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1. Computational Model and Methodology

Figure S1: (A) Lipid models for liposome/bicelle (beads 1–13) and planar membrane (beads 1–11). (B) Relation between membrane tension and lipid area for the (blue) lipids model of the liposome/bicelle and (green) lipid model of the planar membrane.

1.1. Lipid Model

The lipid models for liposome/bicelle and planar membrane are given in Fig. S1(A). In the liposome/bicelle model, the head group of lipid molecule is represented by three linearly
connected hydrophilic beads, while each of the two tails is represented by 5 hydrophobic beads. Neighboring beads $i$ and $j$ are connected by harmonic spring potentials,

$$U_{s1} = K_{s1}(r_{ij} - r_{s1})^2,$$

where the spring constant $K_{s1} = 50 k_B T / r_0^2$ and the equilibrium bond length $r_{s1} = 0.7 r_0$ \[1\]. The stiffness of head and tail groups is controlled by an bending potential applied on the adjacent three beads,

$$U_{\vartheta 1} = K_{\vartheta 1}(\vartheta - \vartheta_0)^2,$$

where $K_{\vartheta 1}$, $\vartheta$ and $\vartheta_0$ are the bending constant, the inclination angle and the equilibrium angle, respectively. Different $K_{\vartheta 1}$ and $\vartheta_0$ values are used to reflect the flexibility in different locations of each lipid molecule \[1\]. For three consecutive lipid head/tail beads in the lipid, $K_{\vartheta 1} = 3.0 k_B T$ and $\vartheta_0 = 180^\circ$. For beads 3, 4, and 9, $K_{\vartheta 1} = 1.5 k_B T$ and $\vartheta_0 = 120^\circ$. For the two consecutive head beads connected to the first bead in the tail (beads 2, 3, 4 and beads 2, 3, 9), $K_{\vartheta 1} = 2.25 k_B T$ and $\vartheta_0 = 120^\circ$. Due the flexible head group in this DPPC lipid model, the energy penalty to form the bilayer edge in the bicelle is relatively small, facilitating the formation of a larger sized bicelle.

In the model for the planar membrane, adjacent beads making the lipid molecules are connected by harmonic springs,

$$U_{s2} = K_{s2}(r_{ij} - r_{s2})^2,$$

with spring coefficient $K_{s2} = 64 k_B T / r_0^2$ and equilibrium distance $r_{s2} = 0.5r_0$ \[2, 3\]. The stiffness of the lipid tails is guaranteed by a bending potential

$$U_{\vartheta 2} = K_{\vartheta 2}(1 - \cos \vartheta),$$

where $K_{\vartheta 2} = 15k_B T$ \[2, 3\].

All pair-wise interactions parameters $a_{ij}$ between lipid beads are listed in Table S1. Note that a larger repulsion between lipids in the liposome/bicelle and membrane is used to avoid their possible fusion. Under control of these parameters, the bilayer thickness in the liposome/bicelle is around $d_{HH1} = 5r_0$. The bilayer thickness in the planar membrane is around $d_{HH2} = 4r_0$. The mechanical properties of these two lipid models are calibrated through stretching a patch of a planar bilayer in the simulation box of size $(50 \times 50 \times 50) r_0^3$. The relations between the tension of the planar bilayer and the lipid molecular area for these two lipid models are given in Fig. S1(B). The stretch modulus can be extracted from the slope of these curves. For the lipid bilayer in the liposome/bicelle, its stretch modulus is $K_{A1} = 13.30 k_B T / r_0^2$, while the one for the planar membrane is $K_{A2} = 17.42 k_B T / r_0^2$. The corresponding bending rigidity can be obtained from $\kappa = K_A d_{HH}^2 / 48$ \[4, 5\]. The bending rigidity of the liposome/bicelle is therefore $\kappa_{lip} \approx 7k_B T$; the bending rigidity of membrane is $\kappa_m \approx 6k_B T$. Additionally, to estimate the line tension of the bilayer for liposome/bicelle, we systematically change the size of the bicelle to find the transition size from bicelle to liposome $R_{trans} \approx 10r_0$. The line tension of the bicelle can be estimated via $\lambda = 2\kappa_{lip} / R_{trans}$ \[6\], resulting in $\lambda \approx 1.4k_B T / r_0$. Note that the transition size increases when the lipid heads get decorated with PEG polymers.
Table S1: Interaction parameters, $a_{ij}$ in units of $k_B T$, between lipid bead types $i$ and $j$, in the DPD simulation. S and E represent solvent (water) and PEG beads, respectively. $H_1$ and $T_1$ represent the lipid head and tail beads for liposome/bicelle. $H_2$ and $T_2$ represent the lipid head and tail beads for the planar membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>H_2</th>
<th>T_2</th>
<th>H_1</th>
<th>T_1</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_2</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2. PEG model

A hydrophilic PEG polymer in our DPD simulations is modeled by a linear chain consisting of coarse-grained monomers. The PEG monomers are linearly connected by the harmonic bond potential $U_{s3} = K_{s3}(r_{ij} - r_{s3})^2$, with spring stiffness $K_{s3} = 2111.3 \, k_B T / r_0^2$ and equilibrium distance $r_{s3} = 0.4125 \, r_0$. The semi-flexibility of the PEG polymer is taken into account by adding the bending potential $U_{\vartheta3} = K_{\vartheta3} (\cos \vartheta - \cos \vartheta_{03})^2$, with bending stiffness $K_{\vartheta3} = 16.4946 \, k_B T$, and equilibrium bending angle $\vartheta_{03} = 130^\circ$ between each three consecutive monomers. Such a DPD PEG model could correctly reproduce the conformation of a PEG polymer in water, including the radius of gyration and end-to-end distance, as shown in our previous studies. [7, 8] To describe the PEGylated lipid, one end of the PEG polymer is bonded to the lipid head bead through a harmonic bond potential. In addition, the monomers at the free end of PEG polymers are defined to act as targeting moieties (ligands). The polymerization degree of PEG polymers in our simulation is set as $N = 20$ (representing a molecular weight around 660 Da), falling within the typical range of 500-3000 Da in experiments. [9–11]

Within our DPD model, different types of beads have identical masses and cutoff distances for pairwise interactions. For the sake of transferability, the mass, length and time scales are all normalized. The unit length is taken to be the cutoff distance $r_0$. The unit mass is $m$ for all the beads and is set to unity. In addition, the unit energy is defined by the thermal energy $k_B T$. All other dimensional quantities can thus be uniquely made dimensionless in terms of these basic units (and vice versa). The time step in our DPD simulations is chosen as $\Delta t = 0.01 \tau$, with $\tau = \sqrt{mr_0^2 / k_B T}$. The number density of beads in the simulation box is fixed at $3 / r_0^3$ [12]. The velocity-Verlet integration algorithm is adopted for the time integration. The reduced units can be mapped to SI units using a real bilayer thickness and a measured value for the in-plane diffusion coefficient of lipids, as shown in previous studies [2, 3]. From the experiments, the thickness of the membrane is $d_{HH} \approx 3.53 \, \text{nm}$ [13] and the thickness of the planar membrane in our simulation is $d_{HH} \approx 4$
The physical length scale could then be obtained as \( r_0 \approx 0.9 \) nm. Comparing the experimental lipid lateral diffusion coefficient \( D \approx 5 \) \( \mu \)m\(^2\)/s of the DMPC [14] with the one from our simulation \( D \approx 7.3 \times 10^{-2} \frac{r_0^2}{\tau} \), we obtain the physical time scale \( \tau = 11.8 \) ns. Periodic boundary conditions are applied along all directions of the simulation box. All the simulations are performed by using the Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS), distributed by Sandia National Laboratories [15].

1.3. N-varid method

Due to the elasticity of the lipid membrane, the tension of membranes in cells can be adjusted, changing from 0.01 to 10 mN/m. [16] The \( N \)-varied DPD method is applied during the membrane wrapping process to ensure a constant tension of the planar membrane. [7,8,17–19] In practice, boundaries of the lipid bilayer are treated as a lipid reservoir for addition and removal of lipids. If the lipid number per unit area is larger (or smaller) than the target density \( \rho \), lipid molecules will be deleted (or inserted) into this boundary region to maintain a constant lipid number density. Meanwhile, a corresponding number of water molecules will be randomly inserted into (or deleted from) the simulation box to ensure a constant bead density of \( 3.0/r_0^3 \) in the simulation box. The target density \( \rho \) is taken based on the relation between membrane tension and lipid area given in Fig. S1. By using the \( N \)-varied DPD protocol, the lipid density in the membrane can easily be controlled to maintain the membrane’s lateral tension during the membrane wrapping process.

1.4. Simulation Protocol

To prepare a PEGylated liposome, lipid molecules are firstly randomly placed into a simulation box to form a liposome through a self-assembly process at temperature \( T = 1.0 \). Subsequently, a certain number of lipids in the outer layer is randomly chosen to be grafted with PEG chains on the lipid head beads, in accord with the molar ratio of the targeted PEGylated lipid. A PEGylated liposome then further relaxes for a duration of \( 10^6 \tau \) at \( T = 1.0 \). To prepare a PEGylated bicelle, a small patch of a planar bilayer is first relaxed in a simulation box. Afterwards, as certain number of lipids in the upper and lower leaflet are randomly chosen to graft with PEG chains on the lipid head beads. Afterwards, we enlarge the simulation box in the lateral direction while keeping the size of the PEGylated bilayer. The space in the edge of simulation box is filled with water to keep the density of the system unaltered. A PEGylated bicelle is obtained by relaxing the system for \( 10^6 \tau \) at \( T = 1.0 \). The planar membrane bilayer is relaxed in the box of size \( (70 \times 70 \times 100) r_0^3 \), which is large enough to avoid an influence of simulation box size on endocytosis. Finally, the fully relaxed PEGylated liposomes are placed above the planar bilayer to investigate the membrane wrapping process.
Figure S2: (A) Illustration of membrane wrapping for an ellipsoidal NPs. The three principal radii of the ellipsoid are $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_3$. The red part in the NP denotes the region that wrapped by membrane. While the blue part of the NP represents the unwrapped part of NP. (B) Illustration of cross cutting of the ellipsoidal NP.

1.5. Computation of membrane energy

To estimate the membrane elastic energy, we assume that a planar membrane wraps around a ellipsoidal NPs as given in Fig. S2(A). The three principle radii of the ellipsoid we denote by $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_3$. The ellipsoidal surface can be parameterized as

$$x = R_1 \cos \phi \sin \theta, \quad y = R_2 \sin \phi \sin \theta, \quad z = R_3 \cos \theta,$$

(5)

where $0 \leq \theta < \pi$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. When $R_1 = R_2 = R_3$, the ellipsoid returns to a spherical shape. If $R_1 = R_2$, the ellipsoid is symmetric in the plane of the membrane. An area element $dS$ and the mean curvature $H$ on any point of the ellipsoidal surface can be expressed as the function of $\theta$ and $\phi$ as follows [20]

$$dS(\theta, \phi) = \sin(\theta) \sqrt{R_1^2 \sin^2 \theta (R_1^2 \sin^2 \phi + R_2^2 \cos^2 \phi) + R_2^2 R_3^2 \cos^2 \theta} \, d\phi d\theta,$$

(6)

$$H(\theta, \phi) = \frac{R_1 R_2 R_3 [3(R_1^2 + R_2^2) + 2R_3^2 + (R_1^2 + R_2^2 - 2R_3^2) \cos(2\theta) - 2(R_1^2 - R_2^2) \cos(2\phi) \sin^2 \theta]}{8 \{R_1^2 R_2^2 \cos^2 \theta + R_2^2 R_3^2 \cos^2 \phi + R_1^2 \sin^2 \phi \sin^2 \theta\}^{3/2}}.$$

(7)

For simplicity, we consider a membrane patch that wraps around the NPs. Additionally, we assume that the membrane wrapping follows the evolution of $\theta$ as given in Fig. S2(B). Therefore, the wrapping fraction $f$ of the ellipsoidal NPs is a function of parameter $\theta$ only, and defined by

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\int_0^\theta \int_0^{2\pi} dS'(\theta', \phi)}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dS'(\theta', \phi)},$$

(8)

with $dS(\theta', \phi)$ from Eq. 6. According to Helfrich’s theory [21, 22], the membrane elastic energy can be expressed as:

$$E_m = E_{mbend} + E_{mtens} = 2\kappa_m \int H^2 dS + \sigma \Delta S,$$

(9)

where $\kappa_m$ is the bending rigidity of the membrane, $\sigma$ is the membrane tension, and $\Delta S$ is the excess area induced by membrane bending. Specifically, with the area element $dS$ and mean
curvature $H$ at hand, the membrane bending energy at a certain wrapping fraction $f$ can be written as

$$E_{mbend}(\theta) = 2\kappa_m \int_0^\theta \int_0^{2\pi} H^2(\theta', \phi) dS(\theta', \phi).$$

(10)

Additionally, the membrane stretching energy can be obtained as:

$$E_{intens}(\theta) = \sigma \left[ \int_0^\theta \int_0^{2\pi} dS(\theta', \phi) - \pi R_1 \sin(\theta) R_2 \sin(\theta) \right].$$

(11)

As we can see for the expressions above, the membrane elastic energy is a function of $\theta$. The integrals cannot be performed analytically; the integral over $\phi$ alone can be expressed in terms of an elliptic integral. Given the expressions of Eqs. 8, 10 and 11, the critical membrane tension can be directly obtained by following the Eq. 4 in the main text.
2. Results

2.1. Membrane wrapping process for PEGylated liposome under the membrane tension of $0.09k_BT/r_0^2$

The membrane wrapping processes for PEGylated liposomes with PEG molar ratios of 50% mol, 60% mol and 70% mol are given in Fig. S3. The membrane tension of these three cases is maintained at $0.09k_BT/r_0^2$. As we can see from Fig. S3(A) at 50% mol, the PEGylated liposome quickly adheres on the membrane due to the ligand-receptor binding (at $t = 59 \mu$s). Additionally, the membrane starts to bend and wrap around the liposome. During this process, the PEG polymers keep aggregating within the wrapped region of the liposome. Finally, the liposome is partially wrapped and trapped on the membrane. The membrane wrapping process of 40% mol PEGylated liposome is similar to the one of the 50% mol PEGylated liposome. The membrane wrapping process of 60% mol PEGylated liposome as shown in Fig. S3(B) is however different. Along with the aggregation of PEG polymers at $t = 280 \mu$s, the lipids on the contact edge of the liposome start to protrude and to assume a tubular shape. This protruding of the lipid might help releasing the increased steric interaction caused by aggregation. Furthermore, with the formation of a tubular shape, the space occupied by the water inside is decreased (at $t = 1000 \mu$s). Finally, the 60% mol PEGylated liposome is also trapped on the membrane. At 70% mol, the lipids on the contact edge of the liposome protrude as well. Moreover, with the increase of PEG polymer number, the tubular shape further reduces the space available to water, which in turns produces a large osmotic pressure, ultimately leading to liposome rupture at $t = 210 \mu$s. Finally, the ruptured 70% mol PEGylated liposome deforms into a strip-like shape that is trapped on the membrane.

2.2. Membrane wrapping process for PEGylated bicelle under the membrane tension of $0.09k_BT/r_0^2$

The membrane wrapping processes for the PEGylated bicelle with PEG molar ratios of 50% and 70% mol are given in Fig. S4. The membrane tension for the two cases is maintained at $0.09k_BT/r_0^2$. At 50% mol, the PEGylated bicelle is finally trapped on the membrane and the bicelle keeps a disc-like shape during the whole membrane wrapping process. The membrane wrapping process of the 40% mol PEGylated bicelle is similar to that of the 50% mol PEGylated bicelle. At 70% mol, the PEGylated bicelle is also partially wrapped. Interestingly, with the aggregation of PEG polymers in the wrapped region, the bicelle deforms into a strip-like shape to release the increased steric force. The membrane wrapping process of 60% mol PEGylated bicelle is similar to the that of 70% mol PEGylated bicelle at the membrane tension of $0.09k_BT/r_0^2$. 
Figure S3: Membrane wrapping process for PEGylated liposome under the membrane tension of $0.09k_B T/r_0^2$. (A-C) Snapshots during membrane wrapping process for PEGylated liposomes with PEG molar ratios of 50 %mol, 60 %mol, and 70 %mol.
Figure S4: Membrane wrapping process for the PEGylated bicelle under membrane tension of $0.09k_B T/r_0^2$. (A-B) Snapshots taken during the membrane wrapping processes for PEGylated liposomes with PEG molar ratios of 50% mol and 70% mol.
Figure S5: Projected PEG volume fraction distribution and end-to-end distance. Evolution of the PEG volume fraction distribution of the (A) PEGylated liposome and (B) PEGylated bicelle. Evolution of PEG polymers end-to-end distance for (C) PEGylated liposome and (D) PEGylated bicelle. The PEG molar ratio for both liposome and bicelle is 50% mol; the membrane tension is $0.09k_B T/r_0^2$ for both liposome and bicelle. Because of the partially wrapped state at the end, the PEG volume fraction remains highly inhomogeneous. Also, the end-to-end distance of PEG polymers remains at a large value.
Figure S6: (A) Snapshots of bicelle deformation during the membrane wrapping process. The configurations in the upper panel are cross cuttings of the bicelle at different times. The snapshots in the lower panel are the corresponding top views of the same bicelles. (B) Evolution of the three principal moments of the gyration tensor of the bicelle during the membrane wrapping process. The corresponding snapshots of the membrane wrapping process are given in Fig. 2(B) in the main text. The snapshots of cross cuttings in (A) show a flat surface plane for the bicelle during the entire wrapping process. In (B), the in-plane principal moments $r_{gz}^2$ and $r_{gy}^2$ change dramatically during the deformation of the bicelle, while the out-of-plane plane principal moment $r_{gx}^2$ keeps almost constant at a small value. The cross cutting of the bicelle in (A) and the principal moment $r_{gx}^2$ suggest that the curvature of the bicelle barely changes during the membrane wrapping process.
Figure S7: Evolution of the three principle radii belonging to the fitted ellipsoids of the PEGylated bicelle. The corresponding snapshots of the membrane wrapping process are given in Fig. 2(B) in the main text. Based on the data shown here, the three principle radii of the PEGylated bicelle at the most deformed state around the critical wrapping fraction \( f_c \) are \( R_1 \approx 19 r_0 \), \( R_2 \approx 10 r_0 \), and \( R_3 \approx 4 r_0 \), respectively.

Figure S8: (A) Comparison between critical membrane tensions of spherical and oblate NPs. The surface area of these NPs is assumed to be identical. The radius of the spherical NP is taken as \( R = 14.5 r_0 \). The shape of the oblate ellipsoidal NP is specified by \( R_1 = R_2 > R_3 \), where \( R_1 \), \( R_2 \) and \( R_3 \) are its principle radii. The curve with aspect ratio \( R_1/R_3 = 1 \) represents the spherical NPs. The membrane bending rigidity is taken as \( 6 k_B T \). (B) Cross wrapping fraction against aspect ratio \( R_1/R_3 \). The cross wrapping fraction is defined as the wrapping fraction where the oblate starts to have a larger critical membrane tension.
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