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Fig. S1 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·THF, THF stands for tetrahydrofuran and it is displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) model (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines)

along the $a^*$ axis

along the $b$ axis

$d(C21···O1) = 3.475(2)$ Å, $d(C8···S1) = 3.753(2)$ Å
**Fig. S2 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·MeOH·0.5 PYZ.** PYZ stands for pyrazine and both solvates are displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) model (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

![Crystal packing details](image)

- $d(C21\cdots O1) = 3.507(3) \, \text{Å}$, $d(C8\cdots S1) = 3.818(2) \, \text{Å}$
Fig. S3 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·MEK. MEK stands for butanone and it is displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Waals radii) mode (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

along the $a^*$ axis

along the $b$ axis

d(C21···O1) = 3.623(2) Å, d(C8···S1) = 3.826(2) Å
Fig. S4 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·DMF, where DMF stands for N,N’-dimethylformamide and it is displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) mode (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

along the $a^*$ axis

along the $b$ axis

150 K $d(C21\cdots O1) = 3.456(2)$ Å, $d(C8\cdots S1) = 3.819(2)$ Å

298 K $d(C21\cdots O1) = 3.546(5)$ Å, $d(C8\cdots S1) = 3.816(4)$ Å
Fig. S5 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCSe)]·DMF, where DMF stands for $N,N'$-dimethylformamide and it is displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) model (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

along the $a^*$ axis

along the $b$ axis

150 K $d$(C21···O1) = 3.468(6) Å, $d$(C8···Se1) = 3.882(5) Å
308 K $d$(C21···O1) = 3.552(5) Å, $d$(C8···Se1) = 3.914(4) Å
Fig. S6 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·DMSO. DMSO stands for dimethyl sulfoxide and it is displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) model (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H⋯O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

along the $a^*$ axis

along the $b$ axis

\[ d(C21\cdots O1) = 3.448(3) \text{ Å}, \ d(C8\cdots S1) = 3.801(3) \text{ Å} \]
**Fig. S7 Crystal packing of [Fe(L5)(NCS)]·0.5 MEK·0.5 MeOH**, MEK stands for butanone and both solvates are displayed in a spacefill (70% of van der Walls radii) model (top left and top right); the weak non-covalent contacts of the complex molecules framework (down, solvent molecules are not displayed, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except for the atoms responsible for the formation of the C–H···O/S contacts -black dashed lines.

$d(C21···O1) = 3.475(2)$ Å, $d(C8···S1) = 3.754(2)$ Å, $d(C48···O3) = 3.519(3)$, $d(C8···S1) = 3.796(3)$
Fig. S8 Infrared spectroscopy. The N–H vibration frequencies as a function of donor-acceptor distance of N–H···O hydrogen bonding (above) or as a function of critical temperature of spin-crossover (bottom)
Magnetic data interpretation

Spin Hamiltonian used to interpret high-spin iron(III) complexes:

\[
\hat{H} = D(\hat{S}_z^2 - \frac{\hat{S}_z}{3}) - zj \langle \hat{S}_a \rangle \hat{S}_a + \mu_B g_a \hat{S}_a
\]

(1)

where \( a = x \) and \( z \). The first term stands for the zero-field splitting (\( D \) – an axial single-ion ZFS parameter), \( zj \) is the molecular field parameter, which was included in order to take into account also presumably weak intermolecular interactions and the last expression is Zeeman term.

With the aim to unambiguously determine the proposed parameters, the both temperature and field dependent experimental data were fitted simultaneously and moreover also the final magnetization was calculated as an integral average in order to simulate properly the powder sample signal following the procedure outlined in the paper Herchel et al.\(^1\)

Magnetic data for 1f

![Figure S9. Magnetic data for 1f. Left: temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from the temperature dependence of magnetization at \( B = 0.1 \) T; inset). Right: the isothermal magnetizations measured at \( T = 2.0 \) and 4.6 K. Full lines - the best fit calculated using the equation (1), and with \( g = 2.00, D = -0.82 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and \( zj = -0.11 \) cm\(^{-1}\).](image-url)
Magnetic data for 1a

Figure S10. Magnetic data for 1a. Left: temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from the temperature dependence of magnetization at $B = 0.1$ T; inset). Right: the isothermal magnetizations measured at $T = 2.0$ and 5 K. Full lines - the best fit calculated using the equation 1 and with $g = 2.05$, $D = -0.84$ cm$^{-1}$ and $z_j = -0.025$ cm$^{-1}$.

Magnetic data for 1b

Figure S11. Magnetic data for 1b. Left: temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from the temperature dependence of magnetization at $B = 0.1$ T; inset). Right: the isothermal magnetizations measured at $T = 2.0$ and 5 K. Full lines - the best fit calculated using the equation 1 and with $g = 2.06$, $D = -1.0$ cm$^{-1}$, $z_j = -0.12$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\chi_{\text{TIP}} = 15.2$ m$^3$mol$^{-1}$.

Table S1 The summary of the magnetic parameters for purely high-spin compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compound</th>
<th>$g$</th>
<th>$D$/cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$z_j$/cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1g</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>