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Transient PL characteristics, fabrication and performance measurement for DPEPO-hosted devices were the same as the reports.\textsuperscript{1-3} Apart from the above experiment, the computational details and measurement instruments were cited by our previous work.\textsuperscript{4-6}

The evaluation of exciton dynamic rate constants was calculated by equation S1-S7:

\[ k_{PF} = \frac{\phi_{PF}}{\tau_P} \]  
\text{Equation S1}

\[ k_{DF} = \frac{\phi_{DF}}{\tau_D} \]  
\text{Equation S2}

\[ k_{ISC} = \frac{\phi_{DF}}{\phi_{PF}} k_{PF} \]  
\text{Equation S3}

\[ k_{BISC} = \frac{k_{DF} k_{PF} \phi_{DF}}{k_{ISC} \phi_{PF}} \]  
\text{Equation S4}

\[ k_{PF} = k_r^S + k_m^S + k_{ISC} \]  
\text{Equation S5}

\[ \phi_{PF} = \frac{k_r^S}{k_r^S + k_m^S + k_{ISC}} = \frac{k_r^S}{k_{PF}} \]  
\text{Equation S6}

\[ \phi_{ISC} = \frac{k_{ISC}}{k_r^S + k_m^S + k_{ISC}} = \frac{k_{ISC}}{k_{PF}} \]  
\text{Equation S7}
The synthesis of this series of acridine–carbazol hybrid donor was cited by the previous reports.\textsuperscript{7, 8} The related \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR data of intermediate were also provided.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The detail kinetic parameters}
\begin{tabular}{llcccccccc}
\hline
Emitters & \(x\) & \(w\%\) & \(\phi_P\textsuperscript{a}\) & \(\phi_D/\phi_P\textsuperscript{b}\) & \(\tau_P/\tau_D\textsuperscript{c}\) & \(k_{PP}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(k_{DP}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(k_{ISC}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(k_{RISC}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(k_{SF}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(k_{SR}\textsuperscript{d}\) & \(\Phi_{ISC}\textsuperscript{d}\) \\
(Host) & & & (\%) & (\%) & (ns)/(\mu)s & \textsuperscript{10^7} s\textsuperscript{-1} & \textsuperscript{10^4} s\textsuperscript{-1} & \textsuperscript{10^5} s\textsuperscript{-1} & \textsuperscript{10^5} s\textsuperscript{-1} & \textsuperscript{10^6} s\textsuperscript{-1} & \textsuperscript{10^8} s\textsuperscript{-1} & (\%)
\hline
23AcCz-PM\textsuperscript{e} & 10 & 95 & 58/37 & 14/3.4 & 4.2 & 10.8 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 2.4 & 1.3 & 38.8 & \\
(DPEPO) & 20 & 91 & 52/39 & 17/4.5 & 3.1 & 8.6 & 1.3 & 1.5 & 1.60 & 1.6 & 42.7 & \\
30 & 90 & 50/40 & 23/4.6 & 2.2 & 8.7 & 0.97 & 1.6 & 1.1 & 1.2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a} Absolute PL quantum yield measured with integrating spheres; \textsuperscript{b} According to the prompt and delayed components in transient delay curves; \textsuperscript{c} Fitted transient PL curve of prompt and decay components; \textsuperscript{d} calculated using equations S1-S7; \textsuperscript{e} data from Fig. 5b;

The synthesis of this series of acridine–carbazol hybrid donor was cited by the previous reports.\textsuperscript{7, 8} The related \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR data of intermediate were also provided.

\begin{equation}
1\textsuperscript{H} \text{NMR} (600 \text{ MHz, DMSO-}d_6) \delta = 8.72 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.69 (td, J = 10.1, 7.9, 4.0, 2H), 7.65–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.33 (dt, J = 15.9, 6.3, 2H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 1H), 6.99–6.89 (m, 1H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 1H), 1.62 (d, J = 6.6, 6H)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
1\textsuperscript{H} \text{NMR} (600 \text{ MHz, DMSO-}d_6) \delta = 9.43 (s, 1H), 8.25–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.51 (ddt, J = 8.6, 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.23 (m, 5H), 7.19 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H) 3.83 (s, 3H).
\end{equation}
The description for the full name and function of the material in device fabrication was provided as follows:

TAPC: 1,1-bis[4-[N,N-di(p-tolyl)-amino]phenyl]-cyclohexane; TPBi: 1,3,5-tris(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)benzene; mCP: 1,3-di(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzene; TSPO1: diphenyl(4-(triphenylsilyl)phenyl)phosphine oxide; DPEPO: (oxybis(2,1-phenylene))bis(diphenylphosphine oxide). PEDOT: PSS and LiF acted as hole- and electron-injecting layers, respectively.

\[ ^{1}\text{H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-}d_{6}\text{)} \delta = 9.05 \text{ (s, 1H), 8.23 (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, }J = 7.6, 1\text{H), 7.70 (t, }J = 7.8, 2\text{H), 7.67–7.61 \text{ (m, 2H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.41 (dd, }J = 7.8, 1.3, 1\text{H), 7.28 (dd, }J = 6.0, 1.4, 2\text{H), 7.21 (ddd, }J = 7.9, 5.9, 2.2, 1\text{H), 7.06 (td, }J = 7.5, 1.4, 1\text{H), 6.87–6.79 \text{ (m, 2H), 6.76 (dd, }J = 7.9, 1.3, 1\text{H), 1.63 \text{ (s, 6H).} \]

Fig. S1 The emission spectra in different solvents for 12AcCz (1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}), 12AcCz-PM (1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}) (a) and 23AcCz-PM (b).

Fig. S2 Fluorescence (300 K) x wt% 12AcCz-PM doped in DPEPO films (x=1 and 3).
Scheme S2 The molecular structure and function of the material in device fabrication (HTL: hole-transporting layers; EBL: exciton blocking layer; ETL: electron-transporting layers.)

To confirm the improved horizontal dipole orientation, the transition dipole moments were calculated by TD-DFT B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) for the lowest transition from the ground ($S_0$) to the excited state ($S_1$). It is found that the dipole moments are basically along their long axis in most cases. Such relatively linear dipole moments of 12AcCz-PM and 23AcCz-PM might facilitate extra photons output from devices.

Fig. S3 The direction of the calculated transition dipole moment (as indicated by arrow) of 12AcCz-PM and 23AcCz-PM relative to the coordinate of the molecular structure.

The TTA mode simulation can be described as follow:\textsuperscript{11,12}

\[ \frac{\eta_{\text{ext}}(J)}{\eta_0} = \frac{J_0}{4J} \sqrt{1 + \frac{8J}{J_0} - 1} \]

where $\eta$, $\eta_0$, and $J_0$ represent the EQE in the presence of TTA, initial EQE in the absence of TTA (at very low current densities, rendering the TTA quenching negligible), and the current density at the half-maximum of the EQE, respectively.

Moreover, the SPA model, which can be expressed by Equation:\textsuperscript{11,12}
$\eta_{\text{ext}}^S (f) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right)^{l+1}}$

Where $\eta_{\text{ext}}^S$ is the EQE in the presence of SPA and $l$ is the fitting parameter.

Fig. S4 The external quantum efficiency (EQE) as a function of current density and the fitting results according to TTA and SPA.
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