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Experimental section

5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (H$_2$TPPS$_4$) tetra-sodium salt was purchased from Aldrich Co. Aqueous stock solutions of this porphyrin (300 μM) were prepared in dust-free Millipore water, stored in the dark and freshly used. Porphyrin concentration has been determined spectrophotometrically by using $\varepsilon_{414} = 5.33 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ at the Soret maximum in water. High purity D (99%), L (99.5%) and meso (98%) tartaric acid, R (98%) and S (99%) camphorsulfonic acid, and hydrochloridric acid were purchased from Aldrich Co. D and L tartaric acid were recrystallized several times from hot water (purity has been checked through circular dichroism and \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy, see Fig. S1); R and S camphorsulfonic acid were recrystallized several times from glacial acetic acid. Being the aggregate structures strongly affected by the mixing order of reagents, aggregated porphyrin samples were prepared by addition of the proper amount of concentrated chiral acid to a diluted porphyrin solution, followed by the rapid addition of HCl.
Methods. UV/Vis spectra were collected using a Hewlett-Packard mod. 8453 diode array spectrophotometer using 0.1 - 1 cm pathlength quartz cells. Kinetic experiments were carried out in the thermostated compartment of the spectrophotometer, with a temperature accuracy of ± 0.1 K.

The analysis of the kinetic profiles has been performed by a non-linear fit of the absorption data according equation 1 (ref. 16 in the text):

\[
Ext = Ext_\infty + (Ext_0 - Ext_\infty) \left(1 + (m - 1)\{k_0 t + (n + 1)^{-1} (k_c t)^{n+1}\}\right)^{-1/(m-1)}
\]

with \(Ext_0\), \(Ext_\infty\), \(k_0\), \(k_c\), \(m\) and \(n\) as the parameters to be optimized (\(Ext\), \(Ext_0\) and \(Ext_\infty\) are the extinction at time \(t\), at starting time and at the end of aggregation, respectively).

The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-720 spectropolarimeter, equipped with a 450 W xenon lamp. The ellipticity was obtained by calibrating the instrument with a 0.06% aqueous solution of R-camphorsulfonic acid. CD spectra were corrected both for the cell and solvent contributions.

\(^1\)H NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer equipped with a broadband probe operating at 300.13 MHz. Sample solutions in dmso-\(d_6\) were referenced to the internal residual solvent peak. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are reported in p.p.m. downfield from TMS.
**Figure S1.** $^1$H NMR spectra (upper plots) of D (A) and L (B) tartaric acid 0.2 M in dmso-$d_6$. CD spectra (lower plot) of D (solid line) and L (dashed line) tartaric acid. [tartaric acid] = 5 mM; $\Delta\varepsilon/\varepsilon = 2 \times 10^{-2}$ (834 mdeg at 216 nm) and $\Delta\varepsilon/\varepsilon = -2 \times 10^{-2}$ (-832 mdeg at 216 nm) for D and L tartaric acid, respectively.
Figure S2. $|\Delta \varepsilon/\varepsilon|$ for H$_4$TPPS$_4$ J-aggregates induced by HCl in presence of D or L-tartaric acid. 

$[\text{H}_4\text{TPPS}_4] = 3 \text{ } \mu\text{M}; [\text{tartaric acid}] = 100 \text{ } \text{mM}; [\text{HCl}] = 0.5 \text{ } \text{M}; T = 298 \text{ } \text{K}.$
Figure S3. CD spectrum (upper) and the corresponding absorption kinetic profile $\lambda = 434$ nm (full circles); $\lambda = 491$ nm (empty circles) (lower) for $\text{H}_4\text{TPPS}_4$ J-aggregates induced by HCl in the presence of meso-tartaric acid. $[\text{H}_4\text{TPPS}_4] = 20 \mu\text{M}; [\text{meso-tartaric acid}] = 100 \text{ mM}; [\text{HCl}] = 0.5 \text{ M};$ optical path length 2 mm, $T = 298$ K. The solid lines are the best-fits of the experimental data ($\lambda_{491\text{nm}}$) to Pasternack’s autocatalytic model (equation 1): $k_0 = (4.3 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$; $k_c = (8.6 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; $m = 3.4 \pm 0.1$; $n = 6.8 \pm 0.2$; $R^2 = 0.9997$. 
Figure S4. CD spectra for H₄TPPS₄ J-aggregates induced by addition of H₂SO₄ in the presence of D (solid line) or L (dashed line) tartaric acid according to the procedure reported in Y. Kitagawa, H. Segawa and K. Ishii *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 9133.
Figure S5. Absorption kinetic profiles (upper) and final CD spectra (lower) for H₄TPPS₄ aggregation induced by H₂SO₄ in presence of L-tartaric acid (red points and dashed lines) and D-tartaric acid (black points and solid lines). [H₄TPPS₄] = 3 μM; [tartaric acid] = 100 mM; [H₂SO₄] = 0.5 M, λ = 434 nm (full circles black or red); λ = 491 nm (empty circles black or red); T = 298 K. |Δε/ε| = 1.7 x 10⁻² and 0.6 x 10⁻² for L and D enantiomers, respectively. The solid lines are the best-fits of the experimental data (λ = 491 nm) to Pasternack’s autocatalytic model (equation 1): (L-tartaric acid: k₀ = (3.3 ± 0.02) x 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹; kₐ = (1.5 ± 0.01) x 10⁻³ s⁻¹; m = 3.4 ± 0.2; n = 6.7 ± 0.4; R² = 0.9991; D-tartaric acid: k₀ = (6.9 ± 0.05) x 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹; kₐ = (1.7 ± 0.01) x 10⁻³ s⁻¹; m = 3.7 ± 0.05; n = 6.2 ± 0.1; R² = 0.9999).
**Figure S6.** Absorption kinetic profiles (upper) and final CD spectra (lower) for H₄TPPS₄ aggregation induced by *R*-camphorsulfonic acid (empty circle points and dashed line) or *S*-camphorsulfonic acid (full circle points and solid line). [H₄TPPS₄] = 40 μM; [camphorsulfonic acid] = 50 mM; optical path length 2 mm; T = 298 K. |Δε/ε| = 1.9 x 10⁻³ and 6.1 x 10⁻⁴ for *R* and *S* enantiomers, respectively. The solid lines are the best-fits of the experimental data (λ₄₉₁nm) to Pasternack’s autocatalytic model (equation 1). *S*-camphorsulfonic acid: k₀ = (3.0 ± 0.6) x 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹; kₑ = (1.1 ± 0.02) x 10⁻³ s⁻¹; m = 5.9 ± 0.2; n = 9.4 ± 0.3; R² = 0.9998. *R*-camphorsulfonic acid: k₀ = (8.0 ± 0.6) x 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹; kₑ = (1.6 ± 0.01) x 10⁻³ s⁻¹; m = 5.5 ± 0.4; n = 6.1 ± 0.5; R² = 0.9997.
**Figure S7.** UV/Vis absorption (upper) and CD (lower) spectra for $\text{H}_4\text{TPPS}_4$ J-aggregates induced by addition of HCl in presence of $S$ (solid line) or $R$ (dashed line) camphorsulfonic acid. [H$_4$TPPS$_4$] = 3 μM; [camphorsulfonic acid] = 100 mM; [HCl] = 0.5 M; T = 298 K. $|\Delta\varepsilon/\varepsilon| = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ and 3.9 x $10^{-2}$ for $S$ and $R$ enantiomers, respectively.