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1. Mass Spectral Data

Figure S-1. Full ESI-MS spectra (MeCN) of 1 + Bi(OTf)₃ indicating formation of the M₂L₂, M₂L₃, and M₂L₄ complexes.
Figure S-2. ESI-MS spectra (MeCN) of $\text{I} + 0.5 \text{eq } \text{Bi(OTf)}_3$ showing enhancement of the $\text{M}_2\text{L}_4$ complex.

Figure S-3. ESI-MS spectra (MeCN) of $2_3\text{Bi}_3 \cdot 9\text{OTf}$ and undefined aggregates.
2. NMR Spectra of Synthesized Compounds

Figure S-4. $^1$H NMR spectrum of diethyl 1,1':3',1''-terphenyl-4,4''-dicarboxylate (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-5. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of diethyl 1,1':3',1''-terphenyl-4,4''-dicarboxylate (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-6. $^1$H NMR spectrum of hydrazide 3 (DMSO-$d_6$, 400 MHz, 298K)
Figure S-7. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of hydrazide 3 (DMSO-$d_6$, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-8. $^1$H NMR spectrum of hydrazone ligand 1 (DMSO-$d_6$, 400 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-9. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of hydrazone ligand 1 (DMSO-$d_6$, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-10. $^1$H NMR spectrum of hydrazide 4 (DMSO-$d_6$, 400 MHz, 298K)
Figure S-11. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of hydrazide 4 (DMSO-$d_6$, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-12. $^1$H NMR spectrum of hydrazone ligand 2 (DMSO-$d_6$, 400 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-13. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of hydrazone ligand 2 (DMSO-$d_6$, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-14. $^1$H NMR spectrum of self-assembled complex $\text{I}_2\text{Bi}_2\cdot6(\text{OTf})$ (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298K)
Figure S-15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of self-assembled complex $\text{Bi}_2\cdot6\text{(OTf)}$ (CD$_3$CN, 100 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-16. $^1$H NMR spectrum of self-assembled complex $\text{Bi}_3\cdot9\text{(OTf)}$ (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298K)

Figure S-17. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of self-assembled complex $\text{Bi}_3\cdot9\text{(OTf)}$ (CD$_3$CN, 100 MHz, 298K)
Figure S-18. $^1$H NMR titration of Bi(OTf)$_3$ into ligand 1: (a) 0.5 eq; (b) 0.67 eq; (c) 1 eq; (d) 2 eq; (e) 3 eq; (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298 K)
**Figure S-19.** $^1$H NMR titration of ligand 1 into 1$_2$·Bi$_2$: (a) 1$_2$·Bi$_2$; (b) 1.0 eq; (c) 1.8 eq; (d) 2.9 eq; (e) 4.2 eq; (f) 6.0 eq; (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298 K)
Figure S-20. $^1$H NMR titration of Bi(OTf)$_3$ into ligand 1·Na$_2$: (a) 0.5 eq; (b) 0.75 eq; (c) 1 eq; (d) 1.5 eq; (e) 3 eq; (f) 5 eq; (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298 K)
Figure S-21. Addition of sodium triflate to $\text{1\cdot Bi(BF}_4\text{)}$: (a) $\text{1\cdot Bi(BF}_4\text{)}$; (b) $\text{1\cdot Bi}_2\cdot \text{OTf}$; (c) $\text{1\cdot Bi(BF}_4\text{)} + \text{NaOTf (CD}_3\text{CN, 400 MHz, 298 K)}$.

Figure S-22. $^1\text{H NMR spectrum of complex 1\cdot BiBr}_3$. (DMSO-$d_6$, 400 MHz, 298 K)
Figure S-23. $^1$H NMR titration of Bi(OTf)$_3$ into ligand 2: (a) 0.33 eq; (b) 0.66 eq; (c) 1 eq; (d) 1.5 eq; (e) 2 eq; (f) 3 eq; (CD$_3$CN, 400 MHz, 298 K).

Figure S-24. DOSY-NMR of 2$_3$·Bi$_3$ (CD$_3$CN, 600 MHz, 298 K, $\Delta$ = 17 ms, $\delta$ = 7000 $\mu$s, Diffusion Coefficient = 4.88x10$^{-10}$ m$^2$/s).
3. X-Ray Structure Determination

Figure S-25. a) Structure of the complex \( \text{I}_2\text{Bi}_2\text{OTf}_6 \) as determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (counterions and solvent removed for clarity; b) Structure of the unit cell of complex \( \text{I}_2\text{Bi}_2\text{OTf}_6 \), indicating disordered solvent molecules and triflate counterions.
A colorless fragment of a prism (0.35 x 0.17 x 0.07 mm$^3$) was used for the single crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1$_2$•Bi$_2$•(OTf)$_6$ (CCDC submission #892045). The crystal was coated with paratone oil and mounted on to a cryo-loop glass fiber. X-ray intensity data were collected at 100(2) K on a Bruker APEX2$^1$ platform-CCD X-ray diffractometer system (fine focus Mo-radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, 50KV/35mA power). The CCD detector was placed at a distance of 5.0000 cm from the crystal.

A total of 4800 frames were collected for a sphere of reflections (with scan width of 0.3° in $\omega$ or $\phi$, starting $\omega$ and 20 angles of –30°, and $\phi$ angles of 0°, 90°, 120°, 180°, 240°, and 270° for every 600 frames, and 1200 frames with $\phi$-scan from 0-360°, 20 sec/frame exposure time). The frames were integrated using the Bruker SAINT software package$^2$ and using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. Based on a triclinic crystal system, the integrated frames yielded a total of 68323 reflections at a maximum 2$\theta$ angle of 54.96° (0.77 Å resolution), of which 13040 were independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0256$, $R_{sig} = 0.0194$, redundancy = 5.2, completeness = 99.8%) and 12130 (93.0%) reflections were greater than 2$\sigma$(I). The unit cell parameters were, $a = 10.5697(3)$ Å, $b = 13.7043(4)$ Å, $c = 20.0249(6)$ Å, $\alpha = 98.057(1)$°, $\beta = 92.112(1)$°, $\gamma = 96.452(1)$°, $V = 2849.71(14)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 2$, calculated density $D_c = 1.622$ g/cm$^3$. Absorption corrections were applied (absorption coefficient $\mu = 3.433$ mm$^{-1}$, max/min transmission = 0.8001/0.3770) to the raw intensity data using the SADABS program.$^3$

The Bruker SHELXTL software package$^4$ was used for phase determination and structure refinement. The distribution of intensities and no systematic absent reflections indicated two possible space groups, P-1 and P1. The space group P-1 (#2) was later determined to be correct. Direct methods of phase determination followed by two Fourier cycles of refinement led to an electron density map from which most of the non-hydrogen atoms were identified in the asymmetry unit of the unit cell. With subsequent isotropic refinement, all of the non-hydrogen atoms were identified. There were one ligand of C$_{32}$H$_{24}$N$_6$O$_2$, one Bi-cation, three disordered SO$_3$CF$_3$ anions (site occupancy ratios are 80%/20%, 81%/19%, 75%/25%), one disordered CHCl$_3$ (site occupancy ratio is 58%/42%), one disordered CH$_3$CN (site occupancy ratio is 73%/27%), one partially occupied CH$_3$CN (80% occupied), and two partially occupied H$_2$O (66% and 39% occupied) present in the asymmetry unit of the unit cell. The 39% occupied water
molecule near the inversion centered was modeled without its hydrogen atoms. One of the two H-atoms of the 66% occupied water didn't have an H-atom acceptor within the rigid model distance as the H-acceptor. All the alerts level B, C and G warning are either because of disordered and partially occupied solvents (water, acetonitrile and chloroform) or the disordered SO$_3$CF$_3$ anions. All possible hydrogen bonding distances and angles are given in Table 7. The DFIX, SADI, SIMU, DELU, and EADP, restraints were to stabilize the final refinement of the atomic positions. Although the distribution of intensities ($E^2-1 = 0.705$) indicated a possible racemic twin P1 chiral space group, the refinement using the racemic twin P1 space group was highly unstable for most of the solvent molecules giving rise to non-definite positive thermal parameters. The final structure model was refined using the P-1 space group.

Atomic coordinates, isotropic and anisotropic displacement parameters of all the non-hydrogen atoms were refined by means of a full matrix least-squares procedure on $F^2$. The H-atoms were included in the refinement in calculated positions riding on the atoms to which they were attached, except the two NH-group hydrogen atoms were refined unrestrained. The refinement converged at $R_1 = 0.0293$, $wR_2 = 0.0774$, with intensity, $I>2\sigma(I)$. The largest peak/hole in the final difference map was 1.675/-0.680 $e/\text{Å}^3$. The high electron density peak/hole ratio (2.46) near the Bi-atom was probably due to absorption correction error.
Table S-1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\text{1}_{2}\text{Bi}_{2}(\text{OT})_{6}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>$[\text{C}<em>{32}\text{H}</em>{24}\text{N}<em>{6}\text{O}</em>{2}]<em>{2}[\text{Bi}]</em>{2}[\text{SO}<em>{3}\text{CF}</em>{3}]_{6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1391.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1 (#2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>$a = 10.5697(3) \text{ Å}, \alpha = 98.057(1)^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 13.7043(4) \text{ Å}, \beta = 92.112(1)^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 20.0249(6) \text{ Å}, \gamma = 96.452(1)^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>2849.71(14) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>1.622 Mg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>3.433 mm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(000)$</td>
<td>1366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.35 x 0.17 x 0.07 mm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection</td>
<td>1.51 to 27.48°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>$-13 \leq h \leq 13, -17 \leq k \leq 17, -25 \leq l \leq 25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>68323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>13040 [$R_{\text{int}} = 0.0256$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to $\theta = 27.48^\circ$</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Semi-empirical from equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. and min. transmission</td>
<td>0.8001 and 0.3770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>13040 / 849 / 981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on $I^2$</td>
<td>1.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $R$ indices [$I&gt;2\sigma(I)$]</td>
<td>$R1 = 0.0293, wR2 = 0.0774$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI indices (all data)</td>
<td>$R1 = 0.0328, wR2 = 0.0795$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole</td>
<td>1.675 and -0.680 $e.\text{Å}^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S-26. a) Structure of the complex $\text{I}_2\cdot\text{Bi}_2\cdot\text{OTf}_6$ as determined by molecular modeling (SPARTAN, AM1 forcefield).
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