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Materials:

All the reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as supplied without further purification.

X-ray Crystal Analysis:

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data collection was carried out on a Rigaku mercury diffractometer with a MoKα radiation (γ = 0.71069 Å) and a CCD detector. The crystal structure was solved and refined by direct methods with the use of SHELXL-97 present in the WinGx suite of programs. The positions of non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement factors. The hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model.

Crystallographic data for 1: C\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{10}S\textsubscript{2}Zn, \(M = 563.38\), Monoclinic, \(P2_1/c\) (No .14), \(a = 9.642(4), b = 16.575(8), c = 19.660 (9), \beta = 90.784(6)\), \(V = 3142(2)\AA^3, Z = 4, T = 123K, D_c = 1.192 \text{ gcm}^{-3}, R_1 = 0.0846, wR_2 = 0.2229, \text{GoF}=1.054;\)

Crystallographic data for 2: C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{9}S\textsubscript{2}Zn, \(M = 484.38\), Monoclinic, \(P2_1/c\) (No .14), \(a = 13.818(3), b = 9.544(2), c = 15.309 (3), \beta = 116.08(3)\), \(V = 1813.2(6)\AA^3, Z = 4, T = 123K, D_c = 1.776 \text{ gcm}^{-3}, R_1 = 0.0582, wR_2 = 0.1057, \text{GoF}=0.901;\)

Crystallographic data for 3: C\textsubscript{41}H\textsubscript{40}N\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{22}S\textsubscript{3}Zn\textsubscript{3}, \(M =1246.14\), \(P2_1/c\) (No .14), \(a = 17.614(4), b = 21.916(4), c = 18.046 (4), \beta = 105.64(3)\), \(V = 6709.0(2)\AA^3, Z = 4, T = 123K, D_c = 1.235 \text{ gcm}^{-3}, R_1 = 0.0866, wR_2 = 0.1865, \text{GoF}=0.951.\)
Comments on checkcif:

Checkcif highlighted A level alerts which have been commented on here.

Compound I

PLAT601_ALERT_2_A Structure Contains Solvent accessible VOIDS of .375 Å**3

Author response: The highest peak in the final difference map is just 1.039 e/Å³ and no model for any solvent could be found.

Compound II

PLAT221_ALERT_2_A Large Solvent/Anion CUeq(max)/Ueq(min) ... 10.0 Ratio

Author Response: This high ratio of Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) involves C atoms within the partially disordered solvent moiety of DMF.

Compound III

PLAT602_ALERT_2_A VERY LARGE Solvent Accessible VOID(S) in Structure !

Author Response: During the structure analysis, it was observed that the unit cell contains large accessible voids in the crystal structure, even after assigning electron density peaks to solvent molecules. This affects the diffraction pattern, mostly at low scattering angles; this was corrected with the SQUEEZE program.
Proton conductivity measurement (general):
Proton Conductivity of compounds 1-3 were measured using an impedance and gain-phase analyzer (Solartron SI 1260) over frequency range 100 Hz – 10 MHz with an input voltage amplitude of 500 mV. 44, 20 and 25 mg of as-synthesized sample 1-3, respectively, was pressed in a pellet maker to obtain a uniform pellet of 0.33, 0.20, and 0.27 mm thickness, respectively, and 10 mm diameter, and sandwiched between two brass electrodes. The resistance values were measured from the semicircle of the Nyquist plot using ZView software. Proton conductivity was calculated by the following equation; \( \sigma = \frac{L}{R \cdot A} \) where \( \sigma \) = proton conductivity, \( L \) = thickness of the pellet, \( R \) = resistance of the pellet and \( A \) = area of the pellet = \( \pi r^2 \) where \( r \) = radius of the pellet.

Proton conductivity measurement (at high humidity):
Proton conductivity studies on the three compounds at high humidity condition were carried out. In compound I, the increase in conductivity was minimal, from \( 3.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 60%RH to \( 8.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 95%RH. We observed a significant increase in conductivity in compound II, from \( 3.4 \times 10^{-8} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 60%RH to \( 5.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 95%RH, indicating that water molecules, which are absent in the structure originally, have a significant role to play in the conductivity. In compound III, although DMA cations are present which contribute towards conductivity, the introduction of water improves the conductivity from \( 8.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 60%RH to \( 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{Scm}^{-1} \) at 95%RH.

Elemental Analysis:

\([\text{Zn(C}_8\text{H}_4\text{O}_7\text{S})(\text{C}_10\text{N}_2\text{H}_6)]\cdot\text{DMF}\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{ I}\)
Found: C 43.12%, H 3.98%, N 7.03%; calculated: C 43.87% H 4.004% N 7.31%

\[ \text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{O}_7\text{S})(\text{C}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{H}_{10})_{0.3}\cdot\text{DMF}, \ 2 \]

Found: C 41.02%, H 3.61%, N 5.25%; calculated: C 41.52%, H 3.66% N 5.69%

\[ \text{Zn}_3(\text{C}_8\text{H}_3\text{O}_7\text{S})_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{O}_7\text{S})(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{N}_2))(\text{DMF})\cdot2(\text{DMA}), \ 3 \]

Found: C 39.09%, H 2.13%, N 5.59%; calculated: C 39.45%, H 3.29% N 5.61%
Additional structural details

Compound 1:
The distance between the aryl ring of 5-sip and one of the pyridyl rings of bpy is around 4.12 Å, indicating weak π–π interactions.

Compound 3:
In the trinuclear cluster, Zn1 is connected to two μ₂ and four single coordination carboxylate oxygen atoms, while both Zn2 and Zn3 are each connected to one μ₂, three single coordination carboxylate oxygen atoms, one sulfonate oxygen and a nitrogen atom from the bipyridyl group. The clusters are connected to neighbouring clusters through 5-sip ligands to form a three-dimensional structure.
**Fig. S1.** Synthetic scheme of the compound 1, 2 and 3.
Fig. S2. TG analysis of 1. 26.3 wt% loss observed below 125 °C is due to the loss of the lattice DMF and water molecules. Calcd. for 1: 26.45%
**Fig. S3.** TG analysis of 2. 17.8wt% loss observed below 220 °C is due to the loss of the lattice DMF and coordinated water molecules. Calcd. for 2: 18.7%.
**Fig. S4.** TG analysis of 3. 14.6wt% loss observed below 220 °C is due to the loss of the lattice DMF and dimethyl ammonium cations. Calcd. for 3: 13.5%
**Fig. S5.** Simulated (blue) and experimental (black) PXRD patterns of 1-3 using CuKα radiation.
Table S1. Proton conductivity of the MOFs under ambient conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\sigma$ / S cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>$T$ / K</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(C$_6$O$_4$)Cl$<em>4$(H$<em>2$O)$</em>{0.5}$]·Li$</em>{0.35}$(H$<em>2$O)$</em>{3.5}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{NH$_3$[(CH$_2$CO$_3$)H]}·[FeCr(ox)$_3$]·nH$_2$O</td>
<td>$0.8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_2$[MnCr$_2$(ox)$_3$]·nH$_2$O</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co[Cr(CN)$_{6}$]$H_2$O</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{NH$_4$[(CH$_2$OH)$_3$]}·[MnCr$_2$(ox)$_3$]·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_2$(adp)[Zn$_2$(ox)$_3$]·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="HCOO">Sr$_2$(SBBA)$_4$</a>$_2$(DMF)$_n$·2DMF</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>vii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$_3$(L)(H$_2$O)$_2$·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HOC$_2$H$_4$)$_2$dtoaCu</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(dhbq)(H$_2$O)$_2$</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adp = adipic acid. SBBA = 4,4′-sulfobisbenzoate. L = 1,3,5-benzenetriphosphonate. dtoa = dithiooxamide.

H$_2$dhbq = 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone.


