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**ORR reactions (Eq. 1–3: multistep two-electron pathway; Eq. 4: one-step direct four-electron pathway)**

\[ O_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow HO_2^- + OH^- \] (1)

\[ HO_2^- + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow 3OH^- \] (2)

\[ 2HO_2^- \leftrightarrow O_2 + 2OH^- \] (3)

\[ O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 4OH^- \] (4)

**Calculation of electron transfer number (n)**

RDE plots (\(J\) vs. \(\omega^{-1/2}\)), were analyzed according to the Koutecky–Levich (K–L) equation expressed as Eq. 5 to assess the apparent number of electrons transferred during ORR (\(n\)) at various potentials:[1-3]

\[
\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_L} + \frac{1}{J_K} = \frac{1}{B\sqrt{\omega}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}}
\] (5)

\[
B = 0.2nF\left(\frac{D_0}{\rho}\right)^{1/3} \nu^{-1/6}
\]

In the Koutecky–Levich equation, \(J\), \(J_L\), \(J_K\) are the measured current density, the diffusion-limiting current density, and the kinetic-limiting current density, respectively; \(\omega\) is the rotation speed in rpm, \(F\) is the Faraday constant (96,485 C mol\(^{-1}\)), \(D_0\) is the diffusion coefficient of oxygen in 0.1 M KOH (1.9×10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(\nu\) is the kinetic viscosity (0.01 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)), and \(C_0\) is the bulk concentration of oxygen (1.2×10\(^{-6}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)). 0.2 is a constant when the rotation speed is expressed in rpm. The \(n\) can be extracted from the slope of the K–L plot.

The transferred electron number per oxygen molecule (\(n\)) during ORR can be also calculated from Eq. 6 based on RRDE measurements,[4-5]

\[
n = \frac{4I_D}{I_D + \frac{I_R}{N}}
\] (6)

and the \(H_2O\) selectivity can be analyzed from the following equation:

\[
\text{Selectivity}_{H_2O} = \frac{I_D - \frac{I_R}{N}}{I_D + \frac{I_R}{N}} \times 100 = \frac{n-2}{2} \times 100
\] (7)

where \(I_D\), \(I_R\), and \(N = 0.30\) are the disk current, ring current, and collection efficiency of Pt ring obtained by using the one-electron Fe(CN)\(_6^{3-}\)/Fe(CN)\(_6^{4-}\) redox couple, according to the manufacture’s instruction, respectively.

**References:**

Fig. S1 PXRD diagrams of various pyrolytic products of ZIF-8 nanocrystals at different carbonization temperatures.

Fig. S2 TGA (black) and DSC (blue) curves of ZIF-8 nanocrystals.
Fig. S3 Raman spectra of NGPCs obtained from 700 to 900 °C.

Fig. S4 Representative FE-SEM images of different NGPCs. The particle size decreased gradually as the temperature and carbonization time increased.
Fig. S5 Representative TEM images of different NGPCs. The surface morphologies of the samples changed from smooth surface to rough surface obviously.

Fig. S6 a) TEM images of NGPCs with cage-like structures; b) and c) enlarged TEM images shown in the rectangular area marked in a); e) to g) HR-TEM images taken from the edges of the particles in a), showing the highly graphitized feature of the NGPCs.
**Fig. S7** Typical TEM images of rhombic dodecahedron-like NGPCs with crumpled surfaces.

**Fig. S8** Typical energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) of NGPC-1000-10.

**Fig. S9** Nitrogen sorption isotherms (77 K) of NGPCs obtained from different carbonization temperatures and carbonization times.
**Fig. S10** NL-DFT pore size distributions of NGPCs obtained from different carbonization temperatures and carbonization times.

**Fig. S11** Nitrogen sorption isotherms (77 K) of NGPC-700-5 sample without acid wash. Inset is the corresponding NL-DFT pore size distribution curve, showing the dominantly meso/macroporosity caused by inter-particle sorption.
**Fig. S12** Deconvoluted C1s spectrum of NGPCs obtained from different carbonization temperatures and carbonization times.

**Fig. S13** Deconvoluted N1s spectrum of NGPCs obtained from different carbonization temperatures and carbonization times.
Table S1. C, O, N content and N dopant state of different NGPCs catalysts derived from the XPS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C (at.%)</th>
<th>O (at.%)</th>
<th>N (at.%)</th>
<th>Relative content of different N species to total N</th>
<th>(N1+N3)/N_{total}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-700-5</td>
<td>68.58</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td>0.58 0.35 0.07 N/A</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-800-5</td>
<td>71.40</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>0.59 0.28 0.09 0.04</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-900-5</td>
<td>76.26</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>0.57 0.21 0.17 0.05</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-1000-1</td>
<td>84.62</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>0.61 0.04 0.29 0.06</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-1000-5</td>
<td>86.90</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.58 0.03 0.31 0.08</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-1000-10</td>
<td>89.33</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>0.46 0.02 0.41 0.11</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S14 FT-IR spectra of pyrolytic products of ZIF-8 NCs at different carbonization temperatures.
Fig. S15 CV curves of different NGPC samples, GPC-1000-5 and commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C sample (red line, N₂; blue line, O₂) in 0.1 M KOH solution (scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹).
**Fig. S16** LSV curves in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution with a sweep rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ at different rotation rates and the corresponding K–L plots for different ORR catalysts. a, b) NGPC-700-5; c, d) NGPC-800-5; e, f) NGPC-900-5; g, h) NGPC-1000-1; i, j) NGPC-1000-5; k, l) NGPC-1000-10; m, n) GPC-1000-5 and o, p) 20 wt.% Pt/C.

**Fig. S17** Electron-transfer numbers as a function of the overpotential of NGPCs obtained at 1000 °C and commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C catalyst, respectively.
Fig. S18 Structural view (left) and powder X-ray diagram (right) of as-synthesized [Zn₄O(bdc)₃] (MOF-5). Eight clusters (four visible) from an unit cell enclose a large cavity with diameter of 18.5 Å, indicated by a yellow sphere.

Fig. S19 PXRD diagram of MOF-5 derived carbon samples (GPC-1000-5); the insets are the enlargement of PXRD at position with 2θ value from 20° to 30° (left) and the corresponding Raman spectrum of the GPC-1000-5 (right).

Fig. S20 Nitrogen sorption isotherms of MOF-5 derived carbon sample (GPC-1000-5) at 77 K, the inset gives the corresponding NL-DFT pore size distribution of the product.

Fig. S21 Polarization curves of NGPC-800-5 with or without acid wash treatment. The results are obtained at conditions of 1600 rpm in an O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH aq. solution at R.T., and a sweep rate of 5 mV s⁻¹.
Fig. S22 a) CV and b) LSV curves of commercial Pt/C in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution with or without the addition of 3 M MeOH. The RDE measurements were carried out with a sweep rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$, 1600 rpm.

Fig. S23 CV curves of NGPC-1000-10 in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$, with or without the addition of 3 M methanol.

Table S2 Summary of ORR performance for some other nitrogen-doped metal-free catalysts and MOF-derived non-precious metal electrocatalysts (M/N/C) reported recently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heteroatom-doped carbon materials$^a$</th>
<th>Synthetic methods (reaction precursors)</th>
<th>ORR performance vs. Pt/C$^b$</th>
<th>Electron transfer number</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGPC-1000-10</td>
<td>Nanaocasting of ZIF-8 nanocrystals</td>
<td>35 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ of 4.67 mA cm$^{-2}$ and $J_K$ of 14.18 mA cm$^{-2}$ at –0.35 V.</td>
<td>3.80–3.89 at range of –0.25 to –0.6 V</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POF-C-1000</td>
<td>Nanocasting of PAF-6 and furfuryl alcohol</td>
<td>40 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, $J_L$ at –0.60 and –0.44 V vs. Hg/HgO</td>
<td>3.75 at –0.44 V vs. Hg/HgO</td>
<td>$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCNFs</td>
<td>Carbonization of electrospun polycrylonitrile nanofiber films</td>
<td>45 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, ca. 1.0 mA cm$^{-2}$ less in $J_L$</td>
<td>3.6–4.0 at range of –0.35 to –0.50 V</td>
<td>$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN-ACNT</td>
<td>CVD with ferrocene,</td>
<td>80 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$</td>
<td>3.67–3.88</td>
<td>$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Current Density</td>
<td>Potential Range</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyridine and triphenylphosphine</td>
<td>Higher cathodic current density below ca. –0.25 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>at –0.3 to –0.6 V</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-S-G Melamine, benzyl disulfide, graphene oxide with SiO$_2$ as template</td>
<td>30 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ and nearly twice higher in $J_K$ at –0.80 V</td>
<td>3.3–3.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCNTs(BTA) Carbonization of MWCNTs with Triazole and tetrazole derivatives</td>
<td>80 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at –0.9 V vs. SCE and 1.0 mA cm$^{-2}$ higher in $J_K$ at –0.5 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>3.62 at –0.5 V</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-HCNPs CVD with trinitrophenol under high temperature and pressure</td>
<td>90 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 1500 rpm and $J_K$ at potential range of –0.3 to –0.4 V</td>
<td>3.70 at –0.4 V</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-TCA_900 Hydrothermal carbonization with glucose/TCA</td>
<td>210 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 1600 rpm</td>
<td>ca. 2.6–3.7 at range of –0.4 to –1.0 V</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG-1000 Direct annealing of graphene oxide/PDA</td>
<td>70 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 1600 rpm and $J_K$ at potential of –0.5 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>3.89 at –0.5 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acr@MW Hydrothermally functionization of MWCNTs with aniline derivatives</td>
<td>38 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 800 rpm</td>
<td>3.2 at –1.0 V</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMC-3 Nanocasting of SBA-15/triphenylphosphine</td>
<td>50 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 1600 rpm</td>
<td>3.91 at –0.25 V</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG-NCNT Hydrothermal treatment with Graphene oxide/oxidized MWCNTs/ammonia</td>
<td>70 mV more negative in $E_{onset}$, comparable $J_L$ at 1600 rpm at –0.4 to –0.7 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>3.3–3.7 at range of –0.4 to –0.7 V vs. SCE</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCNTs-20 Direct carbonization of Zn-Fe-ZIF/dicyandiamide</td>
<td>7 mV more positive in $E_{1/2}$, ca. 0.7 mA cm$^{-2}$ higher in $J_K$ at 1600 rpm</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**a** The samples listed in the table are the most efficient one chosen out from those reported in the corresponding literatures, respectively.

**b** All potentials are referred as Ag/AgCl scale, unless otherwise stated.

For comparison, all samples are tested in 0.1 M KOH solution under room temperature, unless otherwise stated.

The limiting current densities ($J_L$) are compared at a rotation speed of 1600 rpm, unless otherwise stated.
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