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Figure S1: Comparison of Ag (red) and Cu (blue) structures
**Figure S2:** Comparison of Fe (red) and Ni (blue) structures

**Figure S3:** Additional view of the packing diagram for the $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{L})_2]^{2+}$ cations, showing extensive π-π interactions between the isoquinoline units.
**Figure S4:** Colour view of Figure 1: a) Molecular structure of the cation in the copper complex $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{L})_2](\text{BF}_4)_2$  b) Space-filling representation showing the box structure of this copper complex. Hydrogen atoms, anions and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

**Figure S5:** Colour view of Figure 3:  a) Molecular structure of the cation in the $[\text{Ag}_2\text{L}_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ complex. b) Space-filling representation illustrating the box structure of this meso- silver complex. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
Figure S6: Colour view of Figure 5: Molecular structures of the a) the iron complex cation $[\text{Fe}_2\text{L}_3]^{4+}$ b) the nickel complex cation $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}_3]^{4+}$. Hydrogen atoms, solvent molecules and anions are omitted for clarity and a single enantiomer of each is shown although both enantiomers are present in the structure.

Figure S7: Colour view of Figure 7: Packing diagram of $[\text{Fe}_2\text{L}_3]^{4+}$, showing the $\pi-\pi$ interactions.