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Fig. S1 Schematic illustration of the formation of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM from MOF-CM. (Step a) Small Co nanoparticles (NPs) formed into the pores at high temperature at the initial state. (Step b) As the heat treatment proceeded, small NPs aggregated to form larger Co@C NPs. (Step c) The Co NPs were oxidized to Co@Co$_3$O$_4$ core@shell NPs after further oxidation process.

Fig.S2 (a, b) Photographs and (c, d) SEM images of (a, c) MOF and (b, d) CM, respectively.

Fig. S3 PXRD patterns of the as-prepared MOF, CM and MOF-CM composite. The simulated PXRD pattern of MOF is listed for reference.
**Fig. S4** TEM images of (a) CM and (b) MOF-CM composite.

**Fig. S5** TG curves of MOF and MOF-CM composite in N$_2$.

**Fig. S6** PXRD patterns of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM and CM. Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM has the much higher and sharper C (002) peak than CM.
Fig. S7 PXRD pattern of Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM (PDF#42-1467).

Fig. S8 High-resolution N 1s spectrum of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM composite.

Fig. S9 (a) Nitrogen sorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of the pure MOF before and after the same heat treatment and oxidation process. The treatment conditions were similar to that of preparing Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM.
Fig. S10 (a) Nitrogen sorption isotherms and (b) the corresponding pore size distribution of MOF-CM composite.

Fig. S11 (a) Nitrogen sorption isotherms and (b) the corresponding pore size distribution of CM. The treatment conditions were similar to that of preparing Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM.

Fig. S12 SEM images of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM.
Fig. S13 Images from TEM tilting experiments of Co@Co₃O₄@C-CM (scale bar: 100 nm). The sample was rotated from -30° to +30°.

We further used TEM tilting experiments to confirm that the Co@Co₃O₄@C NPs stayed both inside and on the external walls of the CM particle. As shown in Fig. S13, the sample was rotated for angles from -30° to +30°. Two NPs were selected for the comparison (marked by red and blue circles). These two NPs are close to the surface of a CM domain. If the NPs locate on the external surface of the CM domain, they will be moved to the edge of the domain from TEM image by rotating. Results showed that the NP marked by blue circle moved outward steady from -30° to +30° and came to the edge of the CM domain at +30°, meaning that it was on the external surface of CM. In comparison, the NP marked by red circle moved slightly outward from -30° to 0° and inward from 0° to +30°. During all the process, it remained inside the domain and did not reach the edge, demonstrating that it located inside CM.
Fig. S14 Structure model of NPs in SBA-15.

Fig. S15 Structure model of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM.

Fig. S16 PXRD pattern of C-CM.
**Fig. S17** TEM image of C-CM.

**Fig. S18** Pore size distributions of the Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM sample before and after acid wash. Inset shows the nitrogen sorption isotherms of the sample after acid wash.

**Fig. S19** TEM image of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$-C.
Fig. S20 HRTEM image of Co₃O₄@C-CM.

Fig. S21 CVs of CM (a) and Co@Co₃O₄-C (b) in O₂-saturated or N₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH at scanning rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.
Fig. S22 (a) RRDE curve of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM at 1600 rpm. (b) Electron transfer number at various potential.

Fig. S23 (a) ORR polarization curves of C-CM at various rotating rate (scan rate: 10 mV s$^{-1}$). (b) ORR polarization curves of CM and C-CM (scan rate: 10 mV s$^{-1}$; rotation rate: 1600 rpm).

Fig. S24 CVs of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$-CM at the scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. 
**Fig. S25** TEM images of Co@Co$_3$O$_4$-CM prepared *via* a conventional wet-impregnation method.

**Table S1.** Summary of the texture parameters of the as-prepared samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$[a] ($m^2 \cdot g^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D$[b] (nm)</th>
<th>$V$[c] ($cm^3 \cdot g^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-CM</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@Co$_3$O$_4$-C</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@Co$_3$O$_4$@C-CM</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] $S_{\text{BET}}$: specific surface area from BET method. [b] D: pore diameter from QSDFT (adsorption branch, slit/cylinder/sphere pores model). [c] V: pore volume at relative pressure $P/P_0 = 0.995$. 