

ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

ONLINE RESOURCE 1

SEPT. 9, 2019

The Effects of Active Site and Support on Hydrogen Elimination over Transition-Metal-Functionalized Ytria-Decorated Metal–Organic Frameworks

Bo Yang,* Kamal Sharkas, Laura Gagliardi,* and Donald G. Truhlar*

Department of Chemistry, Inorganometallic Catalyst Design Center, Chemical Theory Center, and Minnesota Supercomputing Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455-0431, USA

E-mail: yang3227@umn.edu, gagliardi@umn.edu, truhlar@umn.edu

Energies of transition-metal-functionalized $Y_2O_2@NU-1000$ complexes

Kohn-Sham density functional calculations were performed using the M06-L exchange-correlation functional. The 6-31G* basis set was used for C, O, and H atoms, and the def2-TZVP basis set was used for metal atoms. All frequencies were scaled¹ with a factor of 0.976.

Frequencies below 100 cm^{-1} were raised to 100 cm^{-1} .^{2,3}

Table S1. Computed energies (kcal/mol) for V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, and W-based complexes. For the propyl complexes, the V, Nb, Ta, and Cr are in +3 formal oxidation state; for the bis(propyl) complexes, the Mo and W are in +4 formal oxidation state.

“TS (I)” and “TS (II)” denote the transition structures for α - and β -hydrogen elimination reactions, respectively.

“ads” denotes a weakly adsorbed molecule.

“+ propene” denotes that the propene molecule is optimized as a separate entity.

“*” denotes that the corresponding geometry is not stable under the specific spin configuration, and as such, the corresponding electronic energy (E) is calculated by a single point calculation using the optimized geometry of other spin multiplicities.

| | | | E | H_0 | H_{298} | G |
|---|--------|----------|------|-------|-----------|-------|
| V | propyl | singlet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | triplet | -8.9 | -9.3 | -9.2 | -10.3 |
| | TS (I) | singlet | 15.4 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 12.2 |
| | | triplet* | 46.9 | — | — | — |

| | | | <i>E</i> | <i>H</i> ₀ | <i>H</i> ₂₉₈ | <i>G</i> |
|-------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | hydride | singlet | 1.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -1.0 |
| | propylidene | triplet | 24.2 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 18.5 |
| | TS (II) | singlet | 8.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.7 |
| | | triplet | 13.6 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.0 |
| | hydride | singlet | -7.8 | -10.6 | -10.9 | -10.3 |
| | propene (ads) | triplet | 7.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| | hydride | singlet | 36.4 | 32.7 | 33.2 | 20.0 |
| | + propene | triplet | 20.4 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 1.8 |
| Nb | propyl | singlet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | triplet* | 107.0 | — | — | — |
| | TS (I) | singlet | 7.5 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| | | triplet | 11.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.8 |
| | hydride | singlet | -13.4 | -14.9 | -15.1 | -14.6 |
| | propylidene | triplet | 8.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| | TS (II) | singlet | 5.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| | | triplet* | 7.0 | — | — | — |
| | Hydride | singlet | -19.8 | -22.1 | -22.4 | -21.4 |
| | propene (ads) | triplet* | 10.7 | — | — | — |
| hydride | singlet | 33.5 | 29.7 | 30.3 | 17.1 | |
| + propene | triplet | 29.4 | 25.0 | 25.6 | 11.7 | |
| Ta | propyl | singlet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | triplet | -9.1 | -10.3 | -10.4 | -10.4 |
| | TS (I) | singlet | 8.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 7.2 |
| | | triplet | 10.8 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| | hydride | singlet | -15.9 | -17.5 | -18.0 | -16.6 |
| | propylidene | triplet | 3.6 | -1.0 | -1.1 | -0.8 |
| | TS (II) | singlet | 6.8 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.9 |
| | | triplet | 9.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| | hydride | singlet | -20.9 | -23.1 | -23.6 | -22.1 |
| | propene (ads) | triplet | -6.8 | -11.0 | -11.2 | -10.6 |
| hydride | singlet | 33.5 | 29.7 | 30.1 | 17.3 | |
| + propene | triplet | 34.9 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 16.8 | |
| Cr | propyl | doublet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | quartet | -22.5 | -22.4 | -22.4 | -22.8 |
| | TS (I) | doublet | 23.1 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.4 |
| | | quartet | 30.7 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 26.5 |
| | hydride | doublet | 9.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| propylidene | quartet | 25.2 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 21.0 | |

| | | | <i>E</i> | <i>H</i> ₀ | <i>H</i> ₂₉₈ | <i>G</i> |
|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Mo | TS (II) | doublet | 15.7 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 13.0 |
| | | quartet* | 16.5 | — | — | — |
| | hydride | doublet | -1.4 | -3.8 | -4.1 | -3.3 |
| | propene (ads) | quartet | 2.9 | -0.4 | 0.0 | -1.1 |
| | + propene | doublet | 33.1 | 28.9 | 29.5 | 16.2 |
| | | quartet | 10.6 | 6.1 | 6.8 | -7.0 |
| | bis(propyl) | singlet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | triplet | 0.5 | -1.9 | -1.6 | -2.7 |
| | TS (I) | singlet | 35.8 | 32.5 | 32.2 | 32.8 |
| | | triplet | 46.4 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 39.2 |
| hydride propyl | singlet | 22.7 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.3 | |
| propylidene | triplet | 45.5 | 41.1 | 41.4 | 40.2 | |
| TS (II) | singlet | 31.9 | 29.1 | 28.7 | 29.6 | |
| | triplet* | 44.3 | — | — | — | |
| hydride propyl | singlet | 15.0 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 13.5 | |
| propene (ads) | triplet | 32.7 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 28.1 | |
| + propene | singlet | 31.3 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 14.6 | |
| | triplet | 35.6 | 29.1 | 30.2 | 15.1 | |
| W | bis(propyl) | singlet | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | triplet | -0.3 | -3.3 | -2.9 | -4.1 |
| | TS (I) | singlet | 36.6 | 32.4 | 32.1 | 32.7 |
| | | triplet | 44.7 | 38.8 | 38.7 | 38.7 |
| | hydride propyl | singlet | 13.7 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| | propylidene | triplet | 35.9 | 30.7 | 31.0 | 29.8 |
| | TS (II) | singlet | 27.3 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 24.9 |
| | | triplet | 31.8 | 29.0 | 28.6 | 29.5 |
| | hydride propyl | singlet | 10.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.3 |
| | | propene | triplet* | 72.3 | — | — |
| + propene | singlet | 30.0 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 13.5 | |
| | triplet | 30.0 | 22.9 | 23.8 | 9.5 | |

References

- ¹ "Computational Thermochemistry: Scale Factor Databases and Scale Factors for Vibrational Frequencies Obtained from Electronic Model Chemistries," I. M. Alecu, J. Zheng, Y. Zhao, and D. G. Truhlar, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **6**,

2872-2887 (2010). doi.org/10.1021/ct100326h

- ² “Computational Characterization and Design of Buckyball Tweezers: Density Functional Study of Concave-Convex pi...pi Interactions,” Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **10**, 2813-2818 (2008). (special issue on stacking interactions) doi.org/10.1039/b717744e
- ³ “Use of Solution-Phase Vibrational Frequencies in Continuum Models for the Free Energy of Solvation,” R. F. Ribeiro, A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, and D. G. Truhlar, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **115**, 14556-14562 (2011). doi.org/10.1021/jp205508z