## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## MoS<sub>2</sub> versatile spray-coatings of 3D electrodes for hydrogen evolution reaction

Rui Gusmão,<sup>a</sup> Zdeněk Sofer,<sup>a</sup> Petr Marvan<sup>a</sup> and Martin Pumera<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for the Advanced Functional Nanorobots, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Technicka 5, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic.

<sup>b</sup>CEITEC Brno University of Technology, Purkyňova 123, 612 00 Brno, Czech Republic. Email: martin.pumera@ceitec.vutbr.cz



**Figure S1.** SEM micrographs (A-C) of MoS<sub>2</sub> dried films overlaid with mapping of C, O, S and Mo elements. D) Average EDX spectrum of MoS<sub>2</sub> sheets. E) TEM image of MoS<sub>2</sub> sheets. Inset: SEAD. F) Particle lateral size distribution measured by DLS from MoS<sub>2</sub> sheet suspended in DMF.



**Figure S2.** Topographical image  $MoS_2$  in ITO acquired by optical profilometer (A), with respective height profiles for measurement of sheet thickness (B). Topographical image of an SPE sprayed with commercial  $MoS_2$  with the 1.5 µm diameter mask acquired by optical profilometer (C), with respective height profiles for measurement of the MoS2 layer thickness (D).



**Figure S3.** Wide XPS spectrum of dried MoS<sub>2</sub> from commercial spray (A) and high resolution S 2pcore level with peak deconvolution (B).





Layered Image

Layered Image



**Figure S4.** SEM micrographs at different magnifications of SPE modified by spray coating using commercial MoS<sub>2</sub> (A and B). Layered images of SEM micrograph and mapping of elements C, O, Mo and S of MoS<sub>2</sub> modified SPEs (C and D).



**Figure S5.** Wide XPS spectrum of bare SPE (A), spray coated MoS<sub>2</sub> SPE before (B) and after (C) being used in hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkali conditions. Inset includes information on the core levels, peak position (eV) and atomic percentage for each sample.

A) Graphite paper	B) Graphite foam	C) Graphite mesh	D) Cu mesh	E) Ni mesh
Μο Lα1	Mo L series	Μο Lα1	Μο Lα1	Μο Lα1
250um	100μm	Sup		
S Ka1	S K series	S Κα1	S Kα1	S Κα1
			)	
<sup>250μm</sup> C Ka1 2	· 100μm ·	<sup>50μm</sup> C Kα1 2	<sup>100μm</sup> C Kα1 2	100μm C Kα1 2
	C K series			
Ο Ka1	O K series	Ο Κα1	Ο Κα1	Ο Κα1
250µm	100μm	<b>5</b> 0μm	100μm	100μm Ni Kα1

**Figure S6.** SEM micrographs of different surfaces modified by spray coating using commercial MoS<sub>2</sub> with respective mapping of elements: A) Graphite paper, B) Graphite foam, C) Graphite mesh, D) Cu mesh and E) Ni mesh.



**Figure S7.** EDX spectra different surfaces modified by spray coating using commercial MoS<sub>2</sub> acquired from areas shown in Figure S5.

<b>Coated surface</b>	MoS <sub>2</sub> loading (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
SPE	4.7 ± 1.1
G paper	$2.2 \pm 0.8$
G foam	19.8 ± 2.8
G mesh	4.9 ± 1.6
Cu mesh	17.1 ± 5.8
Ni mesh	16.7 ± 2.3
3D printed electrode	$2.4 \pm 0.9$

**Table S1.** MoS<sub>2</sub> loading on the sprayed coated surfaces.



**Figure S8.** MoS<sub>2</sub> modified surfaces applied for HER. A) Polarization curves of MoS<sub>2</sub> materials in 1.0 M KOH. B) Corresponding average of the onset potentials at -10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. C) Influence of cathodic pre-treatment on electrocatalytic properties of MoS<sub>2</sub> modified SPEs. D) HER performance of bare G-, Cu- and Ni-mesh, G paper and G foam. LSV for the set of MoS<sub>2</sub> modified SPE and bare surfaces in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (C and D). Scan rate in all voltammograms: 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S9.** Optical images of 3D printed electrodes: as printed (A), activated with DMF (B) and, modified with commercial MoS<sub>2</sub> by spray coating technique (MoS<sub>2</sub>@3D, C). SEM micrograph of MoS<sub>2</sub>@3D showing the coating external periphery (D) and internal perimeter (E) of the 3D electrode. Detail of the top surface of the MoS<sub>2</sub>@3D electrode (F). Effect of MoS<sub>2</sub> spray coating on  $\Delta$ E using the Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-/3-</sup> redox probe . Conditions: Scan rate: 0.1 V s<sup>-1</sup>, 5 mM Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-/3-</sup>, 0.1 M KCI (G). Linear dependence of peaks currents on the square root scan rate (50<v<400 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) for 3D DMF and MoS<sub>2</sub> @ 3D in 5 mM Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-/3-</sup>, 0.1 M KCI (H).



**Figure S10.** Layered images of SEM micrograph and mapping of elements C, O, Mo and S of the external perimeter of the MoS<sub>2</sub>@3D before being used (A) and after being applied to hydrogen evolution reaction for 2 hour at a potential of -500 mV (B).

## Equations

The values of the HET constant,  $k_{obs}^0$ , were determined by the Nicholson method through the use of the following equation:  $\psi = k_{obs}^0 [\pi DnvF/(RT)]^{-1/2}$  where  $\psi$  is the kinetic parameter, D is the diffusion coefficient, n is the number of electrons involved in the process, F is the Faraday constant, R is the universal gas constant and T is the temperature. The kinetic parameter,  $\psi$ , is tabulated as a function of  $\Delta E_P$  at a set temperature (298 K) for a one-step, one electron process with a transfer coefficient,  $\alpha$ , equal to 0.5.

To evaluate the effective electrochemical area,  $A_{\text{eff}}$ , given that for a co-planar macro electrode in the electrochemically quasi-reversible case, the Randles–Ševčík equation (at 298 K):  $I_p$ = 2.65 × 10<sup>5</sup> $n^{3/2}D^{1/2}v^{1/2}C$   $A_{\text{eff}}$ , where the notation is the same as above and *C* is the concentration of electroactive substance Fe(CN<sub>6</sub>)<sup>4-/3-</sup>.