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Daily Vinegar Ingestion for Eight Weeks Improves Glucose Homeostasis and Affects the Metabolome but does not Reduce Adiposity in Adults
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Figures

Page S-3: Figure S1. Bar graphs of anthropometric variables related to weight loss or visceral fat loss between pill (CON) and liquid (VIN) vinegar groups at baseline (pre) and intervention (post): (A) BMI, (B) Weight, (C) Waist Circumference, (D) Body Fat, (E) VAT (g), and (F) VAT (cm\textsuperscript{3}). Error bars depict standard error of the mean. No significant within- or between-group differences were observed.

Page S-4: Figure S2. Bar graphs of homeostatic measures between groups, across the 8-week intervention period: (A) Glucose, (B) Insulin, and (C) HOMA-IR. Error bars depict standard error of the mean.

Page S-5: Figure S3. Relative effects (post/pre) of vinegar intake on levels of 8 monitored SCFAs. [VIN = liquid vinegar, CON = pill vinegar].

Page S-6: Figure S4. Distribution of CV values of all reliably detected metabolites in the study: (A) CV distribution in positive detection mode, and (B) CV distribution in negative detection mode. QC CV range: 1.26%-14.47\%, median CV: 4.97\%, with ~92\% of metabolites having CV < 15\%.
Page S-7: Scheme S1. Flow diagram depicting process of metabolite selection for subsequent model development and ROC analysis.
Figure S1. Bar graphs of anthropometric variables related to weight loss or visceral fat loss between pill (CON) and liquid (VIN) vinegar groups at baseline (pre) and intervention (post): (A) BMI, (B) Weight, (C) Waist Circumference, (D) Body Fat, (E) VAT (g), and (F) VAT (cm$^3$). Error bars depict standard error of the mean. No significant within- or between-group differences were observed.
Figure S2: Bar graphs of homeostatic measures between groups, across the 8-week intervention period: (A) Glucose, (B) Insulin, and (C) HOMA-IR. Error bars depict standard error of the mean.
Figure S3. Relative effects (post/pre) of vinegar intake on levels of 8 monitored SCFAs. [VIN = liquid vinegar, CON = pill vinegar].
Figure S4. Distribution of CV values of all reliably detected metabolites in the study: (A) CV distribution in positive detection mode, and (B) CV distribution in negative detection mode. QC CV range: 1.26%-14.47%, median CV: 4.97%, with ~92% of metabolites having CV < 15%.
46 **Scheme S1.** Flow diagram depicting process of metabolite selection for subsequent model development and ROC analysis.