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**Experimental section**

**Synthesis of Ti$_3$AlC$_2$.** Elemental powders of Ti, Al (>99.5%, Alfa Aesar, -325 mesh) and graphite (Alfa Aesar, 2 μm) were mixed in the stoichiometric molar ratio of 3:1:2:2. KBr (Alfa Aesar) in 1:1 weight ratio was added to the resulting mix. The mixing was done with ethanol and zirconia milling balls (Φ 5mm) for 24 hours in a multidirectional mixer (Turbula, WAB, Switzerland). The dried powder was uni-axially pressed in a steel die under a pressure of 200 MPa. The pressed powders were further encapsulated with KBr and placed in a KBr salt bed in an alumina crucible covered with an alumina lid. The reaction mixture was heated to 1300°C at a rate of 5°C/min with a dwell time of 1 hr at 1300°C. After cooling, the Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ was obtained by dissolving the reaction mixture in water. The obtained Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ powders were washed in hot water to eliminate the residual KBr salt content. Finally, the Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ powders were rinsed in ethanol to avoid agglomeration and dried at 70°C in an oven.

**Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ exfoliation.** The Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ phase was grinded and friction with particle size below 0.1 mm was used for exfoliation. The exfoliation of Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ was performed using 40 wt% HF acid at room temperature. 5 g of Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ was added in 250 mL of 40% HF in 1L PP Erlenmeyer flask and ultrasonicated for 30 minutes. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 7 days. The exfoliated formed Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx MXene was separated by suction filtration (using PTFE filtration apparatus), repeatedly washed with water and dried in vacuum oven (24 hours / 50 °C). The powder was resuspended in water and let to sediment to retrieve the fine particles in the supernatant.

**Characterization.** A field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM; TESCAN MAIA 3) coupled with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) (Oxford Instruments, UK) was used to assess the morphology and elemental mapping. X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRD) was carried out by Bruker D8 Discoverer (Bruker, Germany) powder diffractometer with a Cu Kα source. The chemical composition of the etched MXene was assessed with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) by performing high-resolution scans of the Ti 2p and O 1s regions. The XPS system consisted of an XR-50-MF X-ray source with a μ-Focus monochromator and Phoibos 150 2D CCD hemispherical detector (SPECS, Germany). Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) was performed with Flex-Axiom “Nanosurf” under contact mode in dry state. For absorbance measurements, a Multiskan Sky spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) was used. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) nitrogen adsorption/desorption measurements were made using NOVAtouch 2200 (Quantachrome, USA) at 77 K. The samples were heated to 200 °C prior to each measurement to remove all adsorbed water. Raman spectroscopy was carried out with an inVia Raman microscope (Renishaw, England) in backscattering geometry with a charge-coupleddevice detector. DPSS laser (532 nm, 50 mW) with an applied power of 5 mW and a 50× magnification objective was used for the measurement. High-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) was done with an EFTEM JEOL 2200 FS microscope (JEOL, Japan). A 200 keV acceleration voltage was used for the measurements.

**DNA binding studies.** An aqueous MXene dispersion and FAM-ssDNA solution were incubated to a final concentration of 50 μg mL$^{-1}$ and 50 nM (unless otherwise specified) respectively, in Tris buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, pH 7.4). Incubation time was set to 1 h, before recording photoluminescence (PL) (fluorescence) spectra and end-point measurements. For MXene + dsDNA experiments, firstly FAM-ssDNA and cDNA were incubated at 50 nM and 1 to 50 nM, respectively, in Tris buffer for 1 h. The formed hybrids were transferred to aqueous MXene dispersion 50 μg mL$^{-1}$, followed by another 1-h incubation. Kinetic measurements were carried out by incubating MXene + FAM-ssDNA and MXene + FAM-ssDNA + cDNA (50 nM) as described above and recording the fluorescence intensity over time in real-time or in single-point measurements. All measurements were recorded at an excitation wavelength of 490 nm using Fluorolog Extreme (Horiba, France) equipped with a Xe lamp (450 W) and a double excitation monochromator. A monochromator iHR320 with a thermoelectrically cooled PMT detector was used for the measurement of emission spectra. Fluorescence anisotropy was recorded using L-format. All experiments were done at room temperature keeping the samples protected from light.

**Simulation setup.** Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using Gromacs 4.6.3 program package.[10] The used DNA structures were reduced from 24 nucleotides to 12 nucleotides (while preserving the original sequence) in order to reduce computational demands. DNA sequences were generated using the make-na server (http://structure.usc.edu/make-na). DNA was simulated both with and without the fluorescent FAM probe. The parm10 forcefield with the OL15 refinement[2] for DNA has been used for the DNA. The periodic Ti$_3$C$_2$T, MXene (box size 90 x 90 x 80 Å) was simulated using the parameters published by Xu et al.[3] MXene was functionalized with -F, -OH, -O groups with the ratio of 1.02 : 0.12 : 0.79, giving the final formula: Ti$_3$C$_2$O$_{0.79}$F$_{1.5}$O$_{1.02}$. Three initial configurations were considered; ssDNA and dsDNA structure (oriented in an upright or in parallel position with respect to the surface) was placed 20 Å above the MXene and solvated using SPCE water model.[4] Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$/ ions[5] at a 150 mM concentration was added to neutralize the system. Alternatively, the behaviour of DNA on MXene was studied also in KCl[6] solution and similar behavior was observed. The surface of the MXene was kept restrained during all simulations. At first, the system was minimized and thermalized using a V-rescale thermostat[7] and Berendsen barostat[8] (from 10 to 300 K and p = 1 bar), whereby the heavy atoms of DNA were kept restrained. Subsequently, 20 ns was used for the NpT equilibration at the final temperature and then switched to the NVT ensemble for the production run; in total 200 ns for each MX--DNA configuration. Non-bonded interactions were truncated at 10 Å. The electrostatics was handled using particle-mesh Ewald (PME) with a real-space cut-off 10 Å. Periodic boundary conditions were used in all three dimensions. The equations of motion were integrated with a 2 fs time step. Bonds involving hydrogens were constrained by LINCS algorithm.[9]
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