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1. General Experimental Details

1.1 Materials and Equipment. All reactions and compound manipulations were performed in an oxygen- and moisture-free atmosphere (N₂ or Ar) using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried by standard procedures over the appropriate drying agents and distilled immediately prior to use. Platinum(II) chloride, benzonitrile (Aldrich), potassium cyanide, aluminium chloride (Fluka), N,N,N-(ferrocenylmethyl)trimethylammonium iodide and lithium aluminium hydride (Alfa Aesar) were used as received. Phosphorus trichloride and pyridine (Aldrich) were distilled prior to use. Silica gel 60 silanized (0.063–0.200 mm) (Merk) and silica gel (70–230 mesh) (Aldrich) were used for column chromatographic purifications. Tetrabutylammonium salts were obtained in their anhydrous forms (Aldrich, Fluka).

Infrared spectra were recorded on Bomem MB-100 FT-IR and on Perkin Elmer 100 FT-IR spectrometers. ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectra, as well as bidimensional spectra, were recorded on a Brucker-AMX-300 and Brucker-DRX-500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (δ) with reference to residual solvents resonances for ¹H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃: ¹H, δ 7.27 ppm, ¹³C, δ 77.0 ppm; and CD₂Cl₂: ¹H, δ 5.33 ppm, ¹³C, δ 53.8 ppm). Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded with a QSTAR (Applied Biosystems) spectrometer, using methanol as ionizing phase, while FAB-mass spectra were obtained by using a VG AutoSpec (Waters) mass spectrometer with m-NBA as the matrix. Samples were prepared in CH₂Cl₂. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory, SIDI, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

1.2 Electrochemical Measurements. Cyclic voltammetric and square wave voltametric experiments were recorded on a BAS-CV-50W potentiostat. CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN (spectrograde) for electrochemical measurements were freshly distilled from calcium hydride under argon. The supporting electrolyte was tetra-n-butyrammonium hexafluorophosphate (Fluka), which was purified by recrystallization from ethanol and dried in vacuum at 60 °C. The supporting electrolyte concentration was typically 0.1 M. A conventional three-electrode cell connected to an atmosphere of prepurified nitrogen was used. All cyclic voltammetric experiments were performed using either a platinum-disk working electrode (A = 0.020 cm²) or a glassy carbon-disk working electrode (A = 0.070 cm²) (both Bioanalytical Systems), each of which were polished on a Buehler polishing cloth with Metadi II diamond paste, rinsed thoroughly with purified water and acetone, and dried. A coiled platinum wire was used as a counter electrode. Solutions were 10⁻³ M in the redox active specie. The solutions for the electrochemical experiments were deoxygenated by bubbling nitrogen for at least 10 min and kept under an inert atmosphere throughout the measurements. All potentials were referenced to the saturated calomel electrode (SCE). Under our conditions, the decamethylferrocene redox couple [FeCp⁺⁺] is 0.55 V vs SCE in CH₂Cl₂/0.1 M [n-Bu₄N][PF₆]. Square wave voltammetry (SWV) was performed using frequencies of 10 Hz.

Electrochemical Titrations. A solution (3 mL) of compound 2 or 3 (5 x 10⁻⁴ or 10⁻³ M) and 0.1 M of [Bu₄N][PF₆] was placed in an electrochemical cell, purged with nitrogen for at least 10 min, and stirred. Stirring was stopped and a nitrogen atmosphere was maintained above the solution while the experiment was in progress. The electrodes were cleaned after each run. Anion recognition studies were performed by consecutive additions of variable molar equiv of each tetrabutylammonium salt of the anion guest. Tetrabutylammonium salts of the monovalent anions acetate, dihydrogenphosphate, hydrogensulphate and fluoride were employed for this work.
1.3 X-ray Crystal Structure Determination. Compound 2 was structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. A suitable orange crystal of 2 of dimensions 0.18 × 0.14 × 0.07 mm, was located and mounted on a glass fiber with “magic oil”. The sample was transferred to a Bruker SMART 6K CCD area-detector three-circle diffractometer with a MacScience rotating anode (Cu Kα radiation, λ = 1.54178 Å) generator equipped with Goebel mirrors at settings of 50 kV and 100 mA. A total of 3057 independent reflections (R_{int} = 0.0314) were collected in the range 3.75° < θ < 69.34°. X-ray data were collected at 100 K, with a combination of six runs at different φ and 2θ angles, 3600 frames. Data were collected using 0.3° wide ω scans with a crystal-to-detector distance of 4.0 cm. The substantial redundancy in data allows empirical absorption corrections (SADABS)\(^1\) to be applied using multiple measurements of symmetry-equivalent reflections. Raw intensity data frames were integrated with the SAINT program,\(^2\) which also applied corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects. The software package SHELXTL version 6.10 was used for space group determination, structure solution and refinement.\(^3\) The space group determination was based on a check of the Laue symmetry and systematic absences and was confirmed using the structure solution. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97), completed with difference Fourier syntheses, and refined with full-matrix least-squares using SHELXL-97 minimizing \(\omega(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2\).\(^4,5\) Weighted R factors (R_w) and all goodness of fit S are based on \(F^2\); conventional R factors (R) are based on F. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atom positions were calculated geometrically and were allowed to ride on their parent carbon atoms with fixed isotropic \(U\). All scattering factors and anomalous dispersion factors are contained in the SHELXTL 6.10 program library. The crystal structure of 2 has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition number CCDC 822240.
2. Synthetic procedures

2.1. Synthesis of cis-bis(benzonitrile)dichloroplatinum(II). Isomerically pure cis-[PtCl₂(PhCN)₂] was prepared by reaction of anhydrous PtCl₂ and neat benzonitrile by adapting the literature procedure.6 A mixture of anhydrous platinum(II) chloride (0.5 g, 1.9 mmol) and C₆H₅CN (16 mL, excess) was stirred for 24 h at 30 ºC. The reaction mixture was cooled to −30 ºC. A yellow solid precipitated overnight which was collected by filtration and washed with small portions of n-hexane. The solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered to remove any solid impurities. After solvent removal, a yellow solid product was obtained which was purified by chromatography on a 3 cm × 30 cm silica gel column (70–230 mesh). A minor first band containing trans-[PtCl₂(PhCN)₂] was eluted using CH₂Cl₂. Subsequently, a second major band was eluted using the same solvent. Solvent removal afforded the desired compound cis-[PtCl₂(PhCN)₂] as a needle-like yellow crystalline solid. Yield: 480 mg (54%). 1H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300MHz): δ 7.82 (4H, m, o-Ph), 7.76 (2H, m, p-Ph), 7.59 (4H, m, m-Ph). IR (KBr): ν(C≡N) 2285cm⁻¹, ν(Pt–Cl) 358, 348 cm⁻¹.

2.2. Synthesis of β-aminoethylferrocene, Fe{[(η⁵-C₅H₅)(CH₂)₂NH₂][(η⁵-C₅H₅)} (1)

Monofunctionalized 2-aminoethylferrocene (1) was synthesized in two steps from commercially available N,N,N-trimethylferrocenylmethylamonium iodide, by adapting the literature procedures.7, 8 1-cyanomethylferrocene7 (5.55 g, 24.4 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (90 ml) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (1.63 g, 43 mmol) in 100 mL of dry diethyl ether and heated at 35 ºC. After being stirred for 3 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was externally cooled with an ice bath, and cold water was slowly added dropwise until H₂ release stopped. Once decanted, the solution was acidified by addition of H₂SO₄ 6M. The solid formed was separated by filtration and treated with an 6N NaOH aqueous solution to adjust the pH ≈ 11. The dark orange mixture was extracted with diethyl ether, the organic phases were combined, dried (over Na₂CO₃) and filtered and the solvent was removed under vacuum. A viscous brown oil was obtained which was dissolved in n-hexane and filtered to remove any solid impurities. Solvent removal afforded compound 1 as a viscous oily dark red product, which can be purified by distillation in vacuum. Yield: 3.7 g (66%). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 300MHz): δ 1.30 (s br, 2H, NH₂), 2.50 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, Fc–C₂H₃), 2.80 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂–NH₂), 4.07, 4.08 (m, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.10 (s, 5H, C₅H₅). 13C{1H} NMR (CDCl₃, 75MHz): δ 34.1 (Fc–C₂H₃), 43.6 (Fc–C₂H₃), 67.4, 68.4, 86.3 (C₅H₄), 68.6 (C₅H₅). IR (CsI): ν(N–H) 3368, 3292 cm⁻¹, ν(C–H) 3091, 2927, 2853 cm⁻¹, δ(N–H) 1587 cm⁻¹, δ(C–H) 818 cm⁻¹, ρ(Fc–Ring) 483 cm⁻¹.

2.3. Synthesis of trans-[PtCl₂[NH=C(Ph)NH(CH₂)₂Fe(η⁵-C₅H₅)(η⁵-C₅H₅)]₂] (2). A solution of 1 (194.5 mg, 0.849 mmol) in 4 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ was added, via cannula, to a stirred solution of cis-[PtCl₂(PhCN)₂] (160 mg, 0.339 mmol) in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and then at 35 ºC for additional 7 h. The solution was cooled to −30 ºC for 24 h giving a brown solid which was filtered off, washed with n-hexane and purified by a silanized silica gel column chromatography (2 cm × 13 cm). A mayor yellow-orange band was eluted with n-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:1). Solvent removal afforded compound 2 as an analytically pure air-stable orange needle-like crystalline solid. Yield: 190 mg (60%). Anal. Calc. For C₃₈H₄₀Cl₂Fe₂N₄Pt: C, 49.05;
H, 4.33; N, 6.02 %. Found: C, 49.32; H, 4.49; N, 5.72 %. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 7.87 (2H, br, PtNH), 7.53 (2H, m, p-Ph), 7.47 (4H, m, o-Ph), 7.33 (4H, m, m-Ph), 5.59 (2H, br, NHCCH2CH2Fc), 4.13, 4.11 (8H, m, C5H4), 4.07 (10H, s, C5H5), 3.25 (4H, m, C2H2NH), 2.59 (4H, m, FeCH2). 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 170.5 (ipso-NHCNH), 133.9 (ipso-Ph), 131.1 (p-Ph), 129.2 (o-Ph), 127.6 (m-Ph), 85.1 (ipso-Fc), 69.0, 68.0 (C5H4), 68.9 (C5H5), 68.6 (C5H4), 46.4 (CH2NH), 31.1 (FcC2H). 195Pt NMR (64 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ -2020. IR (KBr): ν(N–H) 3347 – 3209 cm-1, ν(C–H) 3088 – 2924 cm-1, ν(C=N) 1618 cm-1, δ(N–H) 1575 cm-1, δ(C–H) 707 cm-1, ρ(Fe–Ring) 483 cm-1, ν(Pt–Cl) 325 cm-1. MS (ESI+): m/z 929.09 [M+], 894.13 [M–Cl]+, 857.15 [M–2Cl]+, 333.11 [Fc(CH2)2NHC(C6H5)NH]+.

2.4. Synthesis of trans-[PtCl2(N=CPh)NH(CH2)2Fe(η5-C5H4)(η5-C5H5)] (3). A solution of 1 (108.0 mg, 0.471 mmol) in 3 mL of dry CH2Cl2 was added, via cannula, to a stirred solution of cis-[PtCl2(PhCN)2] (222.0 mg, 0.471 mmol) in 10 mL of CH2Cl2. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 35 ºC. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure affording a dark orange solid, which was purified by column chromatography (2 cm × 13 cm) on silanized silica gel. A mayor yellow band was eluted with n-hexane/CH2Cl2 (5:1). Solvent removal afforded compound 3 as an analytically pure air-stable yellow crystalline solid. Yield: 162 mg (49%). Anal. Calc. For C26H25Cl2FeN3Pt: C, 44.53; H, 3.59; N, 5.99 %. Found: C, 44.84; H, 3.71; N, 5.68 %. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 8.08 (1H, br, PtNH), 7.78 (2H, m, o-PhC=N), 7.72 (1H, m, p-PhC=N), 7.56 (2H, m, m-PhC=N), 7.50 (1H, m, p-PhC=N), 7.45 (2H, m, o-PhC=N), 7.26 (2H, m, m-PhC=N), 5.51 (1H, br, NHCCH2CH2Fc), 4.08 (4H, s, C5H4), 4.03 (5H, s, C5H5), 3.21 (2H, m, C2H2NH), 2.56 (2H, m, FeCH2). 13C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 170.5 (ipso-NHCNH), 134.7 (p-PhC=N), 133.4 (o-PhC=N), 132.7 (ipso-PhC=N), 131.0 (p-PhC=N), 129.4 (m-PhC=N), 128.9 (o-PhC=N), 127.3 (m-PhC=N), 116.5 (C=NC=N), 110.0 (ipso-PhC=N), 84.4 (ipso-Fc), 68.6, 67.7 (C5H4), 68.5 (C5H5), 46.3 (CH2NH), 30.7 (FcCH2). 195Pt NMR (64 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ -2177. IR (KBr): ν(N–H) 3349 – 3276 cm-1, ν(C–H) 3086 – 2924 cm-1, ν(C=N) 2283 cm-1, ν(C=NC=N) 1576 cm-1, δ(N–H) 707 cm-1, ρ(Fe–Ring) 484 cm-1, ν(Pt–Cl) 327 cm-1. MS (ESI+): m/z 723.03 [M+Na]+, 700.05 [M+]2, 628.09 [M–2Cl–H]+, 525.05 [M–2Cl–H–NCPh]+.
3. Structural Characterization of Compounds 1, 2 and 3.

![Figure S1](image1.png)

**Figure S1.** $^1$H NMR spectrum (in CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz) of compound 1 (inset: expanded view of cyclopentadienyl region).

![Figure S2](image2.png)

**Figure S2.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (in CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz) of compound 1 (inset: expanded view of cyclopentadienyl region).
Figure S3. HMQC NMR spectrum (A) and HMBC NMR spectrum (B) (in CDCl₃, 300 MHz) of 1.

Figure S4. FAB spectrum of 1. Inset: isotopic distribution of molecular peak, calculated (bottom) and experimental (top).
Figure S5. $^1$H NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 300 MHz) of compound 2.

Figure S6. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ 75 MHz) of compound 2.
Figure S7. $^{195}\text{Pt}$ NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 64 MHz) of compound 2.

Figure S8. IR spectrum (in KBr) of compound 2.
**Figure S9.** Mass spectrometry characterization by ESI for compound 2. Isotopic distribution of selected peaks of 2, experimental (top) and calculated (bottom).
Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 300 MHz) of compound 3.

Figure S11. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ 75 MHz) of compound 3.
Figure S12. $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum (in CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 64 MHz) of compound 3.

Figure S13. IR spectrum (in KBr) of compound 3.

Figure S14. Mass spectrometry characterization by ESI for compound 3. Isotopic distribution: experimental (top) and calculated (bottom).
## 4. Crystallographic Data for Compound 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{38}$H$</em>{40}$Cl$_2$Fe$_2$N$_4$Pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fw</td>
<td>930.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature [K]</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength [Å]</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal System</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>7.8666(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>9.8807(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>12.7945(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, deg</td>
<td>96.7530(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, deg</td>
<td>106.7760(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, deg</td>
<td>111.6960(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, Å$$^3$$</td>
<td>856.13(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calcld), mgm$$^{-3}$$</td>
<td>1.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs coeff, mm$$^{-1}$$</td>
<td>15.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm$$^3$$</td>
<td>0.18 x 0.14 x 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ, deg</td>
<td>3.73 to 69.34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-9 ≤ h ≤ 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-11 ≤ k ≤ 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-15 ≤ l ≤ 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflns collected</td>
<td>8987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of indep reflns</td>
<td>3057 [R(int) = 0.0314]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>95.4 % (to θ = 69.34°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorb corr</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F$$^2$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of data / restraints / params</td>
<td>3057 / 0 / 218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F$$^2$$</td>
<td>1.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices (I&gt;2σ(I))</td>
<td>R$_1$ = 0.0303, wR$_2$ = 0.0751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Indices (all data)</td>
<td>R$_1$ = 0.0311, wR$_2$ = 0.0759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff peak and hole, eÅ$$^3$$</td>
<td>1.964 and −1.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S1.** Selected crystallographic data for compound 2.
Figure S15. Crystal-packing diagram of 2 viewed along the a-axis.
5. Electrochemistry of Compound 2

![Graphs showing CV responses of compound 2](image)

Figure S16. CV responses of compound 2 (10^{-3} M) recorded in CH_2Cl_2 containing 0.1 M TBAPF_6, at different scan rates. Plots of I_{pa} and I_{pc} against scan rate^{1/2}.

![Graphs showing plots of I_{pa} and I_{pc} against scan rate^{1/2}](image)

Figure S17. CV responses of compound 2 (10^{-3} M) recorded in CH_3CN/CH_2Cl_2 (2.5:0.5 by volume) containing 0.1 M TBAPF_6, at different scan rates. Plots of I_{pa} and I_{pc} against scan rate^{1/2}.
Figure S18. Effect of H₂PO₄⁻ on the electrochemical responses of 2.

SWV (A) and CV (B) responses of 2 (5 x 10⁻⁴ M) recorded in CH₂CN/CH₂Cl₂ (2.5:0.5 by volume) containing 0.1 M TBAPF₆ upon addition of increasing amounts of H₂PO₄⁻ TBA⁺. Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹.
**Figure S19.** Effect of $F^-$ on the electrochemical responses of 2.

CV and SWV responses of 2 ($10^{-3}$ M) recorded in CH$_3$CN/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.5:0.5 by volume) with 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$, upon addition of increasing amounts of $F^-$. Scan rate 100 mV s$^{-1}$.

**Figure S20.** Effect of CH$_3$COO$^-$ on the CV and SWV electrochemical responses of 2.

SWV and CV responses of 2 ($10^{-3}$ M) in CH$_3$CN/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.5:0.5 by volume) with 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$, upon addition of increasing amounts of CH$_3$COO$^-$. Scan rate: 100 mV s$^{-1}$.

**Figure S21.** CV response of 2 ($10^{-3}$ M) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$, upon addition of increasing amounts of HSO$_4^-$, Scan rate: 100 mV s$^{-1}$. 

Figure S22. SWV responses of 2 in the presence of: \( \text{F}^- + \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{HSO}_4^- + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \). Changes of the SWV response of 2 (5 x 10^{-4} M) in CH$_3$CN/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.5:0.5 by volume) with 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$, in the absence and presence of different anions added as [\( n\)-Bu$_4$N]$^+$ salts.
6. Electrochemistry of Compound 3

Figure S23. CV responses of compound 3 (10^{-3} M) recorded in CH_2Cl_2 containing 0.1 M TBAPF_6, at different scan rates. Plots of \( I_{pa} \) and \( I_{pc} \) against scan rate^{1/2}.

Figure S24. Effect of H_2PO_4^- on the electrochemical responses of 3. SWV responses of 3 (5 \times 10^{-4} M) recorded in CH_3CN/CH_2Cl_2 (2.5:0.5 by volume) containing 0.1 M TBAPF_6 upon addition of increasing amounts of H_2PO_4^- TBA^+. 
7. References


