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Synthesis procedure: Formation mechanism

Fig. S1  (a,b) SEM and (c,d) TEM images of Ce-CP structure (inset shows respective SAED pattern)

The Ce-CP rods were synthesised under anodic electrochemical current at an aqueous solution and within the oxygen evolution region. The reason to make such a unique atmosphere is rationalised through thermodynamic behaviour of cerium (Ce) species in aqueous solution. To be clarified, the newly revised Pourbaix diagram for Ce$^{3+}$-Ce$^{4+}$-TCA-H$_2$O is shown in Fig. S2.
Fig. S2  Pourbaix diagram for the system Ce$^{3+}$-Ce$^{4+}$-H$_2$O-TCA, showing the pathway for modified anodic chronopotentiometric electrodeposition (MACE).

The possible chemical reaction towards the formation of Ce-CP are as follows:

1. Deprotonation of TCA in water followed by dropping in pH value from 6.5 to <2.3:

$$CCl_3COOH + H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + CCl_3COO^-$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 1}

2. Dissociation of cerium nitrate salt in the solution resulting in the release of free Ce$^{3+}$ and nitrate anions:

$$Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O \rightarrow Ce^{3+} + 3NO_3^- + 6H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2}

3. At pH = 6, the oxidation voltage for cerium was found to be 0.55 V vs. Ag/AgCl, while the onset of water oxidation is laid at 0.8 V. Applying constant potential of 1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl caused a rapid generation of oxygen at the anode (FTO) surface (Equations 3-5):

$$2H_2O \rightarrow 4H^+ + O_2 + 4e^-$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 3}
The high production rate of oxygen molecules on the FTO substrate results in oxidation of Ce(III) species to Ce(IV). However, during water oxidation, the evolution of one-mole oxygen is followed by the formation of 4 mole protons that in turn results in a rapid drop in local pH and an increased concentration of protons. At this condition, Ce(IV) hydroxide species are in soluble form.

Additionally, owing to low pKₐ value of the TCA, deprotonated TCA acted as secondary building units (SBUs), bridging Ce(IV) hydroxide species together resulting in the formation of a novel polycrystalline Ce-CP. The corresponding equation is given below:

\[ Ce^{4+} + 2OH^- + 2TCA + 2H_2O = Ce(OH)_2(TCA)_2 \cdot 2H_2O \]  

**Equation 6**

![Raman spectra of Ce-CP tube (top) and TCA (bottom).](image)

**Fig. S3**  Raman spectra of Ce-CP tube (top) and TCA (bottom).

**Raman data:** The Raman spectra of the Ce-CP was analysed comprehensively and indexed according to the vibrational modes of pure TCA and CeO₂, as explained below:

Most of the TCA peaks are present in Ce-CP rods indicating the presence of TCA molecule in the structure. The peak at 214 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the CCl₃ rock. The peaks centred at 288 is assigned...
to the symmetric deformation of CCl$_3$, while the peak at 430 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the asymmetric bending vibrations of the C-Cl$_3$ bond. Additionally, the symmetric stretching vibrations of C-Cl$_3$ bond in TCA delivers a peak at 688 cm$^{-1}$, while the peaks for asymmetrical stretching of the C-Cl$_3$ bond appear at 845 and 744 cm$^{-1}$. The peak positioned at 952 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the symmetric stretching vibration mode of the carbon-carbon bond (C-C). Further comparison of the two spectra shows that Raman shifts occurred in some of the peaks (952 cm$^{-1}$ to 962 cm$^{-1}$, 700 cm$^{-1}$ to 740 cm$^{-1}$, and 683 cm$^{-1}$ to 688 cm$^{-1}$), which are attributed to the alteration in vibrational modes of the TCA bonds owing to their interactions with Ce ions. Furthermore, the peak at 1746 cm$^{-1}$ for TCA, which is attributed to the stretching vibrations of COO$^-$, is split into two peaks at 1367 and 1662 cm$^{-1}$ for the Ce-CP spectra. The splitting can result from the bonding between the COO$^-$ group of TCA and Ce cations followed by corresponding symmetric (1367 cm$^{-1}$) and asymmetric (1662 cm$^{-1}$) vibrations. This is confirmed by revealing the peak at 455 cm$^{-1}$, which is close to the symmetric vibration of Ce and eight coordinated oxygen.

Fig. S4  FTIR spectra of Ce-CP tubes.

**FTIR data:** The bands centred at ~3600 and ~3400 cm$^{-1}$ show stretching vibrations of hydroxyl groups revealing the presence of water and OH group in the Ce-CP. The peaks at ~1600 and ~1360 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of carboxylic groups that is bonded to Ce cations. Also, the peaks at 1030 cm$^{-1}$ and ~960 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to bending
vibration of COO$^-$ and symmetric vibration of C-C, respectively.$^7$ Similar to Raman spectra, the peaks at 688, 744, and 845 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to C-Cl$_3$ vibration modes.

**Fig. S5**  TGA analysis of Ce-CP in a nitrogen atmosphere.

**TGA data:** TGA analysis of Ce-CP under reducing nitrogen atmosphere illustrates three steps involving removal of structural water (5.2% at ~160°C), carbon chloride (43.0% at ~220°C), and CO$_2$ (15.8% at ~420°C) from the Ce-CP, respectively. At temperature above 420°C, the remaining Ce-CP (35.2 wt%) transforms into CeO$_2$.

**Fig. S6**  The experimental, refined and simulated XRD patterns.$^8$

---
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Fig. S7  (a) The relaxed Ce-CP structure commensurate with experimental lattice parameters. All TCA molecules were found to remain intact. A, B, and C denote the Ce ion bonding to a TCA molecule, a water molecule and an OH group respectively. (b)-(e) show the site projected partial density of states of the marked Ce ion and the O ions from distinct coordinating ligands.

Since XRD cannot identify the position of lighter atoms such as H with great accuracy, a full geometry optimisation was performed on the experimentally refined structure. The final optimised structure which is shown in Fig. S7a reproduces the main diffraction peaks at low angles centered at 7.34982° and 81339° with reasonable accuracy. It should be noted factors such as the low resolution of the XRD measurement, supercell approach of the density functional calculations and the fact that the simulation corresponds to 0 K condition limit greater match between theory and experiment.

Furthermore, the Ce-O\textsubscript{(TCA)} bond was found to be $\sim$ 2.56 Å which was longer than both Ce-O\textsubscript{(H2O)} bond at $\sim$2.60 Å and Ce-O\textsubscript{(OH)} bond at 1.96 Å. The longer Ce-O\textsubscript{(TCA)} bond length reinforces the notion of the fragility of this bond. The empty Ce 4f, 5d and 6s states, in Fig. 7b, point to a 4+ oxidation state for Ce ions. Moreover, the lack of any overlap between Ce states and coordinating O states (Fig. 7(b)-(e)) indicates lack of any strong covalent bonding to Ce.
Fig. S8  Experimental X-ray pattern obtained from (a) freshly prepared Ce-CP and (b) aged sample (under ambient condition) for 3 months.

Fig. S9  Schematic of stratified (layered) structure of Ce-CP. Large yellow spheres = Ce$^{4+}$, small green spheres = C$^{4+}$, small black sphere = H$^+$, small blue spheres = O$^{2-}$, small red spheres = Cl$^-$.
Fig. S10  AFM image and corresponding height profile of Ce-CP nanosheets printed from surface of ethanol at evaporation times of (a) 12 h, (b) 24 h (c) 36 h (d) 48 h, and (e) 72 hours, (f) Plot of Ce-CP nanosheet thickness variations against exfoliation (touch printing) time.

Fig. S11  AFM image and corresponding height profile of Ce-CP nanosheets printed from surface of ethanol at different Ce-CP concentration of (a,b) 4 M, (c,d) 8 M.
**Recrystallisation Ce-CP from ethanol:** Disassembly/reassembly of the Ce-CP resulted in no considerable change in crystal and chemical structures. To confirm, XRD, Raman, HRTEM, Raman, and FTIR data of the Ce-CP rod and re-assembled Ce-CP upon ethanol evaporation were studied, as shown in Fig. S12.

**Fig. S12** (a) XRD patterns of Ce-CP rod synthesised by electrochemical deposition (black) and Ce-CP octahedron obtained by dissolution/recrystallisation method in ethanol (red), (b) HRTEM image of Ce-CP rod (left) and Ce-CP octahedron (enclosed regions by yellow solid line show single crystallites, (c) Raman spectra of Ce-CP rod (black) and Ce-CP octahedron (red), and (d) Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectra of Ce-CP rod (black) and Ce-CP octahedron (red).
Fig. S13  SEM, TEM, HRTEM images and SAED pattern of CeO$_{2-x}$ derived from Ce-CP morphologies synthesized at 0°C: (a-c) 4 M, and at 25°C: (d-f) 4 M, (g-i) 8 M, and (j-l) 120 M.

**Surface characterisation of derived CeO$_{2-x}$**

To quantify the [$V^{\bullet\bullet}$] from XPS results, atomic percentages of both Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$ were determined using Gaussian deconvolution method. The peaks of Ce 3$d$ and O 1$s$ orbitals for the four samples are shown in Fig. S14 and S15, respectively. The red filled areas represent the density of Ce$^{3+}$ states. The atomic percentages of [$V^{\bullet\bullet}$], derived from Ce$^{3+}$ related peak are 15, 19, 16, and 11 for holey 2D nanosheet, hollow octahedron, hollow sphere and leaf CeO$_{2-x}$ structures, respectively.
**Fig. S14**  XPS Ce 3d spectra for holey nanosheet, hollow octahedron, hollow sphere, and leaf CeO$_{2-x}$.

**Fig. S15**  XPS O 1s spectra for holey nanosheet, hollow octahedron, hollow sphere, and leaf CeO$_{2-x}$.
### Table S1  Comparison of MB degradation performance for holey CeO<sub>2</sub>-x reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; crystallite size (nm)</th>
<th>[Dye] (mol L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Solids loading (mg mL&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Radiation source</th>
<th>Degradation for 2 h (%)&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)/ pore volume (cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Kinetics (min&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>[V••O] (at %)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-V&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>~32 (50 min)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-CuO</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-ZnO</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>~10</td>
<td>8.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~51</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>60 (50 min)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>~11</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~64</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-ZrO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Anhedron</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~60</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>3.0/0.104</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-ZrO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3D porous</td>
<td>~11</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~64</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>3.0-36.0/0.48</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; crystallite size (nm)</td>
<td>[Dye] (mol L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Solids loading (mg mL&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Radiation source</td>
<td>Degradation for 2 h (%)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Specific surface area (m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;/g)</td>
<td>Pore size (nm) / pore volume (cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Kinetics (min&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>[&lt;i&gt;V&lt;/i&gt;••&lt;i&gt;O&lt;/i&gt;] (at %)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>~22</td>
<td>6.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>~13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kGy modified-CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>~22</td>
<td>6.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>49.63</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>~17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>~&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-RGO&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Octahedron-NS&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>~12</td>
<td>4.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-RGO</td>
<td>NP&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;-NS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~70 (50 min)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2-x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>4-8&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~14</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3/0.001</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2-x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Hollow sphere</td>
<td>4-8&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~37</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>8.0/0.150</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2-x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Hollow octahedron</td>
<td>4-8&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>6.8/0.420</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO&lt;sub&gt;2-x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Holey NS</td>
<td>4-8&lt;sup&gt;I&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Simulated Solar light</td>
<td>~77</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>7.4/0.320</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>A</sup> Unless otherwise noted
<sup>B</sup> Sphere diameter 40 nm
<sup>C</sup> RGO = reduced graphene oxide
<sup>D</sup> NS = nanosheet
<sup>E</sup> NP = nanoparticle
<sup>F</sup> Cross section ~2-3 μm
<sup>G</sup> Diameter ~400 nm
<sup>H</sup> Cross section ~1 μm
<sup>I</sup> Thickness range ~15-100 nm
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Table S2  Effects of concentration, temperature, and vapour pressure (ideal gas) on morphological variations of Ce-CP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Vapour Pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Nanostructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>Thin Nanosheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.568</td>
<td>Thick Nanosheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hollow Sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+25</td>
<td>7.830</td>
<td>Hollow Pseudoctahedron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hollow Elongated Octahedron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid Leaf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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