# Synthesis of CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS Alloyed Nanocubes with High Luminescence

## Xiaosheng Tang, Wenli Cheng, Eugene Shi Guang Choo and Junmin Xue\*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 117576, Fax: +65 6776 3604; Tel: +65-6516 4655\*Es mail: msexuejm@nus.edu.sg.

### Materials

Copper (II) Acetate (Cu(Ac)<sub>2</sub>, ≥98%), Oleic Acid (99.0%), Trioctylphosphine (TOP, 90%), Technical grade 1-Octadecene (ODE, 90%), Indium (III) Acetate (In(Ac)<sub>3</sub>, 99.99%), Oleylamine (OA, 70%), 1-Dodecanethiol (DDT, ≥98%) and technical grade Zinc stearate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Chloroform (99.99%) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. All the chemicals were used as received.

## Synthesis of CuInS2-ZnS Nanocrystals

CuInS<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals were prepared by thermal decomposition of a mixture of 0.1mM (18.2mg) of CuAc, 0.1mM (29.2mg) of In(Ac)<sub>3</sub> and 5mM (1.2mL) of r n-dodecylthiol in a 100mL three-necked bottle with 4mL of ODE, 0.2mM (56.4mg) of Oleic Acid <sup>15</sup> and about 0.1mL of TOP. The reaction mixture was heated to the temperature of 120°C under nitrogen atmosphere with magnetic stirring. After 10minutes, ~2mL of aliquot was extracted to confirm the formation of CuInS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. At 120 °C, 0.15mM of Zine Stearate that dissolved in 0.8mL/0.2mL (in a 4:1 ratio) of ODE/Oleylamine (at ~120°C) was drop by drop added into the bottle quickly. The amount of the injected zine stearate solution was varied to 0.25 mM and 0.35 mM in order to obtain CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS nanocubes with different Zn contents. Following this, the mixture was further heated to 210°C and kept at that temperature <sup>20</sup> for 30 minutes to allow the diffusion of Zn in CuInS<sub>2</sub> and the growth of CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS alloyed nanocrystals. The obtained nanoparticles were firstly washed using absolute ethanol so as to remove unreacted precursors, and the washing process was repeated 3 times. The purified nanoparticles were then dispersed in toluene for storage.

### Phase Transfer of the hydrophobic CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS nanocubes

<sup>25</sup> The hydrophobic CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS nanocubes were phase-transferred to water using alkylamine grafted poly(isobutylene-*alt*-maleic anhydride) amphiphilic polymer. To achieve highly dispersed hydrophilic nanoparticles, a large polymer/nanoparticle ratio was used to avoid the aggregation. In a typical process, CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS nanocubes(5 mg) and the polymer (25 mg) were dispersed in chloroform (1 mL). The solvent was evaporated and then dried in vacuum for 2 days to obtain a completely dried nanoparticle/polymer composite. The dried composite could be re-dissolved in water (5 mL) to form stable colloids. The nanoparticles were purified by dialyzing against Millipore <sup>30</sup> water for 3 days in a dialysis sac (molecular weight cut-off=12 kDa).

### Cellular labelling with CuInS2-ZnS nanocubes

NIH/3T3 and OCA17 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate, incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C, at pH 7.4, in a humidified and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. After 24 hours, 10 µL of the water-dispersed CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS nanocubes with a concentration of 10 µg/mL were injected into each <sup>35</sup> well. With the nanocrystals added, the cells were incubated for another 24 hours at the same conditions. A control for the cells was also prepared with the same conditions without the nanocrystals added. After incubation, images were taken using a Confocal laser scanning microscopy, Olympus Fluoview FV300 equipped with a Chameleon-XR laser (Ti:Sapphire femtosecond laser) at the tuning range of 760-920nm for 2-photon bioimaging as well as a Olympus Ix2-DSU disk scanning confocal microscope for UV cell imaging.

## 40 Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on a D8 Advance Bruker-AXS (GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). The X-ray source used was Cu Ka (1.5405 Å) (40kV, 200mA); the powder samples were prepared by drying the purified product at room temperature on a glass slip. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were measured using a LS 55 Perkin–Elmer Luminescence Spectrometer. The PL quantum yields of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference to a burner to a glass the advance of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference to a burner to a glass the advance of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference to a burner to a glass the advance of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference to a glass the advance of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method mentioned in Dong et al. by reference of the samples were determined and calculated using the cross-calibrated method method

- <sup>45</sup> in Deng et al. by referencing to Rhodamine 101 (QY=100% in ethanol + 0.01 HCl) for red emissions and Rhodamine 110 (QY=90% in ethanol) for yellow and orange emissions. UV-Visible absorption spectra were recorded by a Varian Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR Spectrophotometer. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM), high-resolution transmission electron Microscope (HRTEM) images and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) were obtained using JEOL JEM-2010F and JEOL JEM-3010F Field Emission TEM operating at 200kV and 300kV respectively. Samples for the TEM were prepared by depositing a drop of the
- <sup>50</sup> samples dispersed in toluene onto a carbon coated copper grid and drying at room temperature. The compositions of the nanocrystals were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) using Perkin-Elmer Dualview Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES system. Two-photon Fluorescence (TPF) was measured using a femtosecond Ti: sapphire oscillator from Spectra-Physics (Tsunami). The Ti:sapphire laser generates pulses with a pulse width of about 130fs at 800nm.



S\_Fig. 1 XRD pattern of CuInS2 seeds formed at 120 oC. (Tetragonal CuInS2, JCPDS card No. 85-1575)

Sample	Element	Weight	#Moles	Cu:In:Zn ratio
		(ppm)	(10-3)	
1	Cu	0.11	1.731	
	In	0.21	1.829	
	Zn	0.14	2.141	1:1.057:1.237
2	Cu	0.17	2.680	
	In	0.31	2.7	
	Zn	0.38	5.85	1:1.009:2.185
3	Cu	0.12	1.89	
	In	0.21	1.83	
	Zn	0.39	6.00	1:0.9687:3.17

 $\label{eq:s_Table 1} S\_Table 1 \ \mbox{Elemental Analysis of the } CuInS_2\mbox{-}ZnS \ \mbox{nanocubes by ICP-OES}.$ 



 $\textbf{S-Fig.2.} \ \text{EFTEM} \ \text{image of } CuInS_2\text{-}ZnS \ \text{alloyed} \ \text{nanoparticles} \ \text{showing the element} \ \text{distribution of } Zinc.$ 

5



S\_Fig.3 Histograms of size distributions of the CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS alloyed nanocubes with different mole fractions of zinc, (A) 62%, (B) 52%,(C) 38%, respectively.



S\_Fig.4 TEM images of the CuInS2-ZnS alloyed nanocubes with zinc mole fractions of (A) 52% and (B) 38%, respectively.



 $S_{Fig.5}(A)$  Ball and stick model of the crystal structure of CuInS<sub>2</sub>(B) the possible crystal structure model of CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS, in which Cu and In ions are partially replaced with Zn ions.



S\_Fig. 6 The absorption spectra of the CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS alloyed nanocubes with different zinc mole fractions (A) 62%, (B) 52% and (C) 38%, respectively.



**S\_Fig.7** PL emission spectra of the CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS alloyed nanocubes with zinc mole fractions (A) 62%, (B) 52% and (C) 38 %, respectively, in comparison with the standard rhodamine 6G ethanol solution (QY=95%) or rhodamine 101 ethanol solution (QY=100%). The quantum yields of the nanocubes were 5 (A) 39%, (B) 37% and (C) 34%, respectively.



 $S_{Fig.8}$  PL relaxation of the nanocubes with zinc mole fraction of 38%, as compared to that of the pure CuInS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Inset is the photograph of pure CIS nanoparticles under UV-365nm lamp.



**S\_Fig.9** (A) Upconversion emission of the CuInS<sub>2</sub>-ZnS alloyed nanoparticles with zinc mole fraction of 52% with various input powers. (B) The corresponding quadratic dependence of integrated fluorescence intensity with the input power of laser, showing that the upconversion mechanism is twos photon.