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One-pot direct conversion of levulinic acid into high-yield valeric acid over a highly stable bimetallic Nb-Cu/Zr-doped porous silica catalyst
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Table S1 Comparison of yields and selectivities in the formation of valeric acid from various feedstocks using noble and non-noble metal-based catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>VA yield (%)</th>
<th>VE yield (%)</th>
<th>VA+VE yield (%)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Noble metal-based catalysts</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ru/SBA-SO$_3$H    | 4/240/6/1           | Ethanol 1:2.3    | 100          | N.A.         | N.A.            | 90.0    Pan *et al.*, Green Chem., 2013  
| Ru/HZSM-5         | 4/200/10/1          | Dioxane 1:10     | 100          | 66.3         | 25.0            | 91.3    Luo *et al.*, J. Catal., 2014  
| Pt/AlMCM-41       | 6/270/10/1          | Octane 1:3       | >99          | 45           | 0               | 45      Xiao-min *et al.*, Chemistry Select, 2018  
| Pt/TiO$_2$,       | 1/200/5/1          | Water 1:12       | 100          | 91.4         | 0               | 91.4    Lange *et al.*, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010  
| Pd/C+Hf(OTf)$_4$  | 0.8/200/6/1        | Solvent-free     | 1:2          | 100          | 99              | 99      Kon *et al.*, Catal. Sci. Tech., 2014  
| Co@HZSM-5         | 3/240/3/1          | EtOH 1:10        | 100          | 23           | 74              | 97      Sun *et al.*, ACS Catal. 2014  
| Ni/K$_0.5$/HZSM-5 | 3/240/2.96 h$^{-1}$ | EtOH –   | 100          | N.A.         | N.A.            | 90      Sun *et al.*, Appl. Cat. B, 2016  
| W-Ni/TiO$_2$      | 0.1/270/4.6 mL s$^{-1}$ g$_{cat}^{-1}$ | Water –   | 74           | 36           | 0               | 36      Kumar *et al.*, Appl. Cat. A, 2017  
| Ni-W/HZSM5        | 0.1/270/9.7 mLs$^{-1}$ g$_{cat}^{-1}$ | Water –   | 40           | 26           | 0               | 26      Velisoju *et al.*, Appl. Catal. A, 2018  
| Nb-Cu/ZPS         | 3/150/2/1          | Water 1:8        | 96           | 92.5         | 0               | 93      This work  

*a*VA = valeric acid; *VE* = valeric acid alkyl esters (methyl valerate and ethyl valerate); *b*N.A. = Not available; *c*HMFI = Commercial H+ type MFI zeolite (HMFI) with a SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio of 22.3; *d*Three-step reaction; *e*Weight hour space velocity
Fig. S1 Calibration curves for quantification of (a) LA by HPLC, (b) GVL by HPLC, (c) VA by HPLC, (d) 1,6-hexanediol (as an internal standard) by HPLC, (e) VA by GC-FID, (f) GVL by GC-FID, and (g) LA by GC-FID.
Fig. S2 SEM images and EDS mapping of (a) ZPS and (b) 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS. Chemical composition of (c) ZPS and (d) 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS.
Fig. S3 (a) HR-TEM images of 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS and (b) EDX data of HAADF-STEM images of ZPS, 2Nb/ZPS, 40Cu/ZPS and 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS. Cu was shown in the EDX data of ZPS because of the Cu grid.
**Fig. S4** HPLC profiles of the reaction products formed in the presence of ZPS and α-angelica lactone (α-AL standard). Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol of levulinic acid (LA), 0.05 g of catalyst, 30 mL of H₂O, 150 °C, initial H₂ pressure of 3 MPa, 2 h. GVL: γ-valerolactone.
**Fig. S5** Color of liquid produced from the conversion of LA over (a) 40Cu/ZPS and (b) 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 0.05 g of catalyst, 30 mL H₂O, 150 °C, 3 MPa initial H₂ pressure, 2 h.
Fig. S6 Adsorption of GVL and D-α hydroxy isovaleric acid in water over PS, ZPS, and the 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalysts.
Fig. S7 GC-TOF/MS chromatogram of reaction mixture produced using 3.4 mmol of LA, 0.05 g of 40Cu/ZPS, 30 mL of H$_2$O, 100 °C, initial H$_2$ pressure of 1 MPa, and reaction time of 1 h.

1. γ-Valerolactone (GVL)
2. 4-Hydroxypentanoic acid (4-HPA)
3. Levulinic acid (LA)
Fig. S8 GC-TOF/MS profiles of reaction mixtures produced over bimetallic 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalysts. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 30 mL H₂O, 120 °C, 1.5 MPa initial H₂ pressure, 1 h.
Fig. S9 GC-TOF/MS chromatograms of reaction mixtures produced over bimetallic 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalysts. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 30 mL solvent, 3.0 MPa initial H$_2$ pressure, 2 h.
Fig. S10 Cu and Nb content of fresh and spent 40Cu/ZPS and 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalysts after 1st run in various organic solvents. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 0.05 g catalyst, 40 mL solvent, 150 °C, 3 MPa initial H₂ pressure, 2 h.
**Fig. S11** GC-TOF/MS chromatograms of reaction mixtures produced over 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalyst in the conversion of α-AL. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol α-AL, 0.05 g catalyst, 40 mL H$_2$O, 120 °C, 3 MPa initial H$_2$ pressure, 2 h.
Conversion of LA and valeric acid to valerate esters

As discussed in the Introduction, valeric esters (VEs) are one of the most promising biofuels. To investigate the feasibility of bimetallic Nb-Cu/ZPS as an esterification catalyst, direct conversion of LA was examined for the production of VEs over 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS in various C1–C5 alcohols at 150 °C using a catalyst-to-feed ratio of 0.125 for a reaction time of 2 h (Fig. S12a). As the chain length of the alcohols increased from C1 to C5, the LA conversion decreased from 80.5% to 30.2%, and the yield towards the VEs decreased scientifically from 67.4% to 0.1%. The higher LA conversion and VE selectivity achieved with the use of short-chain alcohols may be due to the strong polarity of these solvents (which facilitates proton liberation) and their lower steric hindrance compared to that of their long-chain counterparts. After finding these results, VA was directly converted over 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS in various C1–C5 alcohols at 150 °C and a catalyst-to-feed ratio of 0.125 for 2 h. Fig. S12b shows the VA conversion and selectivity of the corresponding valerate esters in the various alcoholic media. The Brønsted acid sites of the bimetallic Nb-Cu/ZPS catalyst facilitated the esterification reaction.13 As the chain length of the alcohols increased from C1 to C5, the VA conversion decreased from 98.0% to 91.0%, and the selectivity towards the VEs decreased from 100% to 88.0%. A similar trend was observed in previous reports.14,15 Therefore, the bimetallic Nb-Cu/ZPS catalysts can be used for the direct conversion of LA to VA, as well as for the production of VE from VA in high yields when methanol was used as the solvent and reactant.
Fig. S12 (a) LA conversion and yields of various valeric esters (VEs) over 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalyst. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 30 mL alcohols, 3 MPa initial H\textsubscript{2} pressure, 150 °C, 2 h, (b) VA conversion and yields of various valeric esters (VEs) over 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalyst. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol VA, 30 mL H\textsubscript{2}O, 3 MPa initial H\textsubscript{2} pressure, 150 °C, 2 h.
Fig. S13 Photographs of fresh, spent, and re-activated catalysts showing color changes. Re-activation conditions: 500 °C, 4 h, 5% H2/Ar at flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹.
Fig. S14 Evaluation of intrinsic properties 4Nb-40Cu/ZPS catalyst by reuse in the conversion of LA under low conversion conditions. Reaction conditions: 3.4 mmol LA, 0.05 g catalyst, 40 mL H₂O, 120 °C, 3 MPa initial H₂ pressure, 1 h.
Kinetics of LA conversion and VA selectivity over the Cu/ZPS and Nb-Cu/ZPS catalysts

LA conversion followed first order reaction kinetics

\[
\frac{dC_{LA}}{dt} = -kC_{LA}
\]

By integrating the equation over time, then

\[
C_{LA(t)} = C_{LA(0)}e^{-kt}
\]

\[
\frac{C_{LA(t)}}{C_{LA(0)}} = e^{-kt}
\]

\[
\frac{C_{LA(t)}}{C_{LA(0)}} = 1 - X_{LA}
\]

\[
1 - X_{LA} = e^{-kt} \cdot \ln (1 - X_{LA}) = kt
\]

Plot = LA conversion vs time and obtained rate constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>X_{LA}^a (mmol)</th>
<th>1-X_{LA}</th>
<th>Ln(1–X_{LA})</th>
<th>–Ln(1–X_{LA})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-3.91</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-3.91</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-4.61</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction Conditions: 3.4 mmol of LA, 0.05 g of catalyst, 30 mL of H₂O, initial H₂ pressure of 3 MPa.

^aConversion of LA
Calculate the activation energy ($E_a$) using Arrhenius equation

\[ k = A \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{R.T} \right) \]
\[ \ln k = -\frac{E_a}{R.T} + \ln A \]
\[ \ln k = -\frac{E_a}{R.T} + \ln A \]

Plot $1/T$ vs $\ln k$ (120–180 °C) $y = mx + C$

Slope = $-E_a/R \rightarrow$ Obtain $E_a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>1/T (kelvin)</th>
<th>Rate constant (k)</th>
<th>ln k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.00254</td>
<td>1.41E-04</td>
<td>-8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.00248</td>
<td>2.09E-04</td>
<td>-8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.00236</td>
<td>3.66E-04</td>
<td>-7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.00221</td>
<td>4.40E-04</td>
<td>-7.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Slope = 3330.5, $R = 0.008314$ MPa L/mol.K

$E_a = 27.689$ KJ/mol
Table S2 Reaction data of various catalyst used for kinetic energy calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>X_{LA}^a (mmol)</th>
<th>Y_{PA}^b (mmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2Nb-40Cu/ZPS</td>
<td>40 Cu/ZPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction Conditions: 3.4 mmol of LA, 0.05 g of catalyst, 30 mL of H₂O, initial H₂ pressure of 3 MPa.

^aConversion of LA

^bYield of LA
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