## **ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

## Toward realistic computer modeling of paraffin-based composite materials: Critical assessment of atomic-scale models of paraffins

Artyom D. Glova,<sup>1</sup> Igor V. Volgin,<sup>1</sup> Victor M. Nazarychev,<sup>1</sup> Sergey V. Larin,<sup>1</sup> Sergey V. Lyulin<sup>1,2</sup> and Andrey A. Gurtovenko<sup>\*,1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Macromolecular Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, Bolshoi Prospect V.O. 31, St.Petersburg, 199004, Russia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Physics, St. Petersburg State University, Ulyanovskaya Street 3, Petrodvorets, St.Petersburg, 198504, Russia

E-mail: a.gurtovenko@gmail.com

**Table S1.** Computational performance of 10 considered force fields in molecular dynamics simulations of n-eicosane samples at T = 450K in the NVT ensemble. All simulations were carried out on 70 cores (Intel Xeon CPU E5-2697 v3 (2.60 GHz)) of the Lomonosov-2 supercomputer. United-atom models are much faster than their all-atom counter-parts due to a smaller system size (20,000 vs 60,000 atoms) as well as zero partial charges (the united-atom models of eicosane do not require calculations of electrostatic interactions). The force field-specific cut-off radius of the van der Waals interactions also matters.

| Force field | Performance, ns/day |
|-------------|---------------------|
| CAEE2       | <u>85 7+0 4</u>     |
| OAFT2       | 83.7±0.4            |
| GAFF        | 83.6±0.4            |
| CHARMM36    | 52.4±0.1            |
| L-OPLS-AA   | 47.4±0.2            |
| OPLS-AA     | 46.9±0.2            |
| PYS         | 693.4±0.9           |
| NERD        | 556.3±4.8           |
| GROMOS      | 463.6±3.5           |
| TraPPE      | 443.1±1.3           |
| OPLS-UA     | 395.9±0.2           |



**Fig. S1.** The ratio  $\rho_{sim}/\rho_{exp}$  between computational and experimental<sup>1</sup> values of density of neicosane samples as a function of temperature. Shown are results for all-atom (a) and unitedatom (b) force fields.



**Fig. S2.** Fractions of gauche conformers along the hydrocarbon chain for all-atom force fields in the temperature range from 250 to 450 K. Positions of curves become lower with decreasing temperature.



**Fig. S3.** Fractions of gauche conformers along the hydrocarbon chain for united-atom force fields in the temperature range from 250 to 450 K. Positions of curves become lower with decreasing temperature.



**Fig. S4.** The probability distribution of the dihedral angle  $\varphi$  for different force fields at T = 450 K.



**Fig. S5.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of all-atom models. Show are results for configuration 2.



**Fig. S6.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of all-atom models. Show are results for configuration 3.



**Fig. S7.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of united-atom models. Show are results for configuration 2.



**Fig. S8.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of united-atom models. Show are results for configuration 3.



**Fig. S9.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of all-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 1.



**Fig. S10.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of all-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 2.



**Fig. S11.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of all-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 3.



**Fig. S12.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of united-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 1.



**Fig. S13.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of united-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 2.



**Fig. S14.** The probability distribution  $P(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for the n-eicosane crystalline phase simulated with the use of united-atom models at temperatures that are 30-35 K lower than the crystallization temperature. Show are results for configuration 3.

## References

1 W.F. Seyer, R.F. Patterson and J.L. Keays, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1944, 66, 179-182.