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Part I: Material preparation

Figure S1. Schematic illustration of the tungsten sulfide preparation in thermal CVD setup.

Part II: X-ray crystallography

Figure S2. Grazing-incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD) of a stoichiometric WS$_2$ film on SiO$_2$/Si substrate prepared at 600 ºC.
Part III: *In-situ* tracking of desulfurization

Figure S3. W 4f and S 2p X-ray photoelectron (XP) spectra of a tungsten sulfide film on SiO$_2$/Si before (a) and after annealing (b) in 5 mbar H$_2$ at 600 °C for 2 h.

Part IV: Defective tungsten sulfide

Figure S4. TEM image of WS$_{0.37}$ prepared at 600 °C.
Figure S5. Cyclic voltammetry (a) and linear sweep voltammetry (b) curves of WS$_{0.37}$ and sputtered W in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$. Scan rate: 50 mV/s for CV and 5 mV/s for LSV; c) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS): Nyquist plots of WS$_{0.37}$ collected at open circuit potential in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte with an AC amplitude of 10 mV; d) Tafel plots recorded with polarization curves shown in (b).

Figure S6. XP spectra of sputtered W on SiO$_2$/Si.
Figure S7. Cyclic voltammetry curves of as-prepared defective tungsten sulfide in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$.

Scan rate: 50 mV/s.
Part V: \( \text{H}_2 \) annealing temperature variations

Figure S8. a-e) XP spectra of tungsten sulfide films annealed in 10 % \( \text{H}_2 \) at 700 °C for 0.5 (a), 1 (b), 1.5 (c), 2 (d) and 3 (e) h; f) composition analysis of as-prepared tungsten sulfide films based on the XPS results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>( \text{S/W ratio} )</th>
<th>( \text{S/W(IV)-S} )</th>
<th>% Atom W(^6)</th>
<th>% Atom WO(_3)</th>
<th>% Atom WS(_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{WS}_2 )</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % ( \text{H}_2 )-0.5 h</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % ( \text{H}_2 )-1 h</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % ( \text{H}_2 )-1.5 h</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % ( \text{H}_2 )-2 h</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % ( \text{H}_2 )-3 h</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S9. TEM images of tungsten sulfide films annealed in 10 % H$_2$ at 700 °C for 0 (a), 1 (b), 1.5 (c) and 3 h (d).
Figure S10. Raman spectra of tungsten sulfide films annealed in 10 % H$_2$ at 700 °C for different annealing times.

Figure S11. Cyclic voltammetry (a) and Linear sweep voltammetry (b) curves of WS$_2$ obtained after H$_2$ treatment in 10 % H$_2$ at 700 °C with different time in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$, Scan rate: 50 mV/s for CV and 5 mV/s for LSV; c) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) Nyquist plots of as-prepared tungsten sulfide collected at open circuit potential in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte with an AC amplitude of 10 mV; d) zoom-in Nyquist plots of tungsten sulfide films in c).
Figure S12. a-c) XP spectra of tungsten sulfide films annealed in 5 % H₂ at 850 °C for 3 (a), 6 (b) and 10 (c) h; f) composition analysis of as-prepared tungsten sulfide films based on the XPS results.
**Figure S13.** Raman spectra of tungsten sulfide films annealed in 5 % H\(_2\) at 850 °C with different time.

**Figure S14.** Cyclic voltammetry (a) and linear sweep voltammetry (b) curves of WS\(_2\) obtained after H\(_2\) treatment in 5 % H\(_2\) at 850 °C with different time in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), Scan rate: 50 mV/s for CV and 5 mV/s for LSV; c) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) Nyquist plots of as-prepared tungsten sulfide collected at open circuit potential in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) electrolyte with an AC amplitude of 10 mV; d) zoom-in Nyquist plots of tungsten sulfide films in c).
Part VI: Structure and chemical analysis

Figure S15. Nano-focus XRD pattern of WS$_{1.47}$ on SiO$_2$/Si beam recorded at a beam energy of 8 keV and beam size of 100×400 nm$^2$ indicating the presence of both WS$_2$ and W in the beam volume.

Figure S16. a) SEM image (left) and merged EDX mapping for O (cyan) and S (red) of WS$_{0.95}$; b) EDX elemental mapping of WS$_{0.95}$ for W (blue), O (cyan) and S (red); c) elemental analysis of WS$_{0.95}$ based on EDX results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element Number</th>
<th>Element Symbol</th>
<th>Element Name</th>
<th>Atomic Conc. (%)</th>
<th>Weight Conc. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part VII: WS$_{2-x}$ on Carbon Fiber Paper (CFP)

Figure S17. a-d) XP spectra of WS$_{1.97}$ (a), WS$_{1.89}$ (b), WS$_{1.50}$ (c), WS$_{1.08}$ (d) and WS$_{0.44}$ (e) on CFP; f) composition analysis of as-prepared tungsten sulfide films based on the XPS results.
Figure S18. a) Tafel slopes obtained from LSV curves for tungsten sulfide on CFP; b) Plots of Tafel slope (black curve) and exchange current density ($j_0$) (red curve) values of tungsten sulfide on CFP substrates with decreasing S/W ratios.

The exchange current can be determined by back extrapolation of the Tafel region to the equilibrium potential. Therefore, we calculated both the current density and Tafel slope and plotted them versus S/W ratios, which is shown in Figure S18. However, the exchange current density ($j_0$) values decrease with smaller S/W ratios, which seems unrealistic as the Tafel slope indicates that the more defective tungsten sulfide has the higher HER activity. Therefore, we re-examined the method to determine $j_0$ and found a recent report that estimates of the “exchange current density” via back-extrapolation of the current from large overpotentials to the equilibrium potential may lead to different values depending on which HER step (Volmer, Heyrovsky or Tafel) is used.\(^1\) It has also been pointed out in the same article that this approach may give unreliable values when used in regions where mass transport limitations or large Ohmic losses occur. Therefore, it might be more reasonable to evaluate the HER performances of the tungsten sulfide films based on Tafel slope values only.\(^2-4\)

Figure S19. Cyclic voltammograms of the WS\(_{1.97}\) (a), WS\(_{1.08}\) (b) and WS\(_{0.44}\) on carbon fiber paper (CFP) at various scan rates (20-180 mV/s) used to estimate the double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) and relative electrochemically active surface area.
Figure S20. HER stability testing. Chronopotentiometric responses (V-t) recorded from WS$_{0.44}$ on CFP at a constant current density of 4.45 mA/cm$^2$.

Figure S21. XP spectra of WS$_{0.44}$ on CFP after electrochemical stability test (a) and sputtered with Ar$^+$ ion gun for 10 cycles (estimated depth ~20 nm) (b).
Table S1. Summary of recently reported efficient WS$_2$-based HER electrocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV/decade)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated 1T-WS$_2$ nanosheets$^5$</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeze-dried WS$_2$/rGO after annealing$^6$</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultra-thin WS$_2$ nanoflakes$^7$</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS$_2$@P, N doped graphene$^8$</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS$_2$ nanosheets from ball milling$^9$</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly defective WS$_{0.44}$ (this work)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part VIII: Density Functional Theory (DFT) modeling

Computational Details

The first-principles calculations were performed by using the Vienna ab-initio simulation (VASP) package,$^6,7$ a periodic plane wave DFT code which includes the interactions between the core and valence electrons using the Projector Augmented Wave (PAW) method.$^5,9$ The electronic exchange–correlation potential was calculated using the GGA-PBE functional.$^{10}$ Wave functions were expanded in a plane wave basis with a high energy cutoff of 600 eV. The convergence criterion was set to $10^{-6}$ eV between two ionic steps for the self-consistency process, and 0.02 eV/Å was adopted for the total energy calculations. A vacuum region of 15 Å was added along the normal direction to the monolayer to avoid interactions between adjacent images. The Brillouin zone was sampled using an $8 \times 8 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point meshes, which were found to be sufficient to obtain well-converged results H-WS$_2$ systems. Van der Waals dispersion forces were accounted for in all calculations through the Grimme DFT-D3 functional,$^{11}$ which adds a semi-empirical dispersion potential to the conventional Kohn–Sham DFT energy.

We adopt the common used approach that hydrogen generation from electrochemical water splitting involves two reaction steps for the analysis of HER performance.$^{12,13}$ The HER is a classic example of a two-electron transfer reaction with one catalytic intermediate, H* (where * denotes a site on the surface able to bind to hydrogen), and may occur through either the Volmer-Heyrovsky ($\text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + *$) or the Volmer-Tafel ($2\text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2*$) mechanism.$^{14}$ The free energy of $\text{H}^+ + \text{e}^-$ is the same as that of $1/2\text{H}_2$ at standard conditions.$^{12,13}$ The Gibbs free energy of hydrogen adsorption ($\Delta G_H$), the best known descriptor for the hydrogen evolution activity was calculated by the free energy with respect to molecular hydrogen including zero-point energy and entropy terms via:

$$\Delta G_H = \Delta E_H + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S_H$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta E_H$ is the adsorption energy of hydrogen which is defined as:

$$\Delta E_H = E_{\text{WS}_2 + \text{H}} - E_{\text{WS}_2} - \frac{1}{2} E_{\text{H}_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The $\Delta E_{ZPE}$ in equation 1 is the difference in zero point energy between the adsorbed hydrogen and hydrogen in gas phase, and $\Delta S_H$ is the entropy difference between adsorbed state and gas phase. We
can take the entropy of atomic hydrogen as $\Delta S_H^\text{gas} = -S_H / 2$, where $S_H$ is the entropy of molecule hydrogen in gas phase. Under standard conditions, $\Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} - T\Delta S_H$ is 0.24 eV, therefore $\Delta G_H = E_H + 0.24$ eV.

Figure S22. Calculated Gibbs free energy for hydrogen adsorption on perfect and defective (S-vacancy site) WS$_2$ basal (0001) plane (BP).
Figure S23. Calculated Gibbs free energy for hydrogen adsorption on the probable adsorption sites of a tetrahedron W cluster on the defective WS$_2$ basal plane (BP).

Figure S24. The partial density of states (PDOS) of (a) perfect WS$_2$, (b) W-cluster on perfect WS$_2$, and (c) W-cluster on defective WS$_2$. 
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