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1. Apparatus and materials

All electrochemical experiments were carried out on a CHI660A electrochemical workstation (CH Instrument Co., USA). A conventional three-electrode electrolytic cell was used. A computer-interfaced HP4395A impedance analyzer was used in the quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) experiments. AT-cut 9 MHz piezoelectric quartz crystals (PQC) with Au electrodes (Type JA5B, Beijing Chenjing Co.) were used experimentally, and each PQC wafer was sealed on a plastic tube terminal, with one side facing solution and the other side in air. The unpolished polycrystalline gold electrode on one side of the PQC surface served as the working electrode (geometric area: 0.29 cm²). The reference electrode was a KCl-saturated calomel electrode (SCE) with a salt bridge, and a carbon rod electrode was used as the counter electrode. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a UV2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan). The surface electronic structures of the Au-supported Pt-Au mixed or Pt atomic monolayer were analyzed with an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-Alpha 1063, Thermo fisher scientific, UK) equipped with a monochromatic Al Ka radiation ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV) under the base pressure of $\leq 10^{-9}$ mbar. Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Baird, USA) was used to determine the load of electroplated Pt and replacement-deposited Pt using its 214.423 nm spectrum line, as discussed later.

CuSO₄·5H₂O, HCOOH, HAuCl₄·3H₂O, H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O, and K₂PtCl₄ were purchased from Tianjin Chemical Reagents Station (Tianjin, China). RuCl₃·xH₂O, RhCl₃·xH₂O, IrCl₃·xH₂O, OsCl₃·3H₂O and PdCl₂ were purchased from Tianjin Alfa Aesar Company (Tianjin, China). All chemicals were of analytical grade or better quality, and all the solutions were prepared using Milli-Q ultrapure water (Millipore, >18 MΩ·cm).

2. Procedures

Prior to the electrochemical experiments, the Au working electrode was cleaned as follows. To remove possible surface contamination, the working electrode surface was treated with a drop of H₂SO₄ + H₂O₂ (3:1, $V/V$) for 3-min stay and then rinsed thoroughly with ultrapure water. After that, the working electrode was subjected to potential cycling (0–1.5 V, 30 mV·s⁻¹) in 0.2 M aqueous HClO₄ for sufficient cycles to obtain reproducible cyclic voltammograms. The treated Au
electrode could give a peak-to-peak separation of ca. 70 mV in 2 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆ + 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ solution (-0.1–0.5 V, 50 mV·s⁻¹), indicating a well cleaned electrode surface.

Pt atomic monolayer or Pt-Au mixed atomic monolayer modified Au electrodes were fabricated by an underpotential deposition (UPD) of Cu (Cu_UPD)–redox replacement reaction process. A full monolayer of Cu_UPD was formed on an Au electrode (Cu_UPD/Au) by holding the potential at -0.01 V for 500 s in aqueous 0.1 M H₂SO₄ + 5 mM CuSO₄ (Fig. S1), except otherwise specified. After quick rinse of Cu_UPD/Au electrode with ultrapure water, Cu_UPD/Au was immersed in a N₂-saturated noble metal salt solution for 900 s, allowing the redox replacement of Cu_UPD atoms by noble metal atoms as completely as possible. However, a QCM experiment confirmed that the Cu_UPD-to-Pt redox replacement reaction on the Cu_UPD/Au electrode in 1.0 mM aqueous H₂PtCl₆ + 0.1 M HClO₄ solution (Cu_UPD load: 0.57 µg·cm⁻²) can be finished within 3 min (see Fig. S2 with discussion details). A Pt atomic monolayer modified Au electrode is prepared by using K₂PtCl₄ (or H₂PtCl₆) as a Pt source, while a Pt-Au mixed atomic monolayer modified Au electrode with a given atomic Pt/Au ratio is prepared by varying the concentration ratio of H₂PtCl₆ to HAuCl₄ in the mixed H₂PtCl₆ + HAuCl₄ solution. Table S1 lists the corresponding noble metal salt compositions for modification of the Au electrodes and abbreviations of the prepared electrodes.

For comparison, Pt-M (M=Ir, Rh, Pd, Ru, and Os) mixed atomic monolayer modified Au electrodes were similarly fabricated. The mass of noble metal atomic monolayer and the Cu_UPD load both in µg·cm⁻² are calculated according to the Sauerbrey equation, \( \Delta f_0 = -2.264 \times 10^6 \frac{f_0 g}{\Delta m} \), where \( \Delta f_0 \) in Hz is the shift of the resonant frequency, \( \Delta m \) in µg·cm⁻² is the areal mass change of the electrode, \( f_0 g \) in Hz is the fundamental frequency in air (here \( 9.00 \times 10^6 \) Hz). After UPD of Cu in aqueous 0.1 M H₂SO₄ + 5 mM CuSO₄, the areal Cu_UPD load on the Au electrode was experimentally obtained as 0.58±0.08 µg·cm⁻².

Under our experimental conditions, it is impossible to prepare a Pt-Au mixed atomic monolayer using a noble metal salt solution containing K₂PtCl₄ and HAuCl₄, owing to the quick reduction of AuCl₄⁻ by PtCl₄²⁻, as verified by QCM and UV-vis spectrophotometric experiments (see Fig. S4 with discussion details).

Commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%) catalyst modified electrode was fabricated as follows. 1 mg
E-TEK Pt/C (20%) catalyst was ultrasonically dispersed into 1 mL ultrapure water, and 15 μL of the suspension was dropped on the surface of a glassy carbon electrode (3 mm diameter). After drying, 5 μL of 0.5 wt % Nafion alcohol solution was cast on the electrode surface, drying at room temperature before use.

The modified Au electrodes were characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in 0.1 M aqueous H₂SO₄ (50 mV·s⁻¹, -0.3–1.5 V). Electrocatalytic oxidation of formic acid was carried out by CV in aqueous 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H₂SO₄ (50 mV·s⁻¹, -0.3–1.5 V). Meanwhile, chronoamperometry tests of the modified Au electrodes were investigated at 0.1 V by recording the current-time (i-t) curves of Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, Pt₆(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, Pt₆(CuUPD-Pt₂+)/Au and commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%) for 1200 s. All of the solutions were deoxygenated by bubbling high-purity N₂ for at least 10 min prior to each measurement. The electroactive Pt surface area is estimated from the hydrogen adsorption (H UPD) charge in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ solution using a conversion factor of 210 μC·cm⁻²·s².

The poison adsorption on the modified electrodes under open circuit potential and the stripping experiments were studied as described in ref. S3. Briefly, the electrode was activated by CV in 0.1 M aqueous H₂SO₄ (50 mV·s⁻¹, -0.3–1.5 V), rinsed with ultrapure water, and immersed in 1 M aqueous HCOOH for 5 min. The electrode was then transferred to deaerated ultrapure water to remove the excess HCOOH from the electrode surface. Then, the electrode was immersed back into 0.1 M aqueous H₂SO₄ at 0 V. The adsorbed poison was stripped by scanning the potential positively within the range of -0.3–0.8 V starting at 0 V.

3. Experimental CuUPD-to-Pt replacement efficiency (ηPt-exp)

The experimental CuUPD-to-Pt replacement efficiency (ηPt-exp) is defined as the molar ratio of replacement-deposited Pt atoms to electrodeposited CuUPD atoms. The experimental molar quantity of replacement-deposited Pt atoms (nPt-exp in μmol·cm⁻²) can be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation and the “dry” frequency shift (Δf₀-Pt-exp) before and after loading the Pt in each redox replacement cycle, namely, 

\[ n_{Pt-exp} = \frac{\Delta m_{Pt-exp}}{195.1} = \frac{\Delta f_{0-Pt-exp}}{195.1 \times 2.264 \times 10^6 \times f_{0g}^2} \]

where 195.1 in g·mol⁻¹ is the molar weight of Pt, and \( f_{0g} \) in Hz is the fundamental frequency in air
The molar quantity of electrodeposited Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$ atoms ($n_{\text{Cu-UPD}}$ in $\mu\text{mol-cm}^{-2}$) can be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation and the frequency shift ($\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}}$) for Cu UPD, namely, $n_{\text{Cu-UPD}} = \Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} / 63.55 = \Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 10^6 / (\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 63.55 \times 2.264)$, where 63.55 in g-mol$^{-1}$ is the molar weight of Cu. Hence,

$$\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}} = n_{\text{Pt-exp}} / n_{\text{Cu-UPD}} = \Delta f_{0-\text{Pt-exp}} \times 63.55 / (\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 195.1)$$

The $\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}}$ for Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{2+})}/$Au (H$_2$PtCl$_6$ source) and Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{4+})}/$Au (K$_2$PtCl$_4$ source) are calculated to be (30±3)% and (74±6)%, respectively.

4. Experimental Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$-to-Au replacement efficiency ($\eta_{\text{Au-exp}}$)

The experimental Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$-to-Au replacement efficiency ($\eta_{\text{Au-exp}}$) is defined as the molar ratio of replacement-deposited Au atoms to electrodeposited Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$ atoms. The experimental molar quantity of replacement-deposited Au atoms ($n_{\text{Au-exp}}$ in $\mu\text{mol-cm}^{-2}$) can be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation and the “dry” frequency shift ($\Delta f_{0-\text{Au-exp}}$) before and after loading the Au in each redox replacement cycle, namely, $n_{\text{Au-exp}} = \Delta m_{\text{Au-exp}} / 197 = \Delta f_{0-\text{Au-exp}} \times (\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 197)$, where 197 in g-mol$^{-1}$ is the molar weight of Au. In addition, $n_{\text{Cu-UPD}} = \Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} / 63.55 = \Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 10^6 / (\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 63.55 \times 2.264)$. Hence,

$$\eta_{\text{Au-exp}} = n_{\text{Au-exp}} / n_{\text{Cu-UPD}} = \Delta f_{0-\text{Au-exp}} \times 63.55 / (\Delta f_{0-\text{Cu-exp}} \times 197)$$

The $\eta_{\text{Au-exp}}$ for Au$_{(\text{CuUPD-Au}^{3+})}/$Au (HAuCl$_4$ source) is calculated to be (49±3)%.

5. Quantification of the Pt load in a Pt-Au MAMEC

The Pt load in a Pt-Au mixed atomic monolayer electrocatalyst (MAMEC) is quantified by the electrochemistry plus QCM method, and the procedures are given in the following four steps. (1) A series of Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{2+})}/$Au (or Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{4+})}/$Au) electrodes were prepared by adjusting the amount of Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$ (0.39-0.56 $\mu$g-cm$^{-2}$) using different applied potentials (-0.01, 0.04, 0.08, and 0.1 V vs. SCE) during UPD of Cu in aqueous 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ + 5 mM CuSO$_4$, followed by replacement of Cu$_{\text{UPD}}$ with K$_2$PtCl$_4$ (or H$_2$PtCl$_6$) in aqueous 1.0 mM K$_2$PtCl$_4$ (or 1.0 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$) + 0.1 M HClO$_4$, and the Pt load for Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{2+})}/$Au (or Pt$_{(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{4+})}/$Au) electrode is obtained from the QCM.
frequency responses($\Delta f_0$) and the Sauerbrey equation; (2) the prepared electrodes were subjected to potential cycling (50 mV s$^{-1}$, -0.3–1.5 V) in 0.1 M aqueous H$_2$SO$_4$ to obtain data of Pt-load-dependent $|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ and H adsorption charge on Pt surface ($Q_{H,UPD}$); (3) according to the Pt load on each Pt$_{CuUPD-Pt2+/Au}$ (or Pt$_{CuUPD-Pt4+/Au}$) electrode with the corresponding $|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ and $Q_{H,UPD}$, the linear plots of the Pt load versus $|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ or $Q_{H,UPD}$ are drawn to obtain the Pt load-$|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ and Pt load-$Q_{H,UPD}$ linear regression equations, as shown in Fig. S6; (4) we use the linear regression equations to quantify the Pt load in an Au-supported Pt-Au MAMEC, after determining $|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ and $Q_{H,UPD}$ of a Pt-Au MAMEC by potential cycling (50 mV s$^{-1}$, -0.3–1.5 V) in 0.1 M aqueous H$_2$SO$_4$, as shown in Fig. S3. The Pt load of Pt$_9$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4$/Au), Pt$_4$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4$/Au) and Pt$_3$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4$/Au) are listed in Table S3.

6. Comparative QCM and ICP-AES studies

We have carefully conducted comparative in-situ QCM and ex-situ ICP-AES experiments below to measure the load of electroplated Pt and replacement-deposited Pt, in order to check the reliability of our in-situ QCM method. It should be noted that the inevitable solution-dilution effect during sample preparation for ex-situ ICP-AES assay might make the ICP-AES method less sensitive to detect the (sub)monolayer Pt load on a conventional QCM/Au electrode, thus electroplated Pt of increased load and roughened QCM/Au electrodes capable of loading more replacement-deposited Pt are employed here.

The detailed procedures for experiments of electroplated Pt are given as follows. (1) We conducted potentiostatic electroplating of Pt at -0.2 V vs. SCE on several QCM Au electrodes ($Pt_{pla}/Au$) in 2.0 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution at varying electroplating time, and the Pt load for the $Pt_{pla}/Au$ electrodes was obtained from the QCM frequency responses ($\Delta f_0$) and the Sauerbrey equation (2 min for 14.0 \(\mu\)g cm$^{-2}$ Pt, 7 min for 45.0 \(\mu\)g cm$^{-2}$ Pt, 22 min for 167 \(\mu\)g cm$^{-2}$ Pt, and 47 min for 337 \(\mu\)g cm$^{-2}$ Pt). (2) The Pt load for the $Pt_{pla}/Au$ electrodes was then determined by the ICP-AES method. Experimentally, 80 \(\mu\)L of fresh prepared aqua regia (3:1, $V_{HC}/V_{HNO3}$: Highly corrosive, treat with great care) was cast on the electrode surface for 5 min to dissolve Pt and Au, and the solution was then transferred into a tumbler. Repeating the
noble-metal-dissolution process for 10 times can fully dissolve the Pt and Au (relatively thick Pt films here), and the total volume of aqua regia used for the dissolution was 0.8 mL. After diluting the aqua regia to 10 mL by carefully adding 9.2 mL ultrapure water, the obtained solution was fed into an ICP-AES instrument (Baird, USA) to determine the concentrations of Pt by using a proper calibration curve. Thus, the Pt load at Pt pla/Au electrodes can be obtained as the product of the ICP-AES-revealed Pt concentration and the solution volume (10 mL). (3) The linear plot of Pt load_{ICP-AES} (determined by ICP-AES technology) versus Pt load_{QCM} (determined by QCM method) is drawn in Fig. S7. The linear regression equation gives a Pt load_{ICP-AES}/Pt load_{QCM} ratio of almost 1:1, confirming the accuracy of QCM method in determining the Pt load.

The detailed procedures for experiments of replacement-deposited Pt on roughened QCM Au electrodes are given as follows. (1) The Au pla/Au electrodes were fabricated via potentiostatically depositing Au on the QCM Au electrode at -0.2 V vs. SCE in aqueous 1 mM HAuCl4 + 0.1 M H2SO4 for 3 min by two times, and the total frequency shift for Au deposits was -5.00 kHz. By using a conversion factor of 386 \mu C cm^{-2},^{10} the real surface area of the as-prepared Au pla/Au electrode is calculated to be 1.61 cm^2 and the roughness factor (a ratio of the real surface area to the geometric area of 0.29 cm^2) was 5.54 on Au pla/Au, after determining the reduction charge of Au oxides by potential cycling (50 mV s^{-1}, -0.3–1.5 V vs. SCE) in 0.1 M aqueous H2SO4, as shown in Fig. S8. (2) UPD of Cu on Au pla/Au electrode was performed at 0 V vs. SCE (about 10 mV positive of that on bare Au) in aqueous 0.1 M H2SO4 + 5 mM CuSO4 for 500 s, followed by replacement of the CuUPD with K2PtCl4 (or H2PtCl6) in aqueous 1.0 mM K2PtCl4 (or 1.0 mM H2PtCl6) + 0.1 M HClO4, the obtained electrode is denoted as Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+)/Au pla/Au (or Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+)/Au pla/Au). The Pt load on Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+)/Au pla/Au (or Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+)/Au pla/Au) is obtained from the QCM frequency responses (\Delta f_0) and the Sauerbrey equation. (3) The Pt load for Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+)/Au pla/Au (or Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+)/Au pla/Au) electrode was determined by the ICP-AES technology. The process is similar to those for determining the Pt load on Pt pla/Au electrodes, except that the total volume of final sample solution was 5.00 mL, including 0.24 mL of aqua regia used for dissolution of the monolayer-Pt deposits and 4.76 mL of ultrapure water used for solution-dilution. Table S4 lists the Pt load of Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+)/Au pla/Au and Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+)/Au pla/Au. For each electrode, the Pt load determined by in-situ QCM method agrees acceptably with the one
determined by ex-situ ICP-AES, validating the in-situ QCM method for reliably quantifying the Pt load in the (sub)monolayer level.
Table S1. Noble metal salt compositions for preparing the modified Au electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c_{Pt}$ : $c_{Au}$</th>
<th>Noble metal salt composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(CuUPD-Pt$^{2+}$/Au</td>
<td>1.0 mM K$_2$PtCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$/Au</td>
<td>1.0 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_9$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$/Au</td>
<td>9 : 1 0.9 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.1 mM HAuCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_4$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$/Au</td>
<td>4 : 1 0.8 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.2 mM HAuCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_3$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$/Au</td>
<td>3 : 1 0.75 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.25 mM HAuCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au(CuUPD-Au$^{3+}$/Au</td>
<td>1.0 mM HAuCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Binding energies (BEs) of 4f signals for Pt° and Au° on the modified Au electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BE (eV)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pt4f(7/2)</td>
<td>Pt4f(5/2)</td>
<td>Au4f(7/2)</td>
<td>Au4f(5/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(_{10})(CuUPD-Pt(_2))/Au</td>
<td>71.12</td>
<td>74.36</td>
<td>83.85</td>
<td>87.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(_{10})(CuUPD-Pt(_4))/Au</td>
<td>71.01</td>
<td>74.33</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>87.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(<em>{10})Au(</em>{10})(CuUPD-Pt(_4))/Au</td>
<td>70.97</td>
<td>74.29</td>
<td>84.03</td>
<td>87.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(_3)Au(_3)(CuUPD-Pt(_4))/Au</td>
<td>70.87</td>
<td>74.16</td>
<td>84.08</td>
<td>87.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(_3)Au(_3)(CuUPD-Pt(_4))/Au</td>
<td>70.84</td>
<td>74.09</td>
<td>84.11</td>
<td>87.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk metal(^{55})</td>
<td>71.20</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>87.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: data obtained from the handbook of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.\(^{55}\)
Table S3. Pt load of Au-supported Pt-Au MAMECs calculated from the Pt load-$|\Delta Q_{PC-Au}|$ and Pt load-$Q_{H,UPD}$ linear regression equations as shown in Fig. S6, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt load (μg cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Pt$_3$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4^+/$Au</th>
<th>Pt$_3$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4^+/Au</th>
<th>Pt$_3$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$_4^+/Au</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt load-$</td>
<td>\Delta Q_{PC-Au}</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$Y = 0.0038X^a$ 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt load-$Q_{H,UPD}$</td>
<td>$Y = 0.017X^a$ 0.22</td>
<td>$Y = 0.018X^b$ 0.24</td>
<td>$Y = 0.018X^b$ 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Pt load</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ the linear regression equations for Pt$_{CuUPD-Pt^2^+/Au}$ electrode;

$^b$ the linear regression equations for Pt$_{CuUPD-Pt^4^+/Au}$ electrode.
Table S4. Pt load of Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+)/Aupla/Au and Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+)/Aupla/Au obtained from the in-situ QCM and ex-situ ICP-AES methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Pt load QCM (µg cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Pt load ICP-AES (µg cm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(CuUPD-Pt₂⁺)/Aupla/Au</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(CuUPD-Pt₄⁺)/Aupla/Au</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table S5. Electrochemical performance of some typical Pt-based catalysts toward formic acid electrooxidation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst fabrication</th>
<th>Investigated solution</th>
<th>Potential range of CV (V)</th>
<th>Scan rate (mV s⁻¹)</th>
<th>SECAₐ (mA·cm⁻²·Pt⁻¹)</th>
<th>SECAₐ (mA·μg⁻¹·Pt⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt₀.₁₀⁻Au-1.9 nm/C</td>
<td>2 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.2 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>S6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nps-Pt₆₀Au₄₀/C</td>
<td>0.5 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.2 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt₀.₁₀⁻Au-3.0 nm/C</td>
<td>2 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.2 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>S8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-around-Au/C</td>
<td>0.5 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>0 to 1.2 vs. RHE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>S9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtAu alloy/C</td>
<td>0.5 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>0 to 1.2 vs. RHE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>S10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fct-Fe₄₃Pt₃₇Au₂₀/C</td>
<td>0.5 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.2 to 0.9 vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>S11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCuUPD-P₄⁺/Au</td>
<td>1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.3 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt₄Au₁CuUPD-P₄⁺/Au</td>
<td>1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.3 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt₃Au₁CuUPD-P₄⁺/Au</td>
<td>1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>-0.3 to 1.0 vs. SCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Partial data are given based on calculation from the reported original data. Note that the strict performance comparison of the electrocatalysts is difficult, owing to the different experimental conditions (e.g., concentration of formic acid, scan rate, pH of the solution) existing in the literatures. However, our electrocatalysts have SECAₐ and SECAₐ higher than some reported electrocatalysts already with superior performance (as listed here, though their experimental conditions are not strictly the same) by ca. 1~2 orders of magnitude, implying the highest specific activity of our electrocatalyst reported to date. Similar performance comparison among the electrocatalysts is also adopted by other researchers. S6

a nps: nanoporous; fct: face-centered tetragonal; Pt₀.₁₀⁻Au-1.9 nm: 1.9 nm Pt-on-Au nanoparticle with an atomic Pt/Au ratio of 0.10; C: Vulcan XC-72 carbon except for fct-Fe₄₃Pt₃₇Au₂₀/C with Ketjen carbon.
Fig. S1. Simultaneous responses of current and $\Delta f_0$ for UPD of Cu on QCM Au electrode in aqueous 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ + 5 mM CuSO$_4$. Potential was hold at -0.01 V vs. SCE for 500 s. Here, the frequency response became steady after 200 s and a steady-state frequency decrease of -105 Hz due to UPD of monolayer Cu was observed. Please note that, if bulk deposition of Cu occurred here, the frequency should decrease continuously all the time, but the frequency kept almost constant from 200 to 500 s, indicating the negligible deposition of bulk Cu here. The areal Cu UPD load ($\Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}}$ in $\mu$g·cm$^{-2}$) can thus be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation and the frequency shift here, i.e. $\Delta f_{0,\text{Cu-exp}} = -105 = -2.264 \times 10^5 \times f_{0g}^2 \times \Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}}$, where $f_{0g}$ in Hz is the fundamental frequency in air ($9.00 \times 10^6$ Hz in this work). Then we obtain $\Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} = 0.57 \mu$g·cm$^{-2}$. 
Fig. S2. Time-dependent frequency responses on Cu\textsubscript{UPD}/Au electrode during Cu\textsubscript{UPD}-to-Pt redox replacement reaction in 1.0 mM aqueous H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} + 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution (H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} was added at 0 s). Cu load from UPD experiment of Cu is $\Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} = 0.57$ $\mu$g$\cdot$cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. S1). After the baseline became stable in aqueous 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4}, we added 1.0 mM aqueous H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} + 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} (final concentration). Due to the replacement of remaining Cu\textsubscript{UPD} with heavier Pt atoms (see below), the frequency decreased rapidly at first and tended to be stable within ca. 3 min (a total frequency decrease by -36 Hz), indicating that the replacement of Cu\textsubscript{UPD} with Pt occurred rather rapidly here. The frequency kept almost steady from 3 to 15 min, indicating that the possible spontaneous deposition of Pt\textsuperscript{12,13} on the QCM Au electrode in the H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} + 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} aqueous solution was negligible under our experimental conditions, and the use of 900-s redox-replacement time here will thus not result in detectable systematic experimental errors.

Furthermore, we have confirmed the quantitative rationality of the observed frequency decrease ($\Delta f_{\text{0,Obs}}$, -36 Hz) after the Cu\textsubscript{UPD}-to-Pt replacement (Fig. S2), by comparing the areal Pt load on Au electrode deduced from the $\Delta f_{\text{0,Obs}}$ with that obtained from the frequency responses from separate experiments ($\Delta f_{\text{0,exp}}$, see below).

(1) The areal Pt load obtained experimentally from separate “dry”-frequency QCM experiments ($\Delta m_{\text{Pt-exp}}$ in $\mu$g$\cdot$cm$^{-2}$) can be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation and the “dry” frequency shift ($\Delta f_{\text{0,exp}}$, -99 Hz in the experiments relevant to Figs. S1 and S2, measured in air) on the Au electrode before and after loading the Pt atoms by the Cu\textsubscript{UPD}-to-Pt redox replacement process, i.e.,
We then obtain
\[ \Delta m_{\text{Pt-exp}} = -99 \left( -2.264 \times 10^6 \times 9000000 \right) = 0.54 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}. \]

(2) The redox replacement reaction is \(2\text{Cu} + \text{PtCl}_6^{2-} = 2\text{Cu}^{2+} + 6\text{Cl}^- + \text{Pt}\) for the \(\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6\) source, and the redox displacement of \(\text{Cu}_{\text{UPD}}\) remaining at the electrode with heavier Pt atoms will lead to the mass increase of the deposit, as reflected by the observed steady-state frequency decrease \((\Delta f_{0,\text{Obs}} = -36 \, \text{Hz})\) in Fig. S2. Thus, the net increase of areal mass \((\Delta m_{\text{net-inc}})\) on the electrode can be estimated from the Sauerbrey equation and \(\Delta f_{0,\text{Obs}}\) (-36 Hz) in Fig. S2, i.e.,
\[ \Delta f_{0,\text{Obs}} = -2.264 \times 10^6 \times f_{0g}^2 \times \Delta m_{\text{net-inc}}. \]
Hence, the expected areal Pt load \((\Delta m_{\text{Pt-Exptd}})\) on Au electrode can be calculated according to
\[ \Delta m_{\text{Pt-Exptd}} = \Delta m_{\text{net-inc}} \times 195.1/68 = \Delta f_{0,\text{Obs}} \times 195.1/( -2.264 \times 10^6 \times 68 \times f_{0g}^2), \]
where 195.1 in g-mol\(^{-1}\) is the molar weight of Pt, 68 in g-mol\(^{-1}\) is the net increased molar weight after displacing the \(\text{Cu}_{\text{UPD}}\) atoms with Pt atoms in the \(2\text{Cu} + \text{PtCl}_6^{2-} = 2\text{Cu}^{2+} + 6\text{Cl}^- + \text{Pt}\) reaction \((68 = 195.1 - 2 \times 63.55)\). Substituting \(\Delta f_{0,\text{Obs}}\) (-36 Hz) into the above equation yields
\[ \Delta m_{\text{Pt-Exptd}} = -36 \times 195.1/( -2.264 \times 10^6 \times 68 \times 9000000^2) = 0.56 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}. \] The \(\Delta m_{\text{Pt-Exptd}}\) here agrees well with relevant \(\Delta m_{\text{Pt-exp}}\) obtained from separate “dry”-frequency QCM experiments (0.54 \(\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\)), confirming the quantitative rationality of data given in Fig. S2.

(3) The replacement efficiency \((\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}})\) can be estimated according to the equation of
\[ \eta_{\text{Pt-exp}} = n_{\text{Pt-exp}} / n_{\text{Cu-UPD}}. \]
From the above results of \(\Delta m_{\text{Pt-exp}} = 0.54 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\) and \(\Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} = 0.57 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\), we obtain \(\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}} = (0.54 / 195.1) / (0.57 / 63.55) = 31\%\). From the above results of \(\Delta m_{\text{Pt-Exptd}} = 0.56 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\) and \(\Delta m_{\text{Cu-exp}} = 0.57 \, \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\), we obtain \(\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}} = (0.56 / 195.1) / (0.57 / 63.55) = 32\%\). Both \(\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}}\) values as above are in good agreement with the \(\eta_{\text{Pt-exp}}\) \((30 \pm 3\%)\) for \(\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6\) source in our work (Table 1), confirming again the quantitative rationality of data given in Fig. S2.
Fig. S3. Cyclic voltammograms of $\text{Pt}_9\text{Au}_1(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^4+)/\text{Au}$, $\text{Pt}_4\text{Au}_1(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^4+)/\text{Au}$, and $\text{Pt}_3\text{Au}_1(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^4+)/\text{Au}$ (A), as well as $\text{Pt}(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^2+)/\text{Au}$, $\text{Pt}(\text{CuUPD-Pt}^4+)/\text{Au}$, $\text{Au}(\text{CuUPD-Au}^3+)/\text{Au}$, and bare Au (B) in 0.1 M aqueous $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. Scan rate: 50 mV·s$^{-1}$. 
Fig. S4. (A) Time-dependent frequency responses on QCM Au electrode under open circuit potential to successive addition of 0.33 mM H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} and then 0.67 mM K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{4} (or 0.67 mM H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6}, red curve) into mildly stirred 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution. All concentrations here are the final ones in the solution. (B) Uv-vis absorption spectra of 0.33 mM H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} + 0.67 mM K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{4} solution after staying for different time lengths as labeled. Inset shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of 0.33 mM H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} and 0.67 mM K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{4} solution, respectively. Here, compared with the negligible decrease of $f_0$ after the addition of H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} into H\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} solution, the much quicker decrease of $f_0$ after the addition of K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{4} implies that the PtCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} can reduce AuCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-} to form Au nanoparticles, some of which can adhere to the Au electrode surface to decrease the frequency. In addition, the increase in the broad UV-vis absorption from 500 nm to 900 nm (light scattering by the generated Au nanoparticles) with the time increase also demonstrates the formation of Au nanoparticles with different size and morphology, which further proves that PtCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} can reduce AuCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-}.
Fig. S5. XPS spectra of Pt4f (A) and Au4f (B) peaks for Pt\textsubscript{CuUPD-Pt\textsuperscript{2+}}/Au (a), Pt\textsubscript{CuUPD-Pt\textsuperscript{4+}}/Au (b), Pt\textsubscript{5Au1(CuUPD-Pt\textsuperscript{4+})}/Au (c), Pt\textsubscript{4Au1(CuUPD-Pt\textsuperscript{4+})}/Au (d), and Pt\textsubscript{3Au1(CuUPD-Pt\textsuperscript{4+})}/Au (e), respectively. Curve labels shown in Panel A are also for panel B. The dashed vertical lines are drawn to guide the eyes for more clearly discriminating the peak shift.
Fig. S6. The linear relationship between Pt load (calculated from QCM frequency responses) and $|\Delta Q_{Pc-Au}|$ or $Q_{H,UPD}$ for Pt(CuUPD-Pt2+/Au) electrode or Pt(CuUPD-Pt4+/Au) electrode, respectively. The prepared electrodes were subjected to potential cycling (50 mV s$^{-1}$, -0.3–1.5 V) in 0.1 M aqueous H$_2$SO$_4$ to obtain data of Pt-load-dependent $|\Delta Q_{Pc-Au}|$ and H adsorption charge on Pt surface ($Q_{H,UPD}$), and $\Delta Q_{Pc-Au}$ is the charge change under P$_{c-Au}$ after Pt deposition on bare Au.
**Fig. S7.** The linear relationship between the Pt load$_{\text{ICP-AES}}$ (from ICP-AES method) and the Pt load$_{\text{QCM}}$ (from QCM method) of Pt$_{\text{pld}}$/Au electrodes.

\[ Y = 1.01X \]
Fig. S8. Cyclic voltammograms of Pt\(_{\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{2+}}\)/Au
\(\text{pla} \)/Au, Pt\(_{\text{CuUPD-Pt}^{4+}}\)/Au
\(\text{pla} \)/Au, Au
\(\text{pla} \)/Au, and
bare Au in 0.1 M aqueous H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). Scan rate: 50 mV·s\(^{-1}\).
**Fig. S9.** Cyclic voltammograms of Au(CuUPD-Au3+)/Au in 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (dashed line) or 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (solid line) solution. Scan rate: 50 mV·s$^{-1}$. 

Fig. S10. Cyclic voltammograms of commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%) in aqueous 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H₂SO₄. Currents in panels A and B are normalized to electroactive Pt surface area (from H UPD charge) and mass of Pt load, respectively. Scan rate: 50 mV·s⁻¹.
Fig. S11. Cyclic voltammograms of Pt$_4$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$)/Au$_i$(CuUPD-Au$^{3+}$)/Au (a, dashed line) and Pt$_4$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$)/Au (b, solid line) in 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution. Scan rate: 50 mV·s$^{-1}$. Pt$_4$Au$_1$(CuUPD-Pt$^{4+}$)/Au$_i$(CuUPD-Au$^{3+}$)/Au was fabricated by modifying the Au$_i$(CuUPD-Au$^{3+}$)/Au electrode with a full monolayer of Cu$_{UPD}$ followed by its redox replacement with noble metal atoms in 0.8 mM H$_2$PtCl$_6$ + 0.2 mM HAuCl$_4$ + 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution.
Fig. S12. The $i$-$t$ curves at 0.1 V of Pt$_4$Au$_1$(Cu$_{upd}$-Pt$_{4+}$/Au, Pt$_{Cu_{upd}-Pt_{4+}}$/Au, Pt$_{Cu_{upd}-Pt_{2+}}$/Au and commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%) in 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution.
Fig. S13. Cyclic voltammograms in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ for stripping of the COₐds poison which was formed on (A) commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%), (B) Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, (C) Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, or (D) Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au under open circuit potential in 1 M HCOOH. The solid lines show the first positive sweeps while the dashed lines show the subsequent sweeps upon removal of the poisonous species. Scan rate: 50 mV·s⁻¹. Here, the big oxidation peak on the commercial E-TEK Pt/C (20%) at 0.5 V in the first positive potential sweep is ascribed to the oxidation of COₐds. Only a weak oxidation peak of COₐds at 0.52 V occurs on Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, whereas the peak vanishes on Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au and Pt₄Au₁(CuUPD-Pt₄+)/Au, proving the direct oxidation of FA on noncontinuous/isolated Pt overlayer without generating COₐds.
Fig. S14. Cyclic voltammograms of Pt-M(CuUPD-Pt+)/Au and Pt4Au(CuUPD-Pt+)/Au in 1 M HCOOH + 0.1 M H2SO4 solution. Scan rate: 50 mV·s⁻¹. M=Ir, Rh, Pd, Ru, and Os. The optimal molar concentration ratio of the Pt salt (H₂PtCl₆) to another noble metal (M) salt in each of the mixed solutions for preparation of Pt-M MAMEC is marked here by the numerical subscripts.
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