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Sk Asif Ikbal, Sanfaori Brahma and Sankar Prasad Rath*
Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India

Instrumentation
Elemental (C, H, and N) analyses were performed on a CE-440 elemental analyzer. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL 500 MHz instrument. The residual $^1$H resonances of the solvents were used as a secondary reference. UV-vis and CD-spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer UV-vis and a JASCO J-815 spectrometer, respectively. ESI-MS spectra were recorded on a waters Micromass Quattro Microtriple quadrapole mass spectrometer.

X-ray Structure Solution and Refinement. Single-crystal X-ray data were collected at 100 K on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with CRYO Industries low temperature apparatus and intensity data were collected using graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The data integration and reduction were processed with SAINT software. An absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by the direct method using SHELXS-97 and was refined on F2 by full-matrix least-squares technique using the SHELXL-2014 program package. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The protons of the diol guest substrate were directly located in the difference Fourier maps. The other hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. In the refinement, hydrogens were treated as riding atoms using SHELXL default parameters.
**Computational details.**

DFT calculations have been carried out by employing a B3LYP hybrid functional using the Gaussian 09, revision B.01, package. The method used was Becke’s three parameter hybrid exchange functional, the nonlocal correlation provided by the Lee, Yang, and Parr expression, and Vosko, Wilk, and Nussair 1980 correlation functional (III) for local correction. The basis set were LANL2DZ for Mg and S and 6-31G+(d, p) for C, N, O, and H. Full geometry optimizations of all the complexes were carried out in dichloromethane taking the coordinates from the crystal structure of 1•(L^2). Dispersion corrections were not implemented as the increased attractive forces led to unreasonable porphyrin ring distances.

**Experimental:**

**Materials:**
The free base ligand 4,6-bis[5-(2,8,13,17-tetraethyl-3,7,12,18-tetramethyl[porphyrinyl])dibenzo thiophe ne has been synthesized following the reported procedure. Magnesium ion was inserted into free bisporphyrin by adding MgBr_2.OEt_2 to its anhydrous dichloromethane solution and subsequent stirring in presence of aliquot of distilled triethylamine for 1 hour under N_2 atmosphere. Pure dark reddish solid, 4,6-bis[Mg(II)-5-(3,7,13,17-tetraethyl-2,6,12,16-tetramethyl[porphyrinyl])dibenzo thiophe ne, 1 was obtained in excellent yield upon chromatographic purification using dichloromethane/acetone (1:1) as eluant. Enantiomerically pure chiral alcohols have been purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Reagents and solvents are purchased from commercial sources and purified by standard procedures before use.

**Synthesis of 1**

50 mg (0.044 mmol) of the free base form of 1 was taken in 20 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane. 250 μL of anhydrous triethylamine followed by MgBr_2.OEt_2 (227 mg, 0.88 mmol) were added to it, and stirred under N_2 atmosphere at room temperature for 1 hour. 50 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane was added to the reaction mixture and washed with distilled water once. The solvent was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4, filtered and evaporated to dryness. Column chromatography was done over basic alumina using dry CH_2Cl_2: Acetone(1:1) as eluant. Yield 36 mg (69%). Anal. Caled (found): C, 77.21 (77.38); H, 6.48 (6.61); N, 9.47 (9.59). UV-vis (Dichloromethane) [λmax, nm (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 407 (3.97 × 10⁵), 421th (1.32 × 10⁵), 547 (2.22 × 10⁵).
Synthesis of 1•L₁

Compound 1 (50 mg, 0.042 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). (2R, 3R)-2,3-butanediol (L₂) (4.5 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added to it and stirred for about 30 min. The solution obtained was then filtered off to remove any solid residue and carefully layered with acetonitrile at room temperature. On standing for 6-7 days reddish solid precipitated out, which was then isolated by filtration, washed well with n-hexane, and dried well in vacuum. Anal. Calcd (found): C, 75.52 (75.61); H, 6.81 (6.89); N, 8.80 (8.93). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) [λ_max, nm (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 406 (3.46 × 10⁵), 420 (1.16 × 10⁵), 546 (2.45 × 10⁴), 583 (1.42 × 10⁴). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 295 K): δ, 9.67 (s, 2H, 10-meso-H); 9.63 (s, 2H, 15-meso-H); 9.56 (s, 2H, 20-meso-H); 8.79 (d, 2H, Ar-H); 8.15 (d, 2H, Ar-H); 8.00 (t, 2H, Ar-H); 7.99 (t, 2H, Ar-H); 3.80 (m, 16H, -CH₂); 3.39 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 3.31 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 2.29 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 2.27 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 1.62 (m, 24H, -CH₃); -2.15 (s, 2H, -OH); -3.10 (s, 6H, -CH₃); -3.25 (br, 2H, -OH), ppm. ESI-MS: m/z 1270.6175 ([1•L₂]+).

Synthesis of 1•(L₃)₂

Compound 1 (50 mg, 0.042 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). (1S, 2S, 3R, 5S)-2,3-pinanediol (L₃) (17.0 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added to it and stirred for about 30 min. The solution obtained was then filtered off to remove any solid residue and carefully layered with acetonitrile at room temperature. On standing for 6-7 days reddish solid precipitated out, which was then isolated by filtration, washed well with n-hexane, and dried well in vacuum. Anal. Calcd (found): C, 75.72 (75.83); H, 7.41 (7.50); N, 7.35 (7.39). UV-vis (CHCl₃) [λ_max, nm (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 409 (4.31 × 10⁵), 422 (1.36 × 10⁵), 548 (2.26 × 10⁴), 580 (1.45 × 10⁴). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 295 K): δ, 9.79 (s, 2H, 10-meso-H); 9.67 (s, 2H, 15-meso-H); 9.62 (s, 2H, 20-meso-H); 8.79 (d, 2H, Ar-H); 8.15 (d, 2H, Ar-H); 8.00 (t, 2H, Ar-H); 3.80 (m, 16H, -CH₂); 3.39 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 3.31 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 2.29 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 2.27 (s, 6H, -CH₃); 1.62 (m, 24H, -CH₃); -2.15 (s, 2H, -CH); -3.10 (s, 6H, -CH₃); -3.25 (br, 2H, -OH), ppm. ESI-MS: m/z 1521.8412 ([1•(L₃)₂+H]+).
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Figure S1. UV-visible (in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K) spectral change of 1 (at 3 x 10$^{-6}$ M) upon addition of L$_1$ ($2R, 3R$)-2,3 butanediol as the host-guest molar ratio change from (A) 1:0 to 1:19 and (B) 1:50 to 860. Inset shows the expanded Soret band region.

Figure S2. UV-visible (in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K) spectral change of 1 (at 3 x 10$^{-6}$ M) upon gradual addition of L$_4$, ($S$)-2-butanol as the host-guest ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:4300. Inset shows the expanded Soret band region.
Figure S3. UV-visible (in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K) spectral change of 1 (at 3 x 10$^{-6}$ M) upon gradual addition of L$^5$, (1S)-borneol as the host-guest ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:7500. Inset shows the expanded Soret band region.

Figure S4. UV-visible (in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 295 K) spectral change of 1 (at 3 x 10$^{-6}$ M) upon gradual addition of L$^6$, (1S, 2R, 5S)-1-menthol as the host-guest ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:11300. Inset shows the expanded Soret band region.
**Figure S5.** Job’s plot establishing the 1:1 stoichiometry for the binding between 1 and L\(^1\) at a total concentration of 3×10\(^{-6}\) M in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at 295 K (A) UV-vis absorbance change monitored at 407 nm, (B) CD amplitude vs. Host 1 mole fraction.

**Figure S6.** Isotopic distribution pattern (A) theoretical and (B) experimental of ESI-MS of [1·L\(^1\)]\(^+\).
**Figure S7.** Isotopic distribution pattern (A) theoretical and (B) experimental of ESI-MS of [1·(L₁₂ + 2H)⁺].

**Figure S8.** Job’s plot establishing the 1:2 stoichiometry for the binding between 1 and L² at a total concentration of 3×10⁻⁶ M in CH₂Cl₂ at 295 K (A) UV-vis absorbance change monitored at 409 nm, (B) CD amplitude vs. Host 1 mole fraction.
Figure S9. Diagram illustrating the packing of 1•(L²)₂ in the unit cell (H atoms have been omitted for clarity).
Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectra in CDCl$_3$ at 295 K of (A) 1 (7 mM), (B) after addition of 1.0 equivalent of L$^1$ to 1, and (C) L$^1$. 
Figure S11. $^1$H NMR spectra in CDCl$_3$ at 295 K of (A) 1, (B) crystals of 1•(L$^2$)$_2$ and (C) L$^2$ at 7 mM concentration. Inset shows the proton numbering scheme of L$^2$. 
Figure S12. (A) CD and UV-visible spectral changes upon addition of (2R, 3R)-2,3-butanediol (L₁) to the CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1 (3 × 10⁻⁶ M) at 295 K as the host: guest molar ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:843. (B) CD amplitude change.
Figure S13. (A) Calculated CD spectra of (red) 1, (blue) 1•L¹, (brown) 1•(L¹)₂ and observed CD spectra of (black) 1•L¹, (green) 1•(L¹)₂. (B) Fits of CD titration data of 1(3 × 10⁻⁶ M) with L¹ at selected wavelengths of 406 and 416 nm. (C) Species distribution plots of 1, 1•L¹ and 1•(L¹)₂ complexes.
Figure S14. (A) CD and UV-visible spectral changes upon addition of (1S, 2S, 3R, 5S)-2,3-pinanediol (L²) to the CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1 (3 × 10⁻⁶ M) at 295 K as the host: guest molar ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:354. (B) CD amplitude change.
Figure S15. (A) Calculated CD spectra of (red) 1, (brown) 1•(L^2)_2 and (green) observed CD spectra of 1•(L^2)_2. (B) Fits of CD titration data of 1(3 × 10^{-6} M) with L^2 at selected wavelengths of 407 and 418 nm. (C) Species distribution plots of 1, 1•L^2 and 1•(L^2)_2 complexes.
Figure S16. (A) CD and UV-visible spectral changes upon addition of (1R, 2R, 3S, 5R)-2,3-pinanediol (L₃) to the CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1 (3 × 10⁻⁶ M) at 295 K as the host:guest molar ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:345. (B) CD amplitude change.
Figure S17. (A) Calculated CD spectra of (red) 1, (green) 1•(L³)₂ and (brown) observed CD spectra of 1•(L³)₂. (B) Fits of CD titration data of 1(3 × 10⁻⁶ M) with L³ at selected wavelengths of 407 and 419 nm. (C) Species distribution plots of 1, 1•L³ and 1•(L³)₂ complexes.
Figure S18. (A) Calculated UV-visible spectra of 1 (red), 1•L (blue) and 1•(L•) (brown). Green line represents the observed UV-visible spectra of 1. (B) Fits of absorbance data at selected wavelength of 407 nm. (C) Species distribution plots of 1, 1•L and 1•(L•) complexes.
Figure S19. (A) Calculated UV-visible spectra of 1 (red) and 1•(L²)₂ (blue). Green line represents the observed UV-visible spectra of 1. (B) Fits of absorbance data at selected wavelength of 409 nm. (C) Species distribution plots of 1, 1•L² and 1•(L²)₂ complexes.
Figure S20. CD spectral change of 1 (3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} at 295 K upon addition of 1 equivalent of L\textsuperscript{2} (red line), 1 equivalent of L\textsuperscript{2} + 1 equivalent of L\textsuperscript{3} (green line), and 1 equivalent of L\textsuperscript{2} + 250 equivalent of L\textsuperscript{3} (blue line).

Figure S21. Solid state UV-visible (bottom) and CD (top) spectra of 1•(L\textsuperscript{2})\textsubscript{2} in KBr matrix at 295 K.
**Figure S22.** CD and UV-visible spectral change of 1 \((3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})\) in CH₂Cl₂ at 295 K upon addition of \((S)-2\)-butanol (L⁴) as the host-guest molar ratio changes 1:0 to 1:4300.

**Figure S23.** CD and UV-visible spectral change of 1 \((3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})\) in CH₂Cl₂ at 295 K upon gradual addition of \((1S)\)-borneol (L⁵) as the host-guest ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:7500
Figure S24. CD and UV-visible spectral change of 1 (3 × 10^{-6} M) in CH₂Cl₂ at 295 K upon addition of various amount of (1S, 2R, 5S)-1-menthol (L⁶) as the host-guest ratio changes from 1:0 to 1:11300.
Figure S25. Relative energy of DFT-optimized endo-endo, exo-endo and exo-exo conformers of 1•(L^2)_2.
Figure S26. Relative energy of DFT-optimized exo-endo, exo-exo and endo-endo conformers of 1•(L²)₂ where unbound –OH of L² is replaced manually by –CH₃.
Figure S27. Relative energy of DFT-optimized *exo-endo*, *exo-exo* and *endo-endo* conformers of $\text{1•(L}^2\text{)}_2$, where unbound $–\text{OH}$ of L$^2$ is replaced manually by $–\text{SH}$. 
### Table S1. Calculated CD Spectral Data and Binding Constants of the Complexes at 295 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>FC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>SC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$A_{cal}$&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; [cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</th>
<th>Binding Constant $K_1$ (M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>SC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$A_{cal}$&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; [cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</th>
<th>Binding Constant $K_2$ (M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1•L&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>416[35]</td>
<td>405[-23]</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4$ M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; [2.6 ± 0.1 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>416[-118]</td>
<td>406[70]</td>
<td>-188</td>
<td>$2.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^4$ M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; [2.5 ± 0.2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1•(L&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>418[-117]</td>
<td>407[98]</td>
<td>-215</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^4$ M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; [2.2 ± 0.2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1•(L&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>419[118]</td>
<td>407[-101]</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.1 \times 10^4$ M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; [2.4 ± 0.1 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>FC: 1st Cotton effect; <sup>b</sup>SC: 2nd Cotton effect. <sup>b</sup>$A$: total amplitude in M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>; $A = |\Delta\varepsilon_1 - \Delta\varepsilon_2|$<sup>c</sup>Calculated from CD spectral measurement. <sup>d</sup>Value shown within the bracket are calculated from UV-visible spectral measurement.

### Table S2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for DFT-optimized conformers exo-end, exo-exo and endo-end of 1•(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>exo-end</th>
<th>exo-exo</th>
<th>endo-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.119</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.108</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>2.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>2.080</td>
<td>2.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.116</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>2.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>2.114</td>
<td>2.106</td>
<td>2.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-O(3)</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>2.080</td>
<td>2.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Angles (deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>89.71</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>90.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>159.47</td>
<td>162.77</td>
<td>160.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>86.46</td>
<td>86.90</td>
<td>87.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>87.12</td>
<td>86.84</td>
<td>87.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>161.94</td>
<td>162.25</td>
<td>163.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(3)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>90.30</td>
<td>90.50</td>
<td>89.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>89.68</td>
<td>90.36</td>
<td>89.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>160.17</td>
<td>161.69</td>
<td>159.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>86.91</td>
<td>86.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)-Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>86.52</td>
<td>87.16</td>
<td>86.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>158.05</td>
<td>163.64</td>
<td>158.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(7)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>89.52</td>
<td>90.38</td>
<td>89.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for DFT-optimized conformers exo-endo, exo-exo and endo-endo of 1•(L\textsuperscript{3})\textsubscript{2} where unbound –OH of L\textsuperscript{2} is replaced manually by –CH\textsubscript{3}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>exo-endo</th>
<th>exo-exo</th>
<th>endo-endo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.098</td>
<td>2.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>2.109</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.098</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>2.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>2.096</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.098</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>2.092</td>
<td>2.092</td>
<td>2.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-O(3)</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angles (deg)</th>
<th>exo-endo</th>
<th>exo-exo</th>
<th>endo-endo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>90.18</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td>90.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>163.94</td>
<td>163.88</td>
<td>161.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>87.03</td>
<td>86.90</td>
<td>87.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>87.08</td>
<td>87.06</td>
<td>87.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>161.21</td>
<td>163.54</td>
<td>163.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(3)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>90.63</td>
<td>90.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>90.53</td>
<td>90.64</td>
<td>90.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>161.92</td>
<td>162.69</td>
<td>162.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>87.24</td>
<td>87.25</td>
<td>86.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for DFT-optimized conformers *exo-endo*, *exo-exo* and *endo-endo* of $1\cdot(\text{L}^3)_2$ where unbound –OH of L$^2$ is replaced manually by –SH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>exo-endo</th>
<th>exo-exo</th>
<th>endo-endo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>2.107</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>2.096</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>2.106</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>2.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>2.095</td>
<td>2.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(2)-O(3)</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>2.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Angles (deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>89.91</td>
<td>90.69</td>
<td>90.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>162.35</td>
<td>163.68</td>
<td>159.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>87.02</td>
<td>86.99</td>
<td>86.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>87.19</td>
<td>86.95</td>
<td>86.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>161.22</td>
<td>163.31</td>
<td>161.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>Angle 1</td>
<td>Angle 2</td>
<td>Angle 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(3)-Mg(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>90.12</td>
<td>90.71</td>
<td>89.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(6)</td>
<td>90.58</td>
<td>90.70</td>
<td>90.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>162.39</td>
<td>162.69</td>
<td>162.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>87.20</td>
<td>87.09</td>
<td>87.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)-Mg(2)-N(7)</td>
<td>87.32</td>
<td>87.04</td>
<td>87.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>165.20</td>
<td>164.84</td>
<td>163.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(7)-Mg(2)-N(8)</td>
<td>90.36</td>
<td>90.60</td>
<td>90.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>