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Experiment

Preparation of NENU-5:

Solution A: The Cu-based NENU-5 POMOFs were used as the precursor for the synthesis of MoN-CN nano-octahedrons. The MENU-5 was synthesized by method as described in previous report [1]. Specifically, 0.7355 g of L-glutamic acid, 3 g of phosphomolybdic acid hydrate and 2 g of copper acetate monohydrate are dissolved into 500 mL deionized water (DI) and stirred for 0.5 h. Solution B: 1.4 g of 1, 3, 5-benzenetricarboxylic acid was dissolved in 400 mL of ethanol. Solution B was introduced into solution A under stirring under room temperature under continuous string for 14 h. The green precipitate was rinsed with DI, and finally dried at 70 °C overnight.

Preparation of Porous MoO$_2$-C Nano-Octahedrons:

The green NENU-5 nano-octahedrons were annealed at 650 °C for 3 h under an Ar atmosphere with a heating ramp of 2 °C min$^{-1}$. The as-obtained was denoted as MoO$_2$-C-Cu. Then, the black sample was dispersing into 0.1 M FeCl$_3$ aqueous solution under string for 12 h to remove the copper particles. The resulting porous MoO$_2$-C nano-octahedrons was washed with DI and followed by vacuum drying at 70 °C for 12 h. As a control experiment, MoO$_2$-C nano-octahedron was treated with 0.2 M diluted hydrochloric acid and denoted C nano-octahedron.

Preparation of Porous MoN-NC Nano-Octahedrons:
The MoO$_2$-C and C nano-octahedrons were annealed at 650 °C for 6 h ammonia flow and the heating rate was 2 °C min$^{-1}$. Finally, the porous MoN-NC and CN nano-octahedrons were obtained. As a control experiment, bulk MoO$_2$ was annealed by the same procedure to prepare the bulk MoN material.

**Preparation of S/MoN-NC composites**

Sulfur encapsulation was performed via a melt-diffusion method. A mixture of sulfur (77 wt %) with MoN-NC composites was hand-milled for 20 min and then transferred to an autoclave and heated at 155 °C for 12 h. Upon cooling, the final materials were collected as 77S/MoN-NC. The 77S/MoN and 77S/NC composites were prepared via the same procedure as above.

**Preparation of Li$_2$S$_6$ Solution:**

The Li$_2$S$_6$ solution was prepared by dissolving lithium sulfide (46 mg) and sublimed sulfur (224 mg) in a molar ratio of 1:7 in 5 mL in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 99.5%, Alfa Aesar), and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL, 99.5%, Alfa Aesar) (1:1 ratio, by volume).

The morphology analyses were conducted with TEM (Nova Nano SEM 230) and TEM (Tecnai G2 20ST) coupled with an attached energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku 3014) were characterized with Cu-Kα source. The sulfur content was confirmed by TGA analysis (Netzsch, STA 449C) under N$_2$ flow at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The N$_2$ adsorption measurements were performed on ASAP 2460 analyzer (Micromeritics, USA) at 77 K. The chemical state of elements in composites was tested on X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCA LAB 250Xi).
**Electrochemical Measurement:**

To prepare the working electrode, a slurry was obtained by mixing and stirring the as-prepared composites, conductive carbon black and polyvinylidifluoride (PVDF) with the mass ratio of 8:1:1 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, respectively. Then, the slurry was spread onto Al current collector and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h in vacuum for 10 h. The sulfur loading was controlled at 1.9–4.9 mg cm\(^{-2}\). The coin-type cells (2032) were assembled with metallic Li as the counter electrodes and Celgard 2400 as a separator. The electrolyte was consisted of 1.0 m lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) in 1, 3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) (v/v, 1:1) with 2 % LiNO\(_3\) as the additive. The volume of the electrolyte used in each cell was 30–50 µL, and the electrolyte-to-sulfur (E/S) ratio was about 15–20 µL mg\(^{-1}\). Discharge/charge tests were carried out between 1.7 and 2.8 V (vs Li/Li\(^+\)) at various C rates (1 C = 1675 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) with LAND-CT2001A instruments (Wuhan Jinnuo, China). CV and EIS measurements were performed on electrochemical workstation (Solartron 1470E battery test) with a scan rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\). In addition, EIS were performed using electrochemical workstation (Solartron 1470E battery test) in the frequency range between 10 mHz and 100 kHz.
**Calculation Method**

The calculations are performed on density functional theory (DFT) with Vienna ab initio package (VASP) [2]. The exchange-correlation interactions are described by general gradient approximation of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) [3]. The energy cutoff of plane wave functions are set to 500 eV. A 20 Å vacuum layer is used. The reciprocal space is sampled using a $4 \times 4 \times 1$ point grid by Monkhorst-Pack $K$-points scheme. The structures are relaxed until the residual force on each atom is less than 0.01 eV Å$^{-1}$. The calculated lattice constants of MoN along x, y and z axes are 8.79 Å, 8.79 Å and 13.62 Å. The adsorption energies are calculated by the equation:

$$E_a = E_{Li_2S_x + substrate} - E_{Li_2S_x} - E_{substrate}$$

Where, the $E_a$, $E_{Li_2S_x + substrate}$, $E_{Li_2S_x}$ and $E_{substrate}$ represent the adsorption energy, the total energy of Li$_2$S$_x$ species, the total energy of Li$_2$S$_x$ and the total of the MoN substrates.
Figure S-1. (a) and (b): the as-prepared SEM and TEM image of NENU-5 POMOFs; the insert picture is the digital photos of NENU-5 POMOFs.
Figure S-2. a) XRD pattern of NENU-5 POMOFs and XRD pattern of MoO$_2$/CN.
Figure S-3. Thermogravimetric curve of 77S/MoN-NC.
Figure S-4. a) XRD patterns; (b) SEM image; (c) N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms, and (d) pore size distributions of the as-prepared MoN.
Figure S-5. a) The overview XPS spectrum of 77S/Mo-NC composites; (b) XPS spectra of S 2p for the 77S/Mo-NC composites.
Figure S-6. Long-term cycling performance of the NC and MoN-NC electrodes. (current density= 837 mA g⁻¹)

The maximum capacity that MoN nanoparticles was expected to contribute by their Li⁺ intersection pseudo-capacitive behavior is calculated according to The specific capacity of MoN ×(MoN/S ratio of the 77S/MoN-NC cathode)

For example,

The specific capacity of MoN = 86 mA h g⁻¹,
MoN/S ratio of the NC/MoN/S cathode = (0.23 × 0.11) / 0.77 = 0.032
The increased specific capacity of sulfur contributed by MoN in the 77S/MoN-NC cathode = 86 × 0.032 = 2.8 mA h g⁻¹.

The NC deliver more than 60 mA h g⁻¹, should because the pseudo-capacitive Li⁺ storage behaviour. It should be noted that after the sulfur impregnation, the surface of carbon framework should be covered by the
sulfur, thus the electronic double layer capacitance (EDLC) of NC should be heavily discounted. Considering the Li$^+$ insertion-desertion redox reaction, the pseudo-capacitive-type capacity of MoN shall not be affected. But it only contributes less than 0.3% of overall capacity (2.8 mAh g\(^{-1}\) vs 934 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.5 C).
Figure S-7. Galvanostatic discharge-charge voltage profile at 0.5 C of 77S/MoN-NC composite.
Figure S-8. EIS spectra of 77S/MoN-NC, 77S/MoN and 77S/NC after 200 cycles.
**Figure S-9.** a) The cross-section SEM images and b) the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopys (EDS) analysis of fresh lithium anode and the cross-section SEM images of lithium anode after 200 cycles combing with MoN-NC, MoN and NC.
Figure S-10. Ex situ TEM image of 77S/Mo-NC cycles after 400 cycles.
Table S-1. Comparison of the cycling performance of this work with other previously reported metal compounds as sulfur host materials for Li-S batteries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Sulfur host</th>
<th>Sulfur weight (wt.%)</th>
<th>Sulfur loading</th>
<th>Current rate (C)</th>
<th>Cycle number</th>
<th>Capacity (mAh g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>MoN-NC</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Porous-Shell VN nanobubbles</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>WN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>697</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Porous Carbon Fibers/Vanadium Nitride Arrays</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ACNF/CoS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CoO/Co@PCF</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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