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1. Synthesis of 1 and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$

**Synthesis of 2,7-Bis(trimethylsilylthynyl)-9,9-dibutyl-9$^H$-fluorene.** Air was removed from a solution of 9,9-dibutyl-2,7-diiodo-9$^H$-fluorene (3.0 g, 5.66 mmol) in 30 mL of toluene and 7.5 mL of Et$_3$N by blowing argon for 20 min. Then CuI (21.6 mg, 0.113 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$ (79.3 mg, 0.113 mmol) and ethynyltrimethylsilane (2.4 mL, 1.67 g, 16.98 mmol) were added, and deaeration was continued for 10 min. Thereafter, the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 16 h. The solvents were evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (heptane) to yield 2.35 g (88%) of the title compound. Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{31}$H$_{42}$Si$_2$ (470.85): C, 79.08, H, 8.99; found: C, 78.88, H, 9.12. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C$_{31}$H$_{42}$Si$_2$ (M$^+$): 470.2825; found: 470.2848.

$^1$H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ in ppm) 7.64 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (s, 2H), 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.08 (m, 4H), 0.69 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 6H), 0.55 (m, 4H), 0.33 (s, 18H).

$^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ in ppm) 150.9, 140.9, 131.3, 126.2, 121.8, 119.9, 106.1, 94.3, 55.2, 40.2, 25.8, 23.1, 13.8, 0.1.

**Synthesis of 9,9-Dibutyl-2,7-diethynyl-9$^H$-fluorene.** To a solution of 2,7-bis(trimethylsilylthynyl)-9,9-dibutyl-9$^H$-fluorene (1.94 g, 4.12 mmol) in 100 mL of THF/MeOH (3/1) was added 30 mL of aqueous KOH (1 M), and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 15 min. Dichloromethane and water were added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and the combined organic phases were dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$). The residue obtained after removal of the solvents was purified by column chromatography (heptane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 80:20) to yield 1.26 g (94%) of the title compound. Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{25}$H$_{26}$ (326.48): C, 91.97, H, 8.03; found: C, 92.17; H, 8.07. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{26}$ (M$^+$): 326.2035; found: 326.2036. $^1$H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ in ppm) 7.63 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (s, 2H), 3.15 (s, 2H), 1.94 (m, 4H), 1.07 (m, 4H), 0.67 (t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.54 (m, 4H). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ in ppm) 151.0, 140.9, 131.2, 126.5, 120.8, 119.9, 84.5, 77.4, 55.1, 40.0, 25.8, 22.9, 13.7.
Synthesis of (η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe≡C(2,7-C₂₁H₂₄)C≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe) (I). In a Schlenk tube 842 mg Fe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe)Cl (1.3 mmol, 2.0 eq), 220 mg 9,9-dibutyl-2,7-diethynylfluorene (0.83 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 273 mg KPF₆ (1.4 mmol, 2.2 eq) were dissolved in MeOH (30 mL). The solution was stirred and heated to 35 °C overnight. The brown suspension was allowed to settle and was filtrated. The solid was washed with degassed MeOH (2×10 mL) at 0 °C and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The solvent was removed and the brown solid was dried under vacuum to afford 834 mg of solid which was characterized by NMR as the bis-vinylidene complex (η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe=C(CH₂-C₂₁H₂₄)HC≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe). To this solid, 202 mg of ¹BuOK (1.6 mmol, 2.5 eq) in THF (30 mL) were added. The solution was stirred for 2 h and the solvent was removed. After extraction with toluene and evaporation of the solvent, the solid was washed with degassed pentane (2×10 mL) and MeOH (10 mL) at 0 °C to afford the desired (η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe≡C(C₂₁H₂₄)C≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe) complex (1) as an orange solid (734 mg, 73 %). Anal. Calc for C₉₇H₁₀₂P₂Fe₂: C, 77.49 H, 6.48; Found: C, 77.51, H 6.86. MS (ESI) m/z calc. for [PC₅FeC(η⁵-C₅Me₅)]PF₆: 1502.5631; found: 1502.5641.

Synthesis of [(η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe≡C(2,7-C₂₁H₂₄)C≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe)][PF₆]₂ (I[PF₆]₂). In a Schlenk tube, (η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe≡C(C₂₁H₂₄)C≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe) (200 mg, 0.13 mmol) and [(η⁵-C₅H₅)₂Fe][PF₆] (88 mg, 0.27 mmol, 2 eq) were solubilized in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and the solution was stirred for 2 h. After partial removal of the solvent (to ca. 5 mL), pentane (60 mL) was added and the solvents were filtrated. The residue was then washed with thoroughly degassed toluene (2×2 mL) and pentane (2 mL) at 0 °C to obtain the desired [(η²-dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe≡C(C₂₁H₂₄)C≡CFe(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(η²-dppe)][PF₆]₂ dication (I[PF₆]₂) as a dark green solid (188 mg, 80 %). FT-IR (υ, KBr, cm⁻¹): 1980 (s, C≡C), 1585 (w, Ar), 839 (vs, PF₆). UV–Vis–Near-IR (CH₂Cl₂, λmax/nm, ε/10³ dm³.M⁻¹.cm⁻¹): 270 (sh, 37.4), 344 (27.7), 496 (8.9), 630 (3.0), 772 (13.7), 820 (sh, 10.7), 1844 (0.4).
2. Details about Cyclic Voltammetry Experiments and Simulation Procedure

Cyclic voltammograms were recorded for the oxidation of the dinuclear complex 1. This compound exhibits two overlapping single-electron reversible waves. It is noticeable that the difference is larger in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ than in acetone in agreement with a lower dielectric constant of the solvent in the first case. In a situation where the two electron processes overlap as in the case of 1 in acetone, the determination of the two standard potentials $E^0_1$ and $E^0_2$ can be derived from the half-sum between the anodic and cathodic peaks and the peak-to-peak potential difference ($\Delta E_p$). Comparison with tabulated working curves or with numerical simulations provides the corresponding $\Delta E^0$ differences.$^1$ These simplified treatments require that the kinetics of the electron transfer processes do not affect the cyclic voltammetric response. The measurement also demands an exact compensation of the residual ohmic drop of the working electrode that was obtained using a home-made potentiostat as previously described.$^2$ We checked that these two conditions were obeyed by examining the variations of $\Delta E_p$ as a function of the scan rate and found only negligible variations for scan rates below 1 V.s$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{1,2} $\Delta E_p$ tends towards a limit (113-115 mV in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and 90-94 mV in acetone) that corresponds to the thermodynamics of the electron transfer processes which leads to differences of $\Delta E^0 = 111$ mV and 84 mV in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and in acetone, respectively. It is also noticeable that the small variations of $\Delta E_p$ with the scan rate ($< 1$ V.s$^{-1}$) show that the electron transfer kinetics are relatively fast, and thus, that the reorganization energies associated with the change of the redox state are small, as expected from previous work on related compounds.\textsuperscript{2,3} The agreement between experimental and simulated curves was then checked by full simulations of the voltammograms in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (Fig. 1) and acetone (Fig. S1), using the DigiElch 4.x software\textsuperscript{4} and the $E^0$ values previously derived. Capacitance background current was added in simulations for easier comparison.

Figure S1a. Voltammograms of 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (TBAH, 0.2 M; 25°C) on a 1 mm-diameter gold disk electrode. Experimental (plain line) and simulated (dotted line) Scan rate 0.2 V.s⁻¹.

Figure S1b. Voltammograms of 1 in acetone (TBAH, 0.2 M; 25°C) on a 1 mm-diameter gold disk electrode. Experimental (plain line) and simulated (dotted line) Scan rate 0.2 V.s⁻¹.
3. UV, IR and NIR Spectra obtained from Equimolar Mixtures of 1 and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$

**Preparation of the Mixture containing 1[PF$_6$]**. Equimolar amounts of the complexes 1 (33.5 mg) and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$ (40 mg) were admixed in dichloromethane (50 mL) and stirred for 15 mn at 20° C, the solution was then evaporated to dryness and the resulting solid crushed. This solid was subsequently dissolved in the desired solvent and considered as being “1[PF$_6$]”.

![Figure S2. Infrared spectra of 1 (a) and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$ (b) complexes and of an equimolar mixture of 1 and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$ (c) in dichloromethane (KBr window).](image)

![Figure S3. UV-vis spectra of 1 (a) and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$ (b) complexes and of an equimolar mixture of 1 and 1[PF$_6$]$_2$ (c) in dichloromethane.](image)
4. Solvatochromy and Deconvolution of the Near-IR Absorption

Figure S4. Equimolar Mixture of 1 and 1[PF₆]₂ in Acetonitrile (Dotted Gray Line; a), in Acetone (Plain Dark Line; b) and in Dichloromethane (Plain Grey Line; c).
Table S1. Near-IR Data for 1[PF₆] in Dichloromethane and Acetone (Classical Treatment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>$\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}}$ in cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\nu}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ exp (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\tilde{\nu}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ theo (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$H_{ab}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5570 (170)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4670 (6050)</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7140 (3770)</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>4060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10120 (1490)</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>4830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values ± 25 cm$^{-1}$. $^b$ Values ± 10 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. $^c$ Evaluated from X-ray structure of 1. $^d$ Calculated following equation 2. $^e$ Calculated following equation 3.

Note that the much higher intensities of the sub-bands C-E preclude an accurate simulation of the "forbidden" LF transition that should be present for 1[PF₆] near 5500 cm$^{-1}$ (B), since it is fully “buried” in the near-IR band. According to previous investigations with mononuclear Fe(III) model complexes these LF transitions should take place near ca. 2500 cm$^{-1}$ and 5000 cm$^{-1}$ and are significantly narrower than IVCT bands ($\tilde{\nu}_{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1500$ cm$^{-1}$). The present deconvolution was obtained considering this process at 5500 cm$^{-1}$.