H), 7.99-7.87 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.88-7.86 (m, 2H), 7.81-7.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.31-4.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.64-2.60 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz, ppm):  $\delta$  = 172.43, 163.23, 163.00, 144.32, 142.33, 131.86, 130.88, 130.69, 130.26, 129.05, 128.20, 127.83, 125.65, 125.62, 122.84, 122.47, 121.95, 35.77, 32,13. HRMS: *m/z*; [M-H]<sup>-</sup>; calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>: 412.0797; found: 412.0793.

Synthesis of NDI-P: 1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic acid anhydride (compound 6, 1.55 g, 5.81 mmol),  $\beta$ -alanine (1.29 g, 14.41 mmol) were added into acetic acid (40 ml). The reaction mixture was then stirred and heated at 110°C for 12 h under nitrogen. After the reaction being allowed to cool to room temperature,

ice water (50 ml) was added into the mixture. The mixture was filtered and the yellow colored precipitates were obtained to be recrystallized by ethanol. This yield **NDI-P** as a faint yellow solid (2.1 g, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz, ppm):  $\delta = 12.43$  (br.s, 2H), 8.63 (s, 4H), 4.28-4.24 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.65-2.61 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz, ppm):  $\delta = 172.36$ , 162.42, 130.34, 126.24, 126.02, 36.04, 31.93. HRMS: m/z; [M-H]<sup>-</sup>, calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: 409.0672; found: 409.0676.

#### 4. Perovskite solar cells fabrication

**Preparing SA-EELs:** We used indium tin oxide (ITO, 20mm×15mm×1.1mm, < 10  $\Omega$  per square) as substrates, which were washed with deionized water (30 min), ethanol (30 min), acetone (30 min) and isopropanol (15 min) in sequence via ultrasonic cleaning and then treated with ultraviolet ozone for 20 min. NPI TN-P, NDI-P) compounds (PN-P, were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide/isopropanol (v/v, 1:1) to prepare solutions  $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$  and kept stirring at 40°C for 2 h. Then cleaned ITO substrates were immersed in compound solutions for 6 h at 40°C. Next, these substrates were rinsed with ethanol to remove residual organics and then dried in drying oven for 30 min. After that, the substrates were stored in glovebox with nitrogen-filled to be ready for the next device fabrication. To be noted, SA-EEL/ITO that would be characterized in several measurements were prepared in this processes, and the devices based on bare ITO mentioned in the paper were fabricated with cleaned ITOs that hadn't been immersed in organic solution.

**Device fabrication:** Firstly, lead(II) iodide (PbI<sub>2</sub>, 1.2 M) and methylammonium iodide (MAI, 1.2 M) were dissolved in anhydrous mixed solvent DMF/DMSO (v/v, 5:1). The mixture was stirred and heated at 50°C to prepare perovskite precursor solution. Perovskite films were then deposited onto SA-EEL substrates by one step "anti-solvent" method. Then the substrates were annealed at 100°C for 15 min. By

the way, MAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite films characterized in this work were prepared on different substrates in same procedure. After that, the hole transporting layer (HTL) were prepared from *spiro*-OMeTAD solution in chlorobenzene (65 mM) by spin-coating at 3000 rpm for 25 s. In addition, the *spiro*-OMeTAD solution was added with *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP, 330 mol%), and tris(bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide) (Li-TFSI, 50% molar ratio to HTMs) as additives. Finally, the Au electrode was formed on the top of HTL with an average thickness of 40 nm by thermally evaporated under vacuum condition (1×10<sup>-5</sup> Pa).

#### 5. Characterization and measurements

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 400 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed using a Waters LCT Premier XE spectrometer. X-ray diffraction measurement was carried out using a RigakuD/MAX 2550 diffract meter. The steady state photoluminescence spectra (PL) were measured with a Horiba Fluoromax-4 fluorescence spectrometer. The UV-vis absorption spectra for all measurements in this paper were recorded with a Varian Cary 100 spectrophotometer. The time-resolved photoluminescence spectra (TRPL) were determined with the single photon counting technique by means of Edinburgh FLS890 spectrometer. SEM images were obtained by using a GeminiSEM 500 field emission scanning electron microscope. KPFM measurements were performed with a scanning probe microscope system (Agilent Technologies 5500) with an environmental enclosure to control acoustic and vibration noise. All the KPFM measurements were performed on the surfaces of the thin-film samples. We used Pt/Ir-coated Silicon-SPM-Sensors (Nanosensors PPP-EFM-20). The resonance frequency was  $\sim$ 85 kHz, the force constant was  $\sim$ 5 N/m and the oscillation amplitude was 30 nm. The CPD between the tip and the sample was measured by amplitude modulation KPFM (AM-KPFM) with the operating frequency of 15 kHz and the drive-offset of -3.0 V. Photocurrent density-voltage

(J-V) curves of devices were measured by Keithley 2400 Source meter Instruments under standard AM 1.5 simulated solar irradiation (WXS-155S-10) with using a black metal mask, with aperture area of 0.09 cm<sup>2</sup>. The IPCE spectra were measured by Newport-74125 system (Newport Instruments). The Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy (UPS) were measured by SCIENTA 3000.

The cyclic voltammograms were carried out by using CHI660E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China). These measurements were performed in 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF<sub>6</sub>) as the supporting electrolyte in dimethylsulfoxide (dried and deoxygenated). A 3-electrode system was chosen for the electrochemical study (saturated calomel reference electrode, Pt wire as counter electrode, Glass carbon as working electrode). The ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) redox couple was used as an external potential reference, with a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The energy of the LUMO level of compounds were calculated from the following formulation:  $E_{LUMO} = -(E_{1/2(red.)} + 4.8 \text{ eV})$ , for *n*-type materials.

### 6. Experimental data



Figure S4. Cyclic Voltammograms of ferrocene as reference.



Figure S5. Cyclic Voltammograms of compound PN-P under repeated scan.



Figure S6. Cyclic Voltammograms of compound TN-P under repeated scan.



Figure S7. Cyclic Voltammograms of compound NDI-P under repeated scan.



Figure S8. UV absorption and emission spectra of compound PN-P in DMSO.



Figure S9. UV absorption and emission spectra of compound TN-P in DMSO.



Figure S10. UV absorption and emission spectra of compound NDI-P in DMSO.



Figure S11. Energy level of different naphthalimide derivatives and other materials involved in this study.



Figure S12. UV absorption spectra of ITO glasses with or without different SA-EELs.



**Figure S13.** Topographical AFM images of ITO glasses with or without different SA-EELs. (RMS, short for root mean square (roughness), computes the standard deviation for the height distribution of a sample surface)



Figure S14. UPS spectra of bare ITO and SA-EEL/ITO samples. The work function of ITO reduced from -4.56 eV to -4.00 eV after surface modification with self-assembled molecular EEL.



**Figure S15**. UV absorption spectra (a) and XRD data (b) of MAPbI<sub>3</sub> films deposited on ITO glasses with or without different SA-EELs.



Figure S16. Top-view SEM images of MAPbI<sub>3</sub> films grown on ITO glasses with or without different SA-EELs



Figure S17. Cross-section SEM image of the complete device based on bare ITO and NDI-P based SA-EEL.



**Figure S18.** (a) The evaluation of hysteresis to a **NDI-P** based device. (b) The PCE tracking of a **NDI-P** based device under continuous illumination, with recording the current density at an applied bias of 0.827 V nearing to the maximum power point.



**Figure S19.** Stability of the un-encapsulated **NDI-P** based PSC device stored in a dry cabinet (RH = 20%, temperature at 25 °C).

Compound	$\lambda_{\max}^{a}$ [nm]	$\lambda_{em}^{b} [nm]$	$E_{g}^{c}[eV]$	$E_{\rm LUMO}^{\rm d}[\rm eV]$	$E_{\rm HOMO}^{\rm e}[\rm eV]$
PN-P	260 416	523	2.58	-3.01	-5.59
TN-P	348	419	3.23	-3.14	-6.37
NDI-P	360 381	418	3.13	-3.83	-6.96

Table S1. Photophysical and electrochemical properties of PN-P, TN-P and NDI-P.

<sup>a</sup> Absorption maximum in DMSO solution. <sup>b</sup> Peak values of emission spectra in DMSO solution. <sup>c</sup>  $E_{\rm g}$  was calibrated from the intersection wavelength of normalized emission and absorption spectra by 1240/ $\lambda$ . <sup>d</sup> The  $E_{\rm LUMO}$  was obtained in DMSO with ferrocene (-4.8 eV vs.vacuum) as an external reference. <sup>e</sup> Calculated by  $E_{\rm LUMO}$  -  $E_{\rm g}$ .

**Table S2**. Photovoltaic parameters of typical MAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs (0.09 cm<sup>2</sup>) with or without different SA-EELs in reverse scan (Fig. 4a).

PSCs	J <sub>sc</sub> [mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	$V_{\rm oc}$ [V]	FF [%]	PCE [%]	$R_{ m s}$ [ $\Omega$ ]	$R_{ m sh}$ [ $\Omega$ ]
Bare	8.45	0.32	36.65	0.91	118.43	666.88
PN-P	10.23	0.76	69.68	5.45	11.40	4401.56
TN-P	10.93	0.94	52.94	5.41	157.74	2506.36
NDI-P	20.57	1.05	73.98	16.01	15.70	7840.23

Table S3. Time-resolved PL decay fitting parameters.

Sample	A <sub>1</sub> (%)	Life time $\tau_1$ (ns)	A <sub>2</sub> (%)	Life time $\tau_2$ (ns)	A <sub>3</sub> (%)	Life time $\tau_3$ (ns)
Perovskite/ITO	5.39	2.28	94.61	48.12	/	/
Pero/PN-P/ITO	0.47	3.24	11.42	40.05	56.72	29.72
Pero/TN-P/ITO	0.52	2.83	43.00	97.17	/	/
Pero/NDI-P/ITO	1.40	13.33	21.02	86.67	/	/

# 7. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and HRMS of synthesized compounds



Figure S20. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S21. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

















Figure S25. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S26. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of TN-P in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.





PROTON DMSO {D:\data\research\new\2019-1-9} nmr 13



Figure S28. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of NDI-P in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S29. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of NDI-P in DMSO- $d_6$ .

#### **Elemental Composition Report**

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 mDa / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 2 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron lons 19 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-20 H: 0-21 N: 0-2 O: 0-4 Na: 0-1 WH-ZHU ZW-LLC-103 47 (0.527) Cm (46:49) 1: TOF MS ES 1.49e+003 353,1499 100-%-354,1545 353,2641 0 349.1833 349.00 350.00 351.00 352.00 353.00 355.1556 355.1556 358.3713 m/z 355.00 356.00 357.00 358.00 354.00 ..... -1.5 50.0 Minimum. Maximum: 5.0 30.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT i-FIT (Norm) Formula -0.2 353.1499 353.1501 -0.6 11.5 14.6 0.0 C20 H21 N2 O4

Figure S30. High resolution mass spectrometry of compound PN-P.



Figure S31. High resolution mass spectrometry of compound TN-P.

#### Page 1

#### **Elemental Composition Report**

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 2 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 122 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-30 H: 0-110 N: 0-2 O: 0-8 WH-ZHU ZW-LLC-106 31 (0.341) Cm (30:36) 1: TOF MS ES-5.40e+003 409.0676 100-431.0511 %-410.0720 410.1544 424.1772 432.0535 445.0446 455.0319 0 410.0 410.0 410.0 432.0535 445.0446 455.0319 m/z 370.0586 396.1440 0 371.0584 383.1434 396.1440 370.0 380.0 390.0 400.0 -1.5 Minimum: 5.0 5.0 Maximum: Mass Calc. Mass mDa DBE PPM i-FIT i-FIT (Norm) Formula 409.0676 409.0672 0.4 1.0 15.5 20.1 0.0 C20 H13 N2 O8

Figure S32. High resolution mass spectrometry of compound NDI-P.

Page 1