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Experimental Section

The synthesis of Mo-NiO, Ni$_3$N, Mo-Ni$_3$N, Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ and Ni$_3$N/MoN.

The Mo-NiO nanosheets on nickel foams (NFs) were synthesized via a modified hydrothermal process.$^1$ First, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (1 mmol), NH$_4$F (1.8 mmol) and urea (4.4 mmol) were dissolved in 20 mL deionized water. And then, Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O (0.1 mmol) was added and stirred for 20 minutes. The as-prepared solution and a piece of NF were further transferred to a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and maintained at 120 °C for 6 h. After the autoclave cooled down naturally, the as-prepared resultant was collected and washed with deionized water and ethanol, respectively, and finally dried at 60 °C for 10 h. The obtained samples were finally annealed at 400 °C for 2 h with a heating rate of 10 °C per minute under the Ar atmosphere to obtain the Mo-NiO nanosheets. Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ nanosheets and Ni$_3$N/MoN on NF were prepared by annealing the Mo-NiO at 400 °C and 700 °C for 2h under NH$_3$ atmosphere, respectively. The Mo-Ni$_3$N was fabricated using the same procedure with a lower Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O amount (0.01 mmol), while the pure Ni$_3$N was synthesized without the addition of Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O.

Materials characterization.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Philips, X’pert X-ray) was performed on a diffractometer of Cu Ka, $\lambda$=1.54182 Å. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL-JSM-6700F, 5 kV of accelerating voltage) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H7650, 100 kV of accelerating voltage) were employed to acquire the morphological and microstructural information. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and energy dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis were conducted on Talos F200X and JEMARM 200F microscope, respectively. The chemical states of the samples were measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) at the the BL10B end-station in the National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory of Hefei, with the C1s (284.6 eV) as the calibration reference. X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy measurements were conducted at the beamline (BL14W1) of Shanghai National Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF, China).
Electrochemical measurements.

The electrochemical measurements were carried out on the CHI760e electrochemical workstation in a typical three-electrode system, with Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl), graphite rod, the studied catalysts and 1.0 M KOH as the reference, counter, working electrodes and electrolyte, respectively. The polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) electrochemical cell was used for the electrochemical measurements, while the working electrodes were passivated by the insulating epoxy (Hardman Double Bubble HARDMAN, 04001) to avoid the capillary effect. The loading amounts for the Ni$_3$N, Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ and Ni$_3$N/MoN are ~1.5 mg cm$^{-2}$. The Pt/C catalyst ink was prepared by homogeneously dispersing 5 mg of the Pt/C (20 wt%) and 20 μL of 5 wt% Nafion solution in 1 mL water/ethanol (3:1 v/v) solution. Then, the catalyst ink was coated onto the NF with a Pt/C loading amount of 2 mg cm$^{-2}$. All potentials measured versus Ag/AgCl were calibrated to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to the Nernst equation: $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.197 \text{V} + 0.059 \text{pH}$. The polarization curves were obtained using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$. The durability test was performed by chronopotentiometry technique with a fixed current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. The electrochemical surface areas (ECSA) of the catalysts were estimated using the electrochemical double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$). To estimate the $C_{dl}$, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out in the non-faradaic potential region from 0.228 to 0.328 V vs. RHE with various scan rates of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s$^{-1}$, respectively. The capacitive current $\Delta j = (j_a - j_c)/2$ at 0.278 V is plotted versus the scan rate. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed at the overpotential of 50 mV with a potential perturbation of 5 mV amplitude in the range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. TOF values were calculated using a previously reported method, in which the number of active sites was estimated as the amount of surface sites (including Ni, Mo, N and O atoms). The geometrical areas for stability test is 1 cm$^2$. The Faradic efficiency for hydrogen production from 1.0 M KOH of Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ was evaluated in a H-type cell with an anion exchange membrane as the separator and 20 mL 1.0 M KOH as the electrolyte in each compartment, with a gas chromatography (HA GC-9560) for the hydrogen gas detection. The Faradic efficiency was calculated using the formula: Faradic efficiency = $2F^*N_{H_2}/Q=2F^*N_{H_2}/(It)$, where $F$ is the Faradic constant, $I$ is the current, $t$ is the running time and $N_{H_2}$ is the amount of $H_2$ production.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

Plane-wave density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using the CASTEP module in Materials Studio package of Accelrys Inc. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used to evaluate the electron exchange-correlation energy.$^4$ The ultrasoft pseudopotentials were employed and the core electrons of atoms were treated using effective core potential (ECP). The kinetic energy cutoff was set to 500 eV for the plane-wave basis set. The Brillouin zone was sampled by a 3×3×1 Monkhorst-Pack mesh k-point sampling for structural optimization. DFT simulations were performed based on the crystal structures of hexagonal Ni$_3$N (a=4.616 Å, b=4.616 Å, c=4.298 Å, JCPDS No. 89-5144) with space group of P6322 and tetragonal MoO$_2$ with the space group of P42/mnm. The convergence tolerances for geometric optimization were set to 5.0 * 10$^{-6}$ eV per atom for energy, 5.0 * 10$^{-5}$ Å for maximum displacement, and 0.01 eV Å$^{-1}$ for maximum force. The free energy changes ($\Delta G_{\text{f}}$) for H$^+$ adsorption on Ni$_3$N (001) and MoO$_2$ (220) surfaces were calculated according to the equation: $\Delta G_{\text{f}} = E_{\text{surf-H}} - E_{\text{surf}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} - T\Delta S$, where $E_{\text{surf-H}}$ is the total energy of surface covered with a H, $E_{\text{surf}}$ is the energy of clean surface, and $\Delta E_{\text{ZPE}}$ is the zero-point energy change and $\Delta S$ is the entropy change. For this study, the value of $\Delta E_{\text{ZPE}}+T\Delta S$ on catalyst surface is 0.24 eV for H adsorption.$^5$ H$_2$O absorption energy was calculated by the following equation, $\Delta E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}^*} = E_{\text{surf-H}_2\text{O}^*} - E_{\text{surf}} - E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, where $E_{\text{surf-H}_2\text{O}^*}$ is the total energy of surface covered with a H$_2$O molecule, $E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is the energy of a H$_2$O molecule in gas phase. A complete LST/QST approach was used to determine the transition state.
Fig. S1 SEM images of (a) Mo-NiO, and (b-f) Mo-NiO annealed at various temperatures in the range of 300-700 °C under NH₃ atmosphere, respectively.

Fig. S2 SEM image of Ni₃N.
Fig. S3 (a) XRD patterns of Mo-NiO and Mo-NiO prepared at various temperatures. The dashed lines label the diffraction positions of MoN. (b) XRD pattern of pure Ni$_3$N.

Fig. S4 (a) The Mo K edge EXAFS $k^3\chi(k)$ functions for MoO$_2$ and Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$. 

Fig. S4 (b) The Ni K edge EXAFS $k^2\chi(k)$ functions for NiN/MoO$_2$, Ni$_3$N, Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$, and NiO.
Fig. S5 (a) Ni K edge EXAFS $k^3\chi(k)$ functions for NiO, Ni$_3$N and Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ and (b) their Fourier transforms.

Fig. S6 XPS core-level spectrum of Ni 2p in Mo-NiO.

Fig. S7 The XPS Pt 4f spectra for the Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ before and after the HER stability test.
Fig. S8 CV curves of (a) Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$, (b) Ni$_3$N/MoN (c) Ni$_3$N and (d) NF in the non-Faradaic range at the scan rates of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s$^{-1}$, respectively.

Fig. S9 Comparison of the TOFs of Ni$_3$N, Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$, Ni$_3$N/MoN and NF at different potentials.
Fig. S10 (a) The XRD pattern of Mo-Ni$_3$N. (b) The polarization curve of Mo-Ni$_3$N compared with Ni$_3$N and Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$.

Fig. S11 Polarization curves of (a) Ni$_3$N, (b) MoO$_2$ and (c) Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ and their hydrogen-treated counterparts.

Fig. S12 TEM image of the Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ nanosheets after the HER stability test.
Fig. S13 HAADF-STEM element mapping images of the Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ nanosheets after the HER stability test.

Fig. S14 XPS core-level (a) Ni 2p, (b) N 1s, (c) Mo 3d spectra of Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ after the HER stability test, respectively.
**Fig. S15** The top-view and side-view structures of (a and c) Ni$_3$N and (b and d) MoO$_2$.

**Fig. S16** The side-view slices of electron density difference images of (a) Ni$_3$N and (b) MoO$_2$ surfaces, respectively.

**Fig. S17** The surface electrostatic potential maps based on the electron density difference of (a) Ni$_3$N and (b) MoO$_2$, respectively.
Fig. S18 $\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}$ values of Ni$_3$N with the different N-Ni surfaces.

Table S1 The comparison of HER performances for Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$ with the ever-reported metal nitrides electrocatalysts in alkaline medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Morphologies</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$\eta$ (mV) at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>Tafel slopes (mV dec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Electrolytes</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_3$N/MoO$_2$/NF</td>
<td>nanosheets</td>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>21 mV</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_3$N</td>
<td>nanosheets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>305 mV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Ni$_3$N</td>
<td>nanorods</td>
<td>carbon cloth</td>
<td>194 mV</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_3$FeN</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>158 mV</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$Ni$_3$N</td>
<td>nanosheets</td>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>180 mV</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_3$N/NiMoN</td>
<td>nanosheets</td>
<td>carbon cloth</td>
<td>31 mV</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMoN</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24 mV</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMoN</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>carbon cloth</td>
<td>109 mV</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiN/Ni$_3$N</td>
<td>nanowires</td>
<td>titanium foil</td>
<td>21 mV</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/WN</td>
<td>nanowires</td>
<td>carbon cloth</td>
<td>47 mV</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_3$FeNx</td>
<td>nanowires</td>
<td>nickel foam</td>
<td>23 mV</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Co$_3$N</td>
<td>nanosheets</td>
<td>nickel foam</td>
<td>37 mV</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$_3$FeN</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>carbon cloth</td>
<td>238 mV</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$<em>3$N$</em>{1-x}$</td>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>55 mV</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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