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1. Experimental Details

The Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrode was fabricated in a conventional three-electrode cell, consisting of ITO as the working electrode, a Pt foil as the counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode by controlled-potential electrolyzing 0.1 M Co(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution at -1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), followed by drying and heating in air at 500 °C for 2 hours. Other Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrodes were also fabricated by controlled-current electrolyzing in either 0.1 M Co(NO$_3$)$_2$ or 0.1 M CoCl$_2$ containing 0.05 M sodium citrate solution at -8 mA/cm$^2$ for 15 min, followed by drying and heating in air at 500 °C for 2 hours.

All electrochemical and ECL experiments were performed with an ECL & EC multi-functional detection system (MPI-E, Remex Electronic Instrument Lt. Co., Xi’an, China) in a three-electrode system consisting of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO as the working electrode, a Pt foil as the counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The photomultiplier tube (PMT) was biased at 500 V. The electrolyte was 0.1 M PBS (pH 7) with 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ and 1.5 mM H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$. The ECL spectra were measured by using fluorescence spectroscopy (RF-5301 PC), where the working electrode of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO was biased at +0.6 V in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7) containing 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ and 1.5 mM H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$.

A Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to investigate the morphology of the Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure. X-ray diffraction measurement (XRD) was carried out with a Rigaku D/max-2500 using filtered Cu Kα radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were obtained with an ESCALab220i-XL electron spectrometer from VG Scientific using 300W Al Kα radiation. The base pressure was about 3×10$^{-9}$ mbar. The binding energies were referenced to the C1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon.
2. Additional Data
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Figure S1. Representative EDX spectrum of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures.
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Figure S2. XPS spectra (Co 2p and O 1s) of the as prepared Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures. The prominent peak around 779.7 eV is attributed to a Co$^{3+}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ configuration. The other small peak around 781.4 eV is ascribed to a Co$^{2+}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ configuration. The other spin-orbit component, the 2p$_{1/2}$, appears at 794.8 eV and 796.8 eV for 3+ and 2+, respectively. The two small peaks at 786.3eV and 804.8eV are Co$^{2+}$ shake-up satellite peaks of Co$_3$O$_4$. The energy difference between the peak of Co 2p$_{3/2}$ and the peak of Co 2p$_{1/2}$ is approximately 15 eV. The XPS O 1s spectra consist of at least three components. The peak at about 529.6 eV is due to oxygen in the Co$_3$O$_4$ crystal lattice, a value typical for cobalt oxide networks, while the peaks at about 531.4 eV and 532.6 eV are due to chemisorbed oxygen caused by surface hydroxyl. From XPS spectra, there is little CoO in Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures according to reference (Chen, H. M.; Liu, R. S.; Li, H. L.; Zeng, H. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2713-2717.), which is coincident with the result of XRD pattern.
Figure S3. SEM images of Co₃O₄ nanostructures fabricated by constant-current electrolysis of 0.1 M CoCl₂ (a) and 0.1 M Co(NO₃)₂ (b) with 0.05 M sodium citrate mixed solution at 8 mA/cm² on ITO electrode for 15 min, followed by drying and heating in air at 500 °C for 2 h. Then the similar cathodic ECL behavior as Figure 1b and 1c with smaller intensities could be also observed. The components of these two samples were determined to be Co₃O₄. Therefore, it was the component (Co₃O₄) of the electrode that is responsible for the novel cathodic catalytic properties.

Figure S4. The cyclic voltammogram (a) and the corresponding ECL intensity profile (b) of 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ at bare ITO electrode in air-saturated 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) containing 1.5 mM H₂C₂O₄. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. As shown in (b), an anodic ECL is generated at +1.2 V, but no cathodic ECL is observed.
Figure S5. ECL intensity of 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ at Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrode in air-saturated 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) without H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. The light emission observed is still at +0.6 V and the CV is the same as that in Figure 1b. H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ plays the role of the coreactant to heighten the intensity of ECL.

Figure S6. The voltammograms of single potential scan from 1.2 V to -0.2 V at Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrode in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) with saturated air (curve 1), nitrogen (curve 2) and oxygen (curve 3). Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. The similar reduction shapes to that of Figure 2d further confirm that the reduction at +0.6 V is associated with the reduction of the dissolved oxygen.
Figure S7. In a typical reaction, KIO$_4$ reacts with H$_2$O$_2$ to produce O$_2$$^•$ in an alkaline solution. In the absence of Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$, the reaction of 0.01 M KIO$_4$ with 0.03% H$_2$O$_2$ did not generate light (green curve). However, when Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ (and H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$) was added, a strong light emission was observed immediately (red and blue curve, respectively). No light emission occurred when Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ was added into 0.01 M KIO$_4$ or H$_2$O$_2$ alone (pink and black curve, respectively).

Figure S8. Cyclic voltammogram (a) and corresponding ECL intensity (b) of 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ at Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrode in air-saturated 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0) containing 1.5 mM H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. As shown in the cyclic voltammogram (a), even if the first reduction wave does not appear, the strong cathodic ECL (b) is still generated at +0.6 V, indicating that the catalysis of O$_2$ reduction takes place by electron transfer to O$_2$ from Co$^{2+}$ in Co$_3$O$_4$. 
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Fig. S9. ECL intensity-time curve of 0.3 mM Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ at Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructure covered ITO electrode in air-saturated 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0) containing 1.5 mM H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ under continuous potential scanning from the 51st to 55th cycling scans. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. As shown, a stable ECL signal (~6690 au) is observed after continuous potential scanning 50 cycling scans, and is 72% of the initial ECL signal (~9270 au, shown in Fig.1).