## Electronic Supplementary information

## Internal Nanoscale Architecture and Charge Carrier Dynamics of Wide Bandgap Non-Fullerene Bulk Heterojunction Active Layers in Organic Solar Cells

Xinyu Jiang<sup>a</sup>, Hongwon Kim<sup>a</sup>, Peter S. Deimel<sup>b</sup>, Wei Chen<sup>a,c</sup>, Wei Cao<sup>a</sup>, Dan Yang<sup>a</sup>, Shanshan Yin<sup>a</sup>, Roy Schaffrinna<sup>a</sup>, Francesco Allegretti<sup>b</sup>, Johannes V. Barth<sup>b</sup>, Martina Schwager<sup>d</sup>, Haodong Tang<sup>c</sup>,Kai Wang<sup>c</sup>, Matthias Schwartzkopf<sup>e</sup>, Stephan V. Roth<sup>e,f</sup>, Peter Müller-Buschbaum<sup>a,g\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Physik-Department, Lehrstuhl für Funktionelle Materialien, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany
- <sup>b</sup>Physik-Department, Oberflächen- und Grenzflächenphysik, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology (SUSTech), 1088 Xueyuan Blvd. 518055 Shenzhen, China
- <sup>d</sup> Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany
- <sup>e</sup> Department of Applied Sciences and Mechatronics, Munich University of Applied Sciences, Lothstr. 34, 80335 München, Germany
- <sup>f</sup> Department of Fibre and Polymer Technology, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Teknikringen 56-58, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>g</sup> Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

\* corresponding author E-mail: muellerb@ph.tum.de

DIO (vol%)	In plane (100) q (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	Int.	Out of plane (100) q (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	Int.	Out of plane (010) q (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	Int.
0.0	0.28 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01	1091	0.30 ± 0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1165	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	158
0.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.28 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1441	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1496	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	213
1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.28 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1094	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1104	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.33 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	166
2.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.28 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	1135	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	903	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	145
				$\begin{array}{c} 0.37 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ \pm \ 0.01 \end{array}$	757			

**Table S1**. PBDB-T-2F Bragg peaks analysis of the GIWAXS data of PBDB-T-2F:IT-M

active layers

 Table S2. IT-M Bragg peaks analysis of the GIWAXS data of PBDB-T-2F:IT-M active

 layers

DIO	In plane			Out of plane		
(vol%)	(100) q (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	Int.	(010) q (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	Int.
0.0	0.31	0.09	273	N/A	N/A	N/A
	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$				
0.5	0.31	0.03	614	1.86	0.09	25
	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$		$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	
1.0	0.31	0.03	507	1.87	0.09	21
	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$		$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	
2.0	0.30	0.08	336	1.86	0.09	16
	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$		$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	

DIO (vol%)	In plane (100) distance (Å)	size (Å)	Out of plane (100) distance (Å)	size (Å)	Out of plane (010) distance (Å)	size (Å)
0.0	22.4±0.1	188±1	20.9±0.1	81±1	3.7±0.1	18±1
0.5	22.4±0.1	141±1	20.9±0.1	81±1	3.6±0.1	18±1
1.0	22.4±0.1	$188 \pm 1$	20.9±0.1	81±1	3.6±0.1	18±1
2.0	22.4±0.1	188±1	21.7±0.1	113±1	3.7±0.1	18±1
			17.0±0.1	113±1		

**Table S3**. PBDB-T-2F crystals determined with a Gaussian model analysis of the GIWAXSdata of PBDB-T-2F:IT-M active layers

**Table S4**. IT-M crystals determined with a Gaussian model analysis of the GIWAXS data ofPBDB-T-2F:IT-M active layers

DIO (vol%)	DIO In plane (vol%) (100) distance (Å)		Out of plane (100) size (Å) distance (Å)	
0.0	20.3±0.1	63±1	N/A	N/A
0.5	20.3±0.1	$141 \pm 1$	$3.4{\pm}0.1$	63±1
1.0	20.3±0.1	$141 \pm 1$	$3.4{\pm}0.1$	63±1
2.0	20.9±0.1	71±1	3.4±0.1	63±1

DIO (vol%)	F 1s peak position (eV)	N 1s peak position (eV)	Normalized F/N Ratio*	
0.0	$686.2 \pm 0.1$	$398.4{\pm}~0.1$	1.0	
0.5	$685.8{\pm}~0.1$	$398.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	
1.0	$686.2{\pm}~0.1$	$398.5{\pm}~0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	
2.0	$685.9{\pm}~0.1$	$398.7{\pm}~0.1$	$2.6\pm0.5$	

**Table S5**. Gaussian fit parameters of the XPS data of the PBDB-T-2F:IT-M active layers.

\* The F/N ratios are normalized to the value for the sample with 0.0 vol% DIO addition. Individual F/N ratios were determined by using the peak area of the F 1s and N 1s core-level normalized to the specific photoionization cross section at the employed photon energy of 1253.6 eV.

DIO (vol%)	A <sub>1</sub>	<b>τ</b> 1 (ps)	<b>A</b> <sub>2</sub>	τ <sub>2</sub> (ps)
0.0	1.20	$148 \pm 2$	0.03	$1710\pm290$
0.5	1.18	$136 \pm 2$	0.03	$1650\pm260$
1.0	1.19	$150 \pm 2$	0.04	$1590\pm260$
2.0	1.28	$196 \pm 2$	0.03	$1980\pm450$

Table S6. TRPL parameters of fit with a two-phase exponential decay function.

**Table S7.** Electron and hole mobilities obtained by SCLC method.

DIO ( vol% )	$\mu_{\rm h} ({\rm cm}^2{\rm V}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$\mu_{\rm e} ({\rm cm}^2{\rm V}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$\mu_{ m h}/\mu_{ m e}$
0.0	1.14 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.59 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	317.5
0.5	1.35 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.67 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	80.8

The hole and electron mobilities were determined by fitting the dark current to the model of a single carrier SCLC, which is shown by the equation:

$$J = \frac{9}{8}\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r\mu\frac{V^2}{d^3}$$

where J is the current density,  $\mu$ the mobility of holes ( $\mu_h$ ) or electrons ( $\mu_e$ ),  $\varepsilon_0$  the permittivity of the vacuum,  $\varepsilon_r$  the relative permittivity of the material and d the thickness of the blend film. V denotes the voltage  $V = V_{appl} - V_{bi}$ , where  $V_{appl}$  is the applied voltage, and  $V_{bi}$  the built-in potential determined by electrode work function difference. In this case,  $V_{bi} = 0$  V both for holeonly and electron-only devices. The mobility was calculated from the slope of J-V plots.<sup>1</sup>

The hole mobility  $\mu_h$  improved from 1.14 x 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> to 1.35 x 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>, and the electron mobility  $\mu_e$  increased from 3.59 x 10<sup>-7</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> to 1.67 x 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> after 0.5% DIO addition. The ratio of  $\mu_h / \mu_e$  reduced from 317.5 to 80.8, suggesting a balanced hole and electron mobility with 0.5 vol% DIO addition.



**Figure S1.** Details of the fabrication process of the PBDB-T-2F:IT-M based wide-bandgap non-fullerene OSC with different amounts of DIO addition.



**Figure S2**. AFM height images of PBDBT-2F films prepared with different amounts of DIO addition (rms values) of a) 0.0 (1.4 nm), b) 0.5 (1.9 nm), c) 1.0 (2.7 nm) and d) 2.0 vol% (4.0 nm). e)-h) Corresponding phase images. AFM height images of IT-M films prepared with different amounts of DIO addition of i) 0.0 (0.3 nm), j) 0.5 (0.3 nm), k) 1.0 (0.5 nm) and l) 2.0 vol% (9.9 nm). m) -p) Corresponding phase images.



**Figure S3**. 2D GIWAXS data of a) PBDB-T-2F and b) IT-M films and PBDB-T-2F:IT-M active layers with c) 0.0, d) 0.5, e) 1.0 and f) 2.0 vol% DIO addition. In part e) the cake cuts are indicated in purple (out-of-plane 0° -15°) and red (in-plane 75°-90°). g) Cake cuts of 2D GIWAXS data in out-of-plane (purple line) and in-plane (red line) direction of PBDB-T-2F (top) and IT-M (bottom) films. h) Schematic diagram of lamellar and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking of PBDB-T-2F 2F crystal. The corresponding (100) and (010) peak positions are marked.

In the out-of-plane direction, the neat PBDB-T-2F film exhibits (100), (200), and (010) Bragg reflection peaks with positions at 0.29 Å<sup>-1</sup>, 0.61 Å<sup>-1</sup> and 1.68 Å<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In the in-plane direction, the neat PBDB-T-2F film shows a (100) Bragg peak at 0.27 Å<sup>-1</sup> and a (010) Bragg peak at 1.60 Å<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting both a face-on and an edge-on orientation. The IT-M thin film shows a pronounced (100) Bragg reflection peak at 0.33 Å<sup>-1</sup> together with a (010) Bragg peak from the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking at position of 1.8 Å<sup>-1</sup> in the out-of-plane direction, corresponding to a face-on orientation with respect to the substrate surface.



Figure S4. a) XRR data (black symbols) and corresponding fits (red lines) for neat PBDBT-2F (top) and IT-M (bottom) thin films and b) related SLD profiles. c) Chemical structure of PBDBT-2F and IT-M, respectively.



Figure S5. a) Normalized UV-vis absorption of PBDB-T-2F and IT-M in solution and in a dried thin film. b) UV-vis absorbance of diluted PBDB-T-2F: IT-M blend solutions with different DIO addition. c) PL spectroscopy data of PBDB-T-2F, IT-M films, a glass substrate, respectively.



Figure S6. J-V curves as well asand the corresponding fits (blue line) for the hole-only device a) and electron-only device b), without DIO addition (black) and with 0.5 vol% DIO addition (red), respectively.

## References

1. Zhang, B.; Yu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Z.; Tang, H.; Xie, S.; Xie, Z.; Hu, L.; Yip, H.-L.; Ye, L.; Ade, H.; Liu, Z.; He, Z.; Duan, C.; Huang, F.; Cao, Y. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2020**, *10* (12), 1904247.