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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 bar H(_2)</td>
<td>[\text{C}_9\text{H}_8]</td>
<td>[\text{C}<em>9\text{H}</em>{12}]</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>[\text{C}_9\text{H}_8]</td>
<td>[\text{C}<em>9\text{H}</em>{12}]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40 bar Ar</td>
<td>[\text{C}_9\text{H}_8]</td>
<td>[\text{C}<em>9\text{H}</em>{12}]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reaction conditions: substrate (1 mmol), Fe CSNPs (5 mol%), EtOH (17mL), 80°C, 24 hour.

Hydrogenation of styrene proceeds quantitatively in the presence of 40 bar H\(_2\) (entry 1), though not in the absence of an external gas (entry 2) or in the presence of the same pressure of Ar (entry 3). The dependence of hydrogenation on H\(_2\) refutes the possibility of EtOH as a transfer hydrogenation agent in this system. Blank test with no catalyst under H\(_2\) pressure (40 bars) resulted in no conversion.

- **Experimental section:**

  **Chemicals**

  NaBH\(_4\) (99.99%) was purchased from and FeSO\(_4\)-7H\(_2\)O from AlfaAesar, 99+%. High-purity water (18.2 M\(\Omega\)•cm from a Nanopure Diamond unit, Barnstead) was used to prepare the solutions. Methanol (HPLC grade) was purchased from Fischer Scientific and used with no further purification. Ethanol (anhydrous) was purchased from GreenField Ethanol and used only after being passed through an Innovative Technologies Pure Solve solvent purification system. All the hydrogenation substrates tested were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. All Solvents were deoxygenated prior to reaction by bubbling nitrogen gas for 1 hour. Unless specified otherwise, all reactions were carried out in an inert atmosphere in either a glovebox or using the Schlenk technique.

  **Analytical Methods**

  High-pressure experiments were performed employing a Parr Instruments 5000 Series Multiple Reactor System equipped with 45 mL reaction vessels. TEM images of bare-NZVI nanoparticles were taken with a Philips CM200 instrument operated at 200 kV. High resolution TEM images presented in the supplementary information were taken with a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 instrument. X-ray photoelectron spectrometry (XPS) was
performed on a VG ESCALAB 3 MKII spectrometer (VG, Thermo Electron Corporation, UK) equipped with an MgKα source. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was achieved on a Bruker AXS D8 Discover using Cu Kα radiation at 40kV & 40 mA.

**Synthesis of Fe CSNPs**

This synthesis is adapted from ref 20 of the article. 8.4 g of FeSO₄·7H₂O was dissolved in a 480 mL methanol/water solution (30% methanol, v/v). An aqueous solution NaBH₄ (2.4 g in 60 mL) was added at a constant flow of 3 mL/min using a syringe pump. Once all of the NaBH₄ solution was added, the mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min. Magnetic collection followed by solvent removal and three ethanol washes (200 mL each) yielded an ethanol dispersion of Fe CSNPs used directly in catalysis.

**Typical catalytic run**

In a general reaction procedure, Fe CSNPs or C-Fe CSNPs (5 mol%- assuming all the sample is composed of iron) were loaded in the high pressure reactor liner, as a suspension, followed by application of an external magnet to pull the nanoparticles out of suspension. Than the excess solvent could be removed. 1mmol of substrate and 0.5 mmol dodecane as an internal standard were then loaded with 17 mL of EtOH and a magnetic stirbar. The reaction proceeded for 24 hour. After the reaction, the reactor was open to air for a few minutes, the catalysts was recovered magnetically, washed three times with 10-15 mL EtOH and reused on another catalytic run as is. The conversion was monitored by GC-FID.

- **High resolution TEM pictures of Fe CSNPs:**
The scale bar represents 50 nm.

- **TEM picture of Fe CSNPs after 5 cycles:**

- **TEM picture of C-FeCSNPs:**
- **Estimated Fe:FeO ratio from TEM data**
  The average nanoparticle (44 nm core, 6 nm shell) should have $3.5 \times 10^{19}$ g Fe and $1.2 \times 10^{19}$ g FeO (for a molar ratio of 3.75:1 Fe:FeO).

- **XPS analysis of Fe CSNPs:**
  Full spectrum (note that the presence of copper is caused by the type of holder used during analysis)
Survey

High resolution XPS for Fe2p
- **ICP-MS analysis of Fe CSNPs:**

  Performed by digesting 100 mg of Fe CSNPs in 10mL aqueous nitric acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Quantity detected</th>
<th>Quantity detected / Quantity of iron detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>6867184.97</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>226309.718</td>
<td>3.30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>55.1642745</td>
<td>8 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>175.839891</td>
<td>25 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>0.06552416</td>
<td>9 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>0.03524121</td>
<td>5 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>0.05011601</td>
<td>7 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **XRD analysis of Fe CSNPs**

  The signal was very noisy due to iron interferences. The peak around 36° 20 can be associated with FeO.