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General comments

1H and 13C spectra were recorded at 300 and 75 MHz respectively; chemical shifts are reported in ppm from TMS. All reactions were carried out under argon. Columns chromatography were performed on a silica gel 230-400 mesh by using various mixtures of diethyl ether (Et2O), ethyl acetate (AcOEt) and petroleum ether (PE). TLCs were run on Kieselgel 60F254 plates. Melting points are uncorrected. THF and ether were distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride.

Phenol-3,5-dicarboxaldehyde or hydroxyisophthalaldehyde was prepared in two steps according to a known procedure: A. Star, Y. Liu, K. Grant, L. Ridvan, J. F. Stoddart, D. W. Steuerman, M. R. Diehl, A. Boukai and J. R. Heath, Macromolecules 2003, 36, 553-560
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5-(2-Azido-ethoxy)-benzene-1,3-dicarbaldehyde 8

Phenol-3,5-dicarboxaldehyde 811 mg (5.4 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of DMF, finely grounded K₂CO₃ (3.7 g, 5 equiv., M = 138.21) was added followed by dibromoethane (4.6 mL, 10 equiv., M = 187.86, d = 2.18). The resulting suspension was vigorously stirred for three days, after which, it was poured into water and extracted with Et₂O (4x50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (2x50 mL), with brine, and finally dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation under vacuum gave the crude bromide. This was redissolved in 20 mL of DMF, sodium azide was added (1 g, 3 equiv., M = 65.01) and the suspension was stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted with Et₂O (4x50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (2x50 mL), with brine and finally dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation under vacuum gave the crude compound which was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using pure Et₂O as eluent (Rf = 0.55) to give 1.1 g of azide 8. Yield: 93%

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 3.63 (t, 2H, J = 4.9 Hz), 4.23 (t, 2H, J = 4.9 Hz), 7.61 (d, 2H, J = 1.4 Hz), 7.93 (t, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz), 9.99 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 190.7 (CH), 159.4 (Cq), 138.4 (Cq), 124.6 (CH), 119.7 (CH), 67.7 (CH₂), 49.9 (CH₂). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν: 2120, 1700, 1603. HRMS (ESI, TOF MS) m/z: calcd for [MNa⁺.MeOH] 274.0804, found 274.0794.

Tf: decomposition above 100°C

Proton NMR spectrum of azide 8 in CDCl₃, 300 MHz
Carbon$^{13}$ NMR spectrum of azide 8 in CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz

$$\text{H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO)} \delta: 3.60 (s, 6H), 4.59 (t, 6H, J = 4.8 Hz), 4.83 (t, 6H, J = 4.8 Hz), 7.70 (d, 6H, J = 1.1 Hz), 7.97 (t, 3H, J = 1.1 Hz), 8.16 (S, 3H), 9.99 (S, 6H).$$

$$\text{C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO)} \delta: 46.8 (\text{CH}_2), 48.8 (\text{CH}_2), 67.0 (\text{CH}_2), 120.3 (\text{CH}), 122.8 (\text{CH}), 124.7 (\text{CH}), 138.1 (\text{Cq}), 143.5 (\text{Cq}), 158.9 (\text{Cq}), 192.2 (\text{CH}).$$

$\text{IR (KBr, cm}^{-1}) \nu: 1690, 1603.$

$\text{HRMS (ESI, TOF MS) m/z: calcd for [MH}^+\text{] 789.2745, found 789.2766}$

$T_f: \text{decomposition above 200}^\circ\text{C}$

Tristriazole 9

Azide 8 (1.08 g, 4.93 mmol, 3 equiv., M = 219.19) was dissolved in a mixture of DMSO (50 mL) and water (10 mL) and tripropargylamine is added (232 $\mu$L, 1.64 mmol, M = 131.18, d = 0.927) followed by CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O (11 mg, M = 249.69) and sodium ascorbate (10 mg, M = 198.11). The mixture was stirred for 5 days at 45°C and then was poured into one liter of a water/ice mixture. The solid formed was collected by filtration and thoroughly washed with water and then dried under vacuum. The white solid obtained was used without further purification, but could be purified by column chromatography over silica gel using (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH : 9/1, R$_f$ = 0.5) as eluent. Yield = 75%.
Proton NMR spectrum of crude compound 9 in DMSO-d6, 300 MHz

Carbon\textsuperscript{13} NMR spectrum of compound 9 in DMSO-d6, 75 MHz
Synthesis of Cage 10
Tristriazole 9, 34.1 mg (4.3 μmol, M = 788.76) was introduced into a 5 mL flask, dissolved in a mixture of 2 mL of dichloromethane. Ethylenediamine (8.7 μL, 120 μmol, 3 equiv., M = 60.10) was added, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for three weeks, at which time the starting suspension was completely dissolved indicating the completion of the reaction. The crude cage was obtained after careful evaporation to dryness (heating is to be avoided to prevent the formation of polymeric insoluble material) 38 mg of an off-white solid. 99% yield. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 8.06 (s, 6H, Hj), 7.72 (s, 3H, He), 7.52 (s, 3H, Hi), 4.75-4.77 (m, 6H, He), 4.20-4.22 (m, 6H, Hd), 4.00 (s, 12H, Hk), 3.81 (s, 6H, Ha). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 161.4 (Cj), 158.1 (Cf), 144.6 (Cb), 137.5 (Ch), 124.7 (Cc), 121.2 (Ci), 115.5 (Cg), 66.7 (Cd), 61.4 (Ck), 49.8 (Ce), 47.5 (Ca). MS (ESI) m/e calculated for [MNa+] 883.4, measured: 883.5, high resolution could not be efficiently performed due to the formation of polymeric material. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) ν: 1700, 1639, 1582.

Proton NMR spectrum of crude cage 10 in CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz

Carbon$^{13}$ NMR spectrum of crude cage 10 in CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz
Syntheses of Cage 11

1. Tristriazole 9, 473.8 mg (0.6 mmol, M = 788.76) was introduced into a 10 mL flask, dissolved in a mixture of 5 mL of dichloromethane and 1 mL of methanol. Chiral diamine (205.8 mg, 1.8 mmol, 3 equiv., M = 114.9) was quickly added to avoid carbonatation, the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and then evaporated to dryness at room temperature. Chromatography over silica gel, eluting with (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH : 9/1, R$_f$ = 0.2) gave pure title compound as an off-white resin in 98% yield.

2. (1R,2R)-1,2-diaminocyclohexane (89.2 mg, 0.781 mmol, 3.05 equiv./alkyne, M = 114.19) was weighed in a 50 mL flask purged with argon and was then diluted with 10 mL of dry dichloromethane. Azide 8 (168.4 mg, 0.768 mmol, 3 equiv./alkyne, M = 219.19) was added. Argon was bubbled through the solution to eliminate traces of oxygen before tripropargylamine addition (36.2 µL, 33.6 mg, 0.256 mmol, M = 131.18, d = 0.927). A catalytic amount (3 mg) of copper (I) iodide was added and after sonication for five minutes the solution was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. After this period of time, TLC indicated complete disappearance of the reactants and formation of a unique spot slightly colored blue-green. The mixture was exposed to air to oxidize copper (I) into copper (II), and 20 mg of sodium diethyldithiocarbamate was added in 2 mL of MeOH. The solution turned dark brown indicating the formation of the copper complex. After concentration to dryness, the residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH : 9/1, R$_f$ = 0.2). The copper complex eluted first (R$_f$ = 0.9) followed by the title compound which was isolated in 70% yield (180 mg).

[α]$_{578nm}^{20}$ = + 128 (c 0.49, CHCl$_3$)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 1.1-1.2 (m, 6H, Hm), 1.4-1.8 (m, 18H, Hm’, HI), 3.17-3.32 (m, 6H, HK and HK’), 3.45 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 3H, HA), 4.08-4.20 (m, 9H, HA’ and HD), 4.47-4.55 (m, 3H, He), 4.85-4.90 (m, 3H, He’), 6.73 (s, 3H, HG), 7.17 (s, 3H, HG’), 7.45 (s, 3H, HI), 7.63 (s, 3H, Hc), 7.92 (s, 3H, Hj), 8.03 (s, 3H, Hj’).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 24.3 (Cm), 24.4 (Cm’), 32.7 (Cl), 32.9 (Cl’), 47.9 (Ca), 49.8 (Ce), 66.7 (Cd), 74.2 (Ck), 74.8 (Ck’), 107.9 (Cg), 121.2 (Ci), 122.4 (Cg’), 124.5 (Cc), 137.7 (Ch), 137.8 (Ch’), 144.8 (Cb), 157.9 (Cl), 158.7 (Cj), 159.5 (Cj’).

IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) ν: 2924, 2847, 1644, 1593. Tf: decomposition above 100°C

HRMS (ESI, TOF MS) m/z: calcd for [MH$^+$] 1023.5582, found 1023.5609

HRMS (ESI, TOF MS) m/z: calcd for [MNa$^+$] 1045.5401, found 1045.5437
Proton NMR spectrum of cage 11 in CDCl₃, 300 MHz

Proton NMR spectrum of cage 11 in CDCl₃, 300 MHz, 6 to 8 ppm and 1 to 5 ppm region
Proton NMR spectrum of cage 11 in acetone-d₆, 200 MHz

Proton NMR spectrum of cage 11 in CD₃OD, 200 MHz
Carbon\textsuperscript{13} NMR spectrum of cage \textbf{11} in CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 75 MHz
Cosy H-H correlation for cage 11 in CDCl₃

Attribution:

- **Hk** is the only proton to couple with cyclohexyl signals.
- **Ha** and **Ha'** are strongly correlated and are located on the same carbon (see H-C).
- **Hd** is coupling with both He and He'.
- **He** and **He'** are strongly correlated and are bears by the same carbon (see H-C).
- **Hg** and **Hg'** are magnetically non-equivalents (which is also true for the $^{13}$C signals) due to the twisted shape induced by the chiral diamine. A correlation is present between them and both are coupling with Hi (through a $^{4}$J$_{H-H}$).
- **Hi** is coupling with Hg and Hg'.
- **Hj** and **Hj'** are also non-equivalents (which is also true for the $^{13}$C signals).
Cosy H-H correlation for cage 11 in CDCl₃, 6.6-8.2 ppm region

Magnifying the aromatic region renders apparent a weak $^4J$ coupling of Hg’ with Hj’ as well as a correlation between Hi and Hj. This is in favour of an alternate conformation of the imines as depicted below:
The dimensions of cage 11 deserve some comments. Although several examples of polyimine crowns are reported in the literature, none is reporting the crystallographic data for a trianglimine incorporating a 1,3-disubstituted benzene. However, two examples including a furane and a thiophene, are fairly close to our structure. For these, optimal conformation calculation allowed the estimation of the size to approximately 10-11 angstroms: N. Kuhnert, N. Burzlaff, C. Patel an A. Lopez-Periago, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 1911-1921.

With $X = O$, $a = 10.4$ Å and $h = 9.0$ Å
With $X = S$, $a = 11.4$ Å and $h = 9.9$ Å

Therefore, we can estimate the central opening of cage 11 to be in the range of these dimensions. The conformation of the apical part is still to be determined. So far, all our attempts to crystallize cage 11 only met with failure. Moreover, a rough estimation by calculation proved unsuccessful because of the very flexible nature of the tripodal cap. This can even be experimentally observed from the important shifts of the proton NMR signals of cage 11 in solvents of different polarities. Hence, comparison of the spectra in CDCl₃, acetone-$d^6$ and CD$_2$OD (see pages S7-S8) shows appreciable shifts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CDCl₃</th>
<th>acetone-$d^6$</th>
<th>CD$_2$OD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hₐ/Hₐ'</td>
<td>4.15/3.45</td>
<td>4.06/3.35</td>
<td>3.95/3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hᵢ/Hᵢ'</td>
<td>8.03/7.92</td>
<td>8.15/8.11</td>
<td>8.16/8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hᵣ/Hᵣ'</td>
<td>7.17/6.73</td>
<td>7.44/6.96</td>
<td>7.44/7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hₑ</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This can be indicative of a conformational adaptation depending of the polarity of the medium.
Complexation study with cage $\text{11}$

A stock solution of cage $\text{11}$ ($c = 10^{-4}$ mol.L$^{-1}$) in methanol was mixed with increasing amounts of cation salts (the nitrate in each case) dissolved in water ($c = 10^{-2}$ mol.L$^{-1}$). The resulting solution was diluted tofed with MeOH to reach a final concentration of $10^{-5}$ mol.L$^{-1}$. The resulting solution was injected into the mass spectrometer source. Spectra were measured in positive ESI with an MS Engin HP5989B using an electrospray module HP59987A. Source is of Brandford type. Samples were introduced by infusion with a flow of 5 $\mu$L.min$^{-1}$, with a desolvatation gas heated at 120°C. The potentials of the capillary and the skimmer were respectively 180V and 36V.

Salts used: Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$.xH$_2$O, $x = 4$-$6$; Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O; Co(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O; Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O; Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.xH$_2$O, $x = 2$-$3$; Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O; Cs(NO$_3$); Ag(NO$_3$); Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$.H$_2$O.

The spectrogram showed several peaks:
“L” denotes cage $\text{11}$ as the ligand, “M” the metal studied.
- LH$^+$, LNa$^+$, LK$^+$ counted as free ligand
- LM$^{2+}$, (LMNO$_3$)$^+$ counted as simply complexed metal
- L$_2$M$^+$ counted as doubly complexed metal

Integration of all these species allowed determination of:
- $x_L$ fraction of free ligand
- $x_{LM}$ fraction of simple complex
- $x_{L2M}$ fraction of double complex

Assuming that all species have the same response coefficient and that integration of a given species is linearly correlated to its concentration in solution, one can postulate that:

$$\frac{x_{LM}}{x_L} = \frac{[LM]}{[L]}$$

This assumption mainly suffers from the difference of sensitivity between a non ionic species (the free ligand L) that have to be protonated or sodated and a positively charged complex (LM). This is leading to an under-estimation of the quantity of free ligand, thus affecting the value of $K$. Nevertheless, this still allows comparison of $K$ values between several metals. For that reason $K$ values are given relative to nickel which, as the most efficiently complex, represents 100%.

The complexation equilibrium

$$L + M \rightleftharpoons LM$$

With $K = \frac{[LM]}{[L][M]} \Rightarrow \frac{[LM]}{[L]} = \frac{x_{LM}}{x_L} = K[M]$ thus, the plot $\frac{[LM]}{[L]} = f([M])$ should be a linear curve with $K$ as directing coefficient and 0 as origin.

$[M]$ is deduced from the initial concentration of metal minus the fractions of simple and double complexes. $[M] = 10^{-5} (n - x_{LM} - x_{L2M})$ with $n$ being the number of equivalents of
metal introduced, in the experiments, $n$ had the following values 0 / 0.2 / 0.5 / 1.0 / 2.0 / 6.0 / 10.0

The values of $\frac{[LM]}{[L]} = \frac{xLM}{xL}$ are then plotted versus the corresponding values of $[M]$ to obtain the curves showed. Linear regression extracted the best value of $K$ for each curve. In every measurement, $R^2$ was > 0.99

Plots for the different titrations, with $\frac{[LM]}{[L]} = f([M])$

![Plot of relative $K$ versus the cation](image)

**Table of $K$ versus the cation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>Relative $K$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>42817</td>
<td>22,4291379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>96419</td>
<td>50,5078602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>190899</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>24587</td>
<td>12,8795855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>13990</td>
<td>7,3284826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>39415</td>
<td>20,6470437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complexation of zinc (II) triflate**

In an NMR tube, 10.7 mg (10.45 µmol, $M = 1023.24$) of cage 11 was introduced followed by 0.6 ml of acetone-$d_6$. The suspension was sonicated for a few minutes, resulting in partial dissolution of the compound, and a proton NMR spectrum was recorded with 128 scans giving spectrum 1. To this suspension was added zinc (II). Zinc (II) triflate, 3.8 mg (10.45...
µmol, M = 363.21) was weighed in an NMR tube cap, which was fitted onto the previously prepared tube. The whole was vigorously shaken, resulting in a clear solution after few seconds. A proton NMR spectrum was recorded with 128 scans giving spectrum 2.

**Spectrum 1.** Cage 11 in acetone-d₆

**Spectrum 2.** Complexe 12: cage 11 + Zn²⁺ in acetone-d₆
Proposed structures for complexe 12