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1. General experimental details

All commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased and used as received from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa-Aesar. Drying of glassware at 115 °C overnight and activation of molecular sieves in a microwave was performed when anhydrous conditions were required. Dichloromethane was distilled over calcium hydride. Reflux reactions were performed with a paraffin oil bath. Flash column chromatography was performed with Grace Silica Gel 60 (40 – 63 µm, 230 – 400 mesh), with solvent ratios as specified. All novel compounds listed below are italicised. Spectroscopic data for single enantiomers were identical to those for the racemate.

Melting points were obtained on an Optimelt Automated Melting Point System and reported in degrees Celsius. Optical rotation was recorded on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter with Na lamp (589 nm). Enantioselective normal phase high-performance liquid chromatography was performed on a Waters 500 analytical HPLC pump (Chiralcel OD-H column, 0.5 mL flowrate, 0.5µL injection volume, specified solvent ratios) with a Waters 2487 dual wavelength absorbance detector (set at 254 nm and 270 nm) and a Waters 410 differential refractometer.

\(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy was conducted on either a Bruker Avance III 500 at a frequency of 500.13 MHz and 125 MHz or a Bruker Avance III 400 at a frequency of 400.13 MHz and 100 MHz, with deuterated solvents (CDCl\(_3\) or MeOD) used without further purification. Signals are reported in the order chemical shift (ppm downfield with respect to the solvent residual), integration, multiplicity, coupling constants \(J\) (in Hertz) and assignments.

Low-resolution mass spectrometry was performed on a Finnigan LCQ mass spectrometer, with either electrospray ionisation (ESI) mode or atmospheric-pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) under positive mode. High-resolution mass spectrometry was performed on a Bruker 7T Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance mass spectrometer, with either electrospray ionisation (ESI) mode or atmospheric-pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) under positive mode.

Infrared spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker Alpha FT-IR spectrometer under transmission mode, with absorbances reported as wave numbers.
2. Experimental procedures and characterisation data

2-(4'-Methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 4\textsuperscript{1}

To a degassed mixture of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (3.00 g, 22.6 mmol, 1.5 eq), ethylene glycol (2.80 g, 2.52 mL, 30.0 mmol, 2.0 eq) and isopropanol (15 mL) were added 4-iodoanisole (3.52 g, 15.0 mmol, 1.0 eq), potassium phosphate tribasic (9.56 g, 30.0 mmol, 2.0 eq) and copper(I) iodide (0.429 g, 1.50 mmol, 0.1 eq) and the resultant suspension was stirred at 80 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (50 mL) and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solution was diluted with water (100 mL) and extracted into diethyl ether (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The majority of the product was isolated through recrystallisation from hexane:ethyl acetate (10:1) to give the product as a white solid. The mother liquor from the recrystallisation was purified by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate 10:1) to give the product as a white solid (combined yield 2.75 g, 76%).

m.p. 93 – 94 °C (no lit m.p.). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 2.99 (2H, t, J 5.7, H\textsuperscript{4}), 3.45 (2H, t, J 5.7, H\textsuperscript{3}), 3.78 (3H, s, H\textsuperscript{5'}), 4.30 (2H, s, H\textsuperscript{1}), 6.85 – 6.87 (2H, m, H\textsuperscript{2'}), 6.97 – 6.99 (2H, m, H\textsuperscript{3'}), 7.11 – 7.25 (4H, m, H\textsuperscript{5} – H\textsuperscript{8}). IR (CHCl\textsubscript{3}) \nu\textsubscript{max}/cm\textsuperscript{-1} 1504, 1466. m/z (ESI) 238.3 ([M-H\textsuperscript{+}], 100%). HRMS (ESI) 240.13838 (MH\textsuperscript{+}); calcd. for C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{18}NO (MH\textsuperscript{+}) 240.13829. Spectroscopic data match those in the literature.\textsuperscript{2}

1-(Nitromethyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 9\textsubscript{b}

S-3
To 2,3-dichloro-5,6-cyano-1,4-benzoquinone (1.18 g, 5.18 mmol, 1.1 eq) in nitromethane (50 mL) was added 2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1.13 g, 4.71 mmol, 1.0 eq) and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for 30 min. N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (0.911 g, 1.23 mL, 7.06 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for a further minute. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (200 mL) and extracted into ethyl acetate (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (3 × 200 mL), concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (5:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) to give the product as a yellow oil (1.40 g, 95%).

$^{1}$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 2.70 (1H, dt, $J$ 16.5, 4.0, H$_{4}$), 3.02 (1H, ddd, $J$ 16.5, 9.5, 6.5, H$_{4}$), 3.52 – 3.61 (2H, m, H$_{3}$), 3.75 (3H, s, H$_{5}^\prime$), 4.56 (1H, dd, $J$ 12.0, 6.0, H$_{9}$), 4.83 (1H, dd, $J$ 12.0, 8.5, H$_{9}$), 5.39 (1H, dd, $J$ 8.5, 6.0, H$_{1}$), 6.81 – 6.83 (2H, m, H$_{2}^\prime$), 6.90 – 6.92 (2H, m, H$_{3}^\prime$), 7.14 – 7.26 (4H, m, H$_{5}$ – H$_{8}$). IR (CHCl$_3$) $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$ 1558, 1497, 1465, 1380, 1034. m/z (ESI) 238.2 ([M-CH$_2$NO$_2$]$^+$, 100%). Spectroscopic data match those in the literature.$^{3}$

1-(Aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 10a$^d$

To 1-(nitromethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.768 g, 2.58 mmol, 1.0 eq) in 100 mL MeOH:NH$_3$ (9:1) was added Raney nickel (0.3 mL slurry, approximately 0.250 g) and the reaction mixture stirred under H$_2$ (100 psi) for 4 h at rt. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the volatiles removed under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (50 mL) and extracted into dichloromethane (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (95:4.5:0.5 dichloromethane:methanol:ammonia) to give the product as a brown oil (0.594 g, 87%).
1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ 2.59 (1H, dt, J 16.4, 4.0, H4), 2.80 – 2.88 (1H, m, H4), 2.91 (1H, dd, J 13.2, 4.8, H3), 3.01 (1H, dd, J 13.2, 9.2, H3), 3.52 – 3.56 (2H, m, H3), 3.71 (3H, s, H5′), 4.50 (1H, dd, J 9.2, 4.8, H1), 6.77 – 6.81 (2H, m), 6.93 – 6.99 (2H, m), 7.02 – 7.18 (4H, m). 13C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD): δ 26.3 (C4), 44.9 (C3), 46.7 (C9), 56.0 (C10), 62.4 (C1), 115.6 (C2′), 121.0 (C3′), 127.2 (C6), 127.8 (C7), 128.3 (C8), 130.1 (C5), 136.8 (C4a), 137.1 (C8a), 146.1 (C1′), 155.4 (C4′). IR (CHCl3) v max/cm⁻¹ 2401, 1506, 1261, 1095. m/z (ESI) 269.0 (MH⁺, 90%), 252.1 ([M-NH2]⁺, 63%), 238.1 ([M-CH₂NH₂]⁺, 100%). HRMS (ESI) 269.16464 (MH⁺); calcd. for C₁₇H₂₁N₂O (MH⁺) 269.16484.

**1-(R)-(Aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (R)-10a**

![Diagram of the molecule]

To 1-(aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1.02 g, 3.80 mmol, 1.0 eq) in isopropanol:water (5:1, 38 mL, ~0.1 M) was added (+)-dibenzoyl-D-tartaric acid (1.87 g as crystallised with 2iPrOH, 3.80 mmol, 1.0 eq) and the solution was heated gently until complete dissolution was observed. The reaction was left at rt (12 – 18 °C) for 24 h. The crystals obtained were filtered, washed with isopropanol and recrystallised twice from the minimum amount of isopropanol water (9:1). The resultant crystals were dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted into ethyl acetate (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give the amine (0.174 g, 34% wrt single enantiomer) as a brown oil.

Spectroscopic data (1H NMR) match those of the racemate.

\[ \alpha \]D = +97.4 (c 5.0, CHCl₃). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 97%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.
1-(S)-(Aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (S)-10a

To 1-(aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.741 g, 2.76 mmol, 1.0 eq) in isopropanol:water (5:1, 28 mL, ~0.1 M) was added (-)-dibenzoyl-L-tartaric acid (1.36 g as crystallised with 2iPrOH, 2.76 mmol, 1.0 eq) and the solution heated gently until complete dissolution was observed. The reaction was left to sit at rt (12 – 18 °C) for 24 h. The crystals obtained were isolated, washed with isopropanol and recrystallised twice from minimum isopropanol water (9:1). The resultant crystals were dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted into ethyl acetate (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give the amine (0.102 g, 27% wrt single enantiomer) as a brown oil.

Spectroscopic data (¹H NMR) match those of the racemate.

[α]₀° = -62.4 (c 5.0, CHCl₃). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 95%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.

1-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 13a

To 1-(aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.274 g, 1.02 mmol, 1.0 eq) in dichloromethane over ice, was added triethylamine (0.207 g, 0.283 mL,
2.04 mmol, 2.0 eq) and 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine (0.006 g, 0.05 mmol, 0.05 eq). Cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride (0.194 g, 0.176 mL, 1.33 mmol, 1.3 eq) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture stirred over ice. The reaction was monitored by TLC (90:9:1 dichloromethane:methanol:ammonia) until starting material was observed to disappear (approximately 4 h), after which the reaction mixture was diluted with water (50 mL) and extracted into dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography (3:1 hexane:ethyl acetate) to give the product (0.353 g, 98%) as a white solid.

**mp** 135 – 136 °C. **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.15 – 1.42 (5H, m), 1.63 – 1.81 (5H, m), 1.99 (1H, td, J 11.4, 3.6, H¹²), 2.67 (1H, ddd, J 16.2, 8.4, 4.2, H⁴), 2.94 (1H, ddd, J 16.2, 7.8, H³), 3.42 (1H, ddd, J 13.8, 9.3, 4.2, H⁹), 3.50 – 3.53 (2H, m, H³), 3.65 – 3.69 (1H, m, H³), 3.74 (3H, s, H⁵), 4.66 (1H, dd, J 9.0, 9.0, H¹), 5.89 (1H, br s, NH), 6.80 – 6.82 (2H, m, H³), 6.93 – 6.95 (2H, m, H⁵), 7.16 – 7.22 (4H, m, H⁷ – H⁸). **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 25.8, 25.9, 26.2 (C⁴), 29.6, 29.7, 43.4 (C³), 43.7 (C⁹), 45.5 (C¹²), 55.7 (C¹⁶), 59.6 (C¹), 114.8 (C³'), 118.5 (C²'), 126.2 (C⁶ – C⁸), 127.0 (C⁶ – C⁸), 127.6 (C⁶ – C⁸), 128.9 (C⁵), 135.3 (C⁴a or C⁸a), 135.7 (C⁴a or C⁸a), 144.6 (C¹'), 153.5 (C⁴'), 176.2 (C¹¹). **IR** νmax/cm⁻¹ 3290, 3053, 2929, 2853, 1644, 1510, 1244, 1037. **m/z** (APCI) 379.2 (MH⁺, 100%). **HRMS** (APCI) 379.23820 (MH⁺); calcd. for C₂₄H₃₁N₂O₂ (MH⁺) 379.23801.

**1-(R)-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (R)-13a**

![Structure of (R)-13a](image)

Synthesised from 1-(R)-(aminomethyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 98%.
Spectroscopic data ($^1$H NMR) match those of the racemate.

$[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +35.0$ (c 5.0, CHCl$_3$). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 95%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.

1-(S)-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (S)-13a

![N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline](image)

Synthesised from 1-(S)-(aminomethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 86%.

Spectroscopic data ($^1$H NMR) match those of the racemate.

$[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -55.2$ (c 5.0, CHCl$_3$). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 95%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.

1-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 14a$^5$

![N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline](image)

To 1-(N-cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.100 g, 0260 mmol, 1.0 eq) in acetonitrile:water (1:1, 5 mL) at 0
°C was added ceric ammonium nitrate (0.425 g, 0.790 mmol, 3.0 eq) in acetonitrile:water (1:1, 5 mL) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 5 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and ethyl acetate (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL), diluted with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (20 mL) and further extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (95:4.5:0.5 dichloromethane:methanol:ammonia) to give the product (0.51 g, 81%) as a brown oil.

^1^H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD): δ 1.19 – 1.43 (6H, m, H₁₂ – H₁₄), 1.67 – 1.79 (4H, m, H₁₂ – H₁₄), 2.18 (1H, tt, J 12.0, 3.5, H₁¹), 2.76 – 2.86 (2H, m), 2.91 – 2.96 (1H, m), 3.18 – 3.23 (1H, m), 3.49 (1H, dd, J 14.0, 8.5, H₉), 3.55 (1H, dd, J 14.0, 4.0, H₁⁹), 4.08 (1H, dd, J 8.5, 4.0, H₁), 7.09 – 7.20 (4H, m, H₅ – H₈). ^1^C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD): 26.8 (C₁²), 26.9 (C¹³), 29.9 (C³), 30.7 (C¹¹), 40.5 (C⁴), 44.8 (C⁹), 46.5 (C¹⁴), 56.6 (C¹), 127.0 (C₆ or C⁷), 127.7 (C₆ or C⁷), 127.8 (C⁸), 130.6 (C⁵), 136.4 (C⁴a or C⁸a), 136.6 (C⁴a or C⁸a), 178.3 (C¹⁰). m/z (ESI+) 273.3 (MH⁺, 100%). Spectroscopic data match those in the literature.⁶

1-(R)-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (R)-14a⁵

Synthesised from 1-(R)-(N-cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4’-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 80%

Spectroscopic data (^1^H NMR) match those in the literature.
[α]$_D^{20}$ = -18.6 (c 5.0, CHCl$_3$). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 97%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.

1-(S)-(N-Cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (S)-14a

Synthesised from 1-(S)-(N-cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 46%.

Spectroscopic data (1H NMR) match those of the racemate.

[α]$_D^{20}$ = +11.6 (c 5.0, CHCl$_3$). Analysis of the sample by enantioselective HPLC indicated enantiopurity of 95%. Absolute stereochemistry assigned retrospectively from enantioselective HPLC data of praziquantel synthesised from this compound.

2-(Cyclohexylcarbonyl)-1,2,3,6,7,11b-hexahydro-4H-pyrazino[2,1-a]isoquinolino-4-one, rac-praziquantel, 6a

To 1-(N-cyclohexylcarbonylamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.036 g, 0.13 mmol, 1.0 eq) in dichloromethane (0.330 mL), was added sodium hydroxide (50%, 0.063 mL, 0.79 mmol, 6.0 eq) and chloroacetyl chloride (0.16 g, 0.012 mL, 0.15 mmol, 1.1 eq)
and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for 30 min. Benzyltriethylammonium chloride (0.003 g, 0.013 mmol, 0.1 eq) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at reflux for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (5 mL) and dichloromethane (5 mL), and the aqueous phase extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed successively with water (2 × 10 mL), 5% hydrochloric acid (10 mL), water (10 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to give the compound (0.032 g, 78%) as a white foam.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.25 – 1.28 (3H, m, H$_{14}$ – H$_{16}$), 1.50 – 1.60 (2H, m, H$_{14}$ – H$_{16}$), 1.72 – 1.81 (5H, m, H$_{14}$ – H$_{16}$), 2.43 – 2.49 (1H, m, H$_{13}$), 2.76 – 2.83 (2H, m, one of H$_4$ and one of H$_9$), 2.87 – 2.97 (2H, m, one of H$_3$ and one of H$_9$), 4.07 (1H, d, J 17.3, H$_{10}$), 4.46 (1H, d, J 17.4, H$_{10}$), 4.78 – 4.80 (2H, m, H$_1$ and H$_3$), 5.15 (1H, d, J 13.0, H$_9$), 7.16 – 7.18 (1H, m, H$_5$ – H$_8$), 7.22 – 7.27 (3H, m, H$_5$- H$_8$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 25.9 (C$_{14}$ – C$_{16}$), 28.9 (C$_4$), 29.1 (C$_{14}$ – C$_{16}$), 29.4 (C$_{14}$ – C$_{16}$), 39.2 (C$_3$), 40.9 (C$_{13}$), 45.3 (C$_9$), 49.2 (C$_{10}$), 55.1 (C$_1$), 125.6 (C$_5$ – C$_8$), 127.1 (C$_5$ – C$_8$), 127.6 (C$_5$ – C$_8$), 129.4 (C$_5$ – C$_8$), 132.9 (C$_{8a}$), 134.9 (C$_{4a}$), 164.6 (C$_{11}$), 174.9 (C$_{12}$). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}$(cm$^{-1}$) 2929, 2852, 1649, 1448, 1421. m/z (ESI+) 335.4 ([M+Na]$^+$, 100%). Spectroscopic data match those in the literature. 7

(11bR)-2-(Cyclohexylcarbonyl)-1,2,3,6,7,11b-hexahydro-4H-pyrazino[2,1-a]isoquinolino-4-one, (R)-praziquantel, (R)-6a

Synthesised from 1-(R)-(N-cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 18%
Spectroscopic data ($^1$H NMR) match those of the racemate.

[\alpha]^2_{D} = -132.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3). \ lit.^6 [\alpha]^2_{D} = -135.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3). \ Analysis \ of \ the \ sample 
by \ enantioselective \ HPLC \ indicated \ enantiopurity \ of \ 97\%. \ Absolute \ stereochemistry
assigned \ through \ comparison \ of \ data \ from \ enantioselective \ HPLC \ of \ the \ synthesised 
compound \ and \ known \ samples.

(11bS)-2-(Cyclohexylcarbonyl)-1,2,3,6,7,11b-hexahydro-4H-pyrazino[2,1-a]isoquinolino-4-one, (S)-praziquantel, (S)-6a

Synthesised from 1-(S)-(N-cyclohexylcarboxamido methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline according to the procedure for the racemate in a yield of 78%.

[\alpha]^2_{D} = +128.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3). \ Analysis \ of \ the \ sample \ by \ enantioselective \ HPLC 
indicated \ enantiopurity \ of \ 95\%. \ Absolute \ stereochemistry \ assigned \ through \ comparison \ of 
data \ from \ enantioselective \ HPLC \ of \ the \ synthesised \ compound \ and \ known \ samples.

1-(Nitroethyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 9b

To DDQ (3.19 g, 13.1 mmol, 1.1 eq) in nitroethane (100 mL) was added 2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (3.05 g, 12.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for 30 min. N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (2.47 g, 3.34 mL,
19.1 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for a further minute. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (200 mL) and extracted into ethyl acetate (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (3 × 200 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (5:1 hexane:ethyl acetate) to give the product as a yellow oil (3.96 g, 99%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.53 (3H maj, d, J 6.4, H¹), 1.67 (3H min, d, J 6.4, H¹), 2.75 – 2.85 (1H, m, H⁴), 2.94 – 3.00 (1H, m, H¹), 3.45 – 3.56 (1H maj and 2H min, m, H³), 3.73 (3H maj, s, H⁵), 3.75 (3H min, s, H⁵), 3.78 – 3.81 (1H maj, m, H⁹), 4.82 – 4.90 (1H min, m, H⁹), 4.96 – 5.07 (2H maj and 1H min, m, H¹maj and H¹min and H⁹maj), 6.78 – 6.83 (2H, m, H³), 6.91 – 6.93 (2H, m, H²), 7.02 (1H min, d, J 7.6, H⁸), 7.10 (1H maj, d, J 7.6, H⁹), 7.14 – 7.26 (3H maj and 3H min, m, H⁵maj and H⁵min).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.7 (C¹maj), 17.2 (C¹min), 26.2 (C⁴maj), 26.4 (C⁴min), 44.2 (C³maj), 45.2 (C³min), 55.7 (C⁵), 62.4 (C¹min), 63.6 (C¹maj), 85.9 (C⁹maj), 88.9 (C⁹min), 114.7 (C³maj), 114.8 (C³min), 118.4 (C²min), 119.1 (C²maj), 126.2 (C⁶maj or C⁷maj), 126.6 (C⁶min or C⁷min), 127.3 (C⁸min), 128.1 (C⁶min or C⁷min), 128.2 (C⁶maj or C⁷maj), 128.5 (C⁸maj), 129.1 (C⁵min), 129.4 (C⁵maj), 132.2 (C⁸a-maj), 133.8 (C⁸a-min), 135.1 (C⁴a-min), 136.0 (C⁴a-maj), 143.7 (C¹'-maj), 144.0 (C¹'-min), 153.7 (C⁴'-min), 154.0 (C⁴'-maj). m/z (APCI) 238.1 ([M-CH₃CHNO₂]⁺, 100%), 312.9 (MH⁺, 20%).

IR νmax/cm⁻¹ 2935, 2835, 1549, 1509, 1454, 1246, 1035, 826, 753. Spectroscopic data match those in the literature.²

I-(Aminoethyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 10b

To 1-(nitroethyl)-2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1.00 g, 3.21 mmol, 1.0 eq) in methanol:ammonia (9:1, 100 mL) was added Raney nickel (0.3 mL slurry) and the reaction mixture stirred at rt under hydrogen (100 psi) for two h. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture diluted with water (50 mL) and extracted into dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic
phases were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography (95:4.5:0.5 dichloromethane:methanol:ammonia) to give the product as a brown solid (0.086 g, 10%). The product was found to be unstable in solution and was not fully characterised by NMR spectroscopy.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.16 (3H, d, J 6.4, H¹⁰), 2.79 (1H, dt, J 16.5, 5.6), 2.93 (1H, ddd, J 16.5, 8.7, 6.0), 3.21 (1H, dt, J 14.8, 6.0), 3.46 – 3.52 (1H, m), 3.73 (3H, s, H⁵), 3.73 – 3.80 (1H, m), 4.14 (1H, d, J 8.4), 6.78 – 6.80 (2H, m), 6.91 – 6.93 (2H, m), 7.09 – 7.11 (1H, m), 7.14 – 7.19 (3H, m). m/z (APCI⁺) 266.1 (100%, [M-NH₂]⁺), 282.9 (25%, MH⁺). IR νmax/cm⁻¹ 3424 (br), 2959, 1739, 1509, 1365, 1229. HRMS (ESI⁺) 283.18027 (MH⁺) calcd. for 283.18049 (C₁₈H₂₂N₂O⁺, MH⁺).

1-(N-Cyclohexylcarbonylamido ethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 13b

To 1-(aminoethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.085 g, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 eq), 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine (0.011 g, 0.09 mmol, 0.3 eq) and triethylamine (0.046 g, 0.063 mL, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq) in dichloromethane (20 mL) at 0 °C, was added cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride (0.048 g, 0.044 mL, 0.33 mmol, 1.1 eq) and the reaction mixture stirred at 0 °C for two h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted into dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (3:1 hexane:ethyl acetate) to give the product as a white solid (0.115 g, 97%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.09 (3H₟, d, J 7.2, H¹⁰), 1.14 (3Hₘₘ, d, J 6.4, H¹⁰), 1.19 – 1.35 (5H, m, H¹⁴-1₆), 1.65 – 1.75 (5H, m, H¹⁴-1₆), 1.96 (1H, tt, J 11.6, 3.2, H¹₃), 2.71
(1H\textsuperscript{min}, dt, J 1.4, 4.0, H\textsuperscript{4}), 2.89 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, dt, J 15.6, 5.2, H\textsuperscript{4}), 2.96 – 2.97 (1H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{4}), 3.08 – 3.16 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{4}), 3.20 – 3.27 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{3}), 3.48 – 3.55 (2H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{3}), 3.63 – 3.68 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{3}), 3.75 (3H, s, H\textsuperscript{5}), 4.28 – 4.37 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{9}), 4.48 – 4.55 (1H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{9}), 4.56 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, d, J 7.6, H\textsuperscript{1}), 4.75 (1H\textsuperscript{min}, d, J 4.0, H\textsuperscript{1}), 5.49 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, d, J 7.2, H\textsuperscript{11}), 5.81 (1H\textsuperscript{min}, d, J 8.4, H\textsuperscript{11}), 6.80 – 6.82 (2H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{3}), 6.85 – 6.87 (2H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{3}), 6.94 – 6.99 (2H\textsuperscript{maj} and 2H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{maj} and H\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{min}), 7.10 – 7.12 (1H\textsuperscript{maj}, m, H\textsuperscript{8}), 7.15 – 7.23 (3H\textsuperscript{maj} and 3H\textsuperscript{min}, m, H\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{maj} and H\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{min}), 7.30 (1H\textsuperscript{min}, d, J 6.8, H\textsuperscript{8}.

\[^{13}\text{C}\text{NMR}\] (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 17.3 (C\textsuperscript{10}\textsuperscript{min}), 17.8 (C\textsuperscript{10}\textsuperscript{maj}), 26.7 (C\textsuperscript{4}\textsuperscript{min}), 27.7 (C\textsuperscript{4}\textsuperscript{maj}), 26.0 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 28.9 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 29.5 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 29.6 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 29.7 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 29.9 (C\textsuperscript{14-16}), 44.7 (C\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{maj}), 45.5 (C\textsuperscript{13}\textsuperscript{min}), 45.6 (C\textsuperscript{13}\textsuperscript{maj}), 46.7 (C\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{min}), 49.1 (C\textsuperscript{9}\textsuperscript{maj}), 50.5 (C\textsuperscript{9}\textsuperscript{min}), 55.8 (C\textsuperscript{5}), 62.6 (C\textsuperscript{11}), 114.7 (C\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{min}), 114.9 (C\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{maj}), 115.5 (C\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{maj}), 120.1 (C\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{min}), 125.8 (C\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{maj}), 126.3 (C\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{min}), 126.7 (C\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{min}), 127.3 (C\textsuperscript{8}\textsuperscript{maj}), 127.6 (C\textsuperscript{8}\textsuperscript{min}), 128.5 (2 signals, C\textsuperscript{6}\textsuperscript{maj} and C\textsuperscript{7}\textsuperscript{maj}), 129.0 (C\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{min}). \textit{m/z} (APCI\textsuperscript{+}) 266.1 (100\%, (M-[NHCOC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}]+)), 393.1 (75\%, MH\textsuperscript{+}). \textit{IR} \textit{ν}_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1} 3300, 2925, 1641, 1511, 1451, 1241, 1217, 1039. \textit{HRMS} (ESI\textsuperscript{+}) found 415.23565 (MNa\textsuperscript{+}) calcd. for 415.23560 (C\textsubscript{25}H\textsubscript{32}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}Na\textsuperscript{+}, MNa\textsuperscript{+}).

\textbf{1-(N-Cyclohexylcarbonylamido ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, 14b}

To 1-(N-cyclohexylcarbonylamido ethyl)-2-(4′-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.115 g, 0.29 mmol, 1.0 eq) in acetonitrile:water (1:1, 5 mL) at 0 \textdegree C was added ceric ammonium nitrate (0.482 g, 0.88 mmol, 3.0 eq) in 5 mL acetonitrile:water (1:1, 5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 \textdegree C for 5 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted into ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL). The aqueous phase was diluted with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (20 mL) and further extracted into ethyl acetate (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}), concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (95:4.5:0.5 dichloromethane:methanol:ammonia) to give...
the product as a brown oil (0.041 g, 49%). The product was found to be unstable in solution and was not completely characterised.

\[ m/z \ (\text{APCI}^+) \ 160.1 \ (100\%, \ (\text{M} - \text{[NHCOC}_6\text{H}_{11}]^+) ), \ 287.1 \ (77\%, \ \text{MH}^+) \].  IR \ \nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1} \ 3285 \ (\text{br}), \ 2926, \ 1854, \ 1640, \ 1509, \ 1450, \ 1256, \ 1210, \ 1126. \ \text{HRMS (ESI+)} \ \text{found} \ 287.21185 \ (\text{MH}^+) \ \text{calcd.} \ \text{for} \ 287.21179 \ (\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}^+, \ \text{MH}^+).

**1-Methyl-2-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-1,2,3,6,7,11b-hexahydro-4H-pyrazino[2,1-a]isoquinolino-4-one, 6b**

![Chemical structure](image)

To 1-(N-cyclohexylcarbonylamido ethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.040 g, 0.14 mmol, 1.0 eq) in sodium hydroxide solution (50%, 0.7 mL) and dichloromethane (2 mL) was added chloroacetyl chloride (0.017 g, 0.012 mL, 0.15 mmol, 1.1 eq) and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for 45 min. Benzyltriethylammonium chloride (0.003 g, 0.014 mmol, 0.1 eq) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at reflux for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted into dichloromethane (2 × 10 mL). The aqueous phase was diluted with hydrochloric acid solution (5%, 10 mL) and further extracted into dichloromethane (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (3:1 ethyl acetate:hexane) to give the product as white solid (0.028 g, 61%).

**m.p.** 173 – 175 °C. **1H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.33 – 1.38 (2H, m, H¹⁵), 1.43 (3H, d, J 7.0, H¹⁰), 1.45 – 1.48 (2H, m, H¹⁵), 1.64 – 1.70 (4H, m, H¹⁶), 1.75 – 1.78 (2H, m, H¹⁷), 2.27 – 2.32 (1H, m, H¹⁴), 2.84 (1H, dt, J 16.1, 5.7, H⁴), 3.07 – 3.13 (1H, m, H⁴), 3.21 – 3.26 (1H, m, H³), 4.01 (1H, d, J 17.5, H¹¹), 4.21 (1H, d, J 17.5, H¹¹), 4.37 (1H, s, H¹), 4.50 (1H, dt, J 12.4, 6.1, H³), 5.55 (1H, apparent d, J 5.5, H⁹), 7.14 – 7.15 (1H, m, H⁵), 7.24 – 7.26 (1H, m, H⁷), 7.30 – 7.32 (1H, m, H⁸), 7.34 – 7.36 (1H, m, H⁸), 7.62 – 7.65 (1H, m, H⁸).
7.13 – 7.24 (2H, m, H^6 and H^7), 7.32 – 7.33 (1H, m, H^8). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ 16.5 (C^10), 25.8 (3 signals, C^15, C^16 and C^17), 27.9 (C^4), 28.8 (C^16 or C^17), 29.2 (C^16 or C^17), 41.0 (C^13), 42.0 (C^3), 43.1 (C^9), 45.5 (C^11), 60.3 (C^1), 123.6 (C^8), 126.9 (C^6 or C^7), 128.8 (C^6 or C^7), 129.0 (C^5), 135.6 (C^8a), 135.7 (C^4a), 164.9 (C^12), 174.8 (C^13). IR ν_{max}/cm^{-1} 2923, 2856, 1740, 1648, 1421, 1364, 1217. HRMS (ESI+) found 349.18874 (MNa^+) calcd. for 349.18865 (C_{20}H_{26}N_{2}O_{2}Na^+, MNa^+).
3. Selected NMR spectra

Figure S1. ^1H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of 10a in MeOD.

Figure S2. ^13C NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of 10a in MeOD.
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of 13a in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S4. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of 13a in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of 6a in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S6. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of 6a in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S7. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of 10b in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S8. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of 13b in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S9. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of 13b in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 6b in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S11. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of $6b$ in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S12. $^1$H-$^1$H COSY of $6b$ in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S13. $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY of 6b in CDCl₃.

Figure S14. $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC of 6b in CDCl₃.
Figure S15. $^1$H$-^{13}$C HSQC of 6b in CDCl$_3$. 
4. Enantioselective HPLC traces

Figure S16. Enantioselective HPLC trace of 10a (40:60:0.1 iPrOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.

Figure S17. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (R)-10a (40:60:0.1 iPrOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.
Figure S18. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (S)-10a (40:60:0.1 iPrOH:hexanes:Et$_3$N), monitoring at 254 nm.

Figure S19. Enantioselective HPLC trace of 13a (5:95:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et$_3$N), monitoring at 254 nm.
Figure S20. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (R)-13a (5:95:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.

Figure S21. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (S)-13a (5:95:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.
Figure S22. Enantioselective HPLC trace of 14a (10:90:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.

Figure S23. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (R)-14a (10:90:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.
Figure S24. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (S)-14a (10:90:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 254 nm.

Figure S25. Enantioselective HPLC trace of 6a (15:85:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 270 nm.
Figure S26. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (R)-6a (15:85:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et$_3$N), monitoring at 270 nm.

Figure S27. Enantioselective HPLC trace of (S)-6a (15:85:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et$_3$N), monitoring at 270 nm.
Figure S28. Enantioselective HPLC trace of 6b (15:85:0.1 EtOH:hexanes:Et₃N), monitoring at 270 nm.
5. Design of Experiment procedures

Design of experiments (DoE) is a statistical approach to modeling a response as a function of a number of factors, in order to discover the conditions for an optimal output of the experiment. The Box-Behnken design requires three levels of each of the factors to be defined, and allows an estimate of optimum factor values that is most efficient when they lie within the space defined by the ranges chosen in the design. In the present system it was decided that this could be fulfilled after ballpark conditions were first found through initial, single experiments. Equimolar amounts of amine 8 and (+)-dibenzoxyld-\(d\)-tartaric acid were used and concentrations giving sufficient (but not complete) crystallization were found. This allowed values for each level to be defined as given in Table S1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors Code</th>
<th>Time /h</th>
<th>Temp /°C</th>
<th>Solvent ratio</th>
<th>Conc /M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1. Factor levels and their codes used in the experimental design.

The design was generated in Matlab (Version R2011a, The Mathworks, USA) and consists of 27 experiments at designated values of each of the factors (Table S2). The center point was performed in triplicate to estimate repeatability of the measurements. The response was the yield of recovered amine or the enantiomeric excess. According to the experimental design, individual experiments (0.75 mmol amine and tartaric acid, with concentration being varied through varying the volume of solvent) were conducted in parallel, with start times staggered.
Table S2. Coded factor levels for the experiments in a Box-Behnken design for four factors. The center point is repeated in triplicate. See Table S1 for factors and experimental level values.

After the allotted time (factor A), any solvent was decanted from the reaction mixture and washed once with 5 mL isopropanol. The resultant crystals were left in the vials used for the experiment and dried in vacuo. The free amine was liberated by dissolving the crystals in saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (20 mL) and extracting into ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo, with the mass recorded and a yield calculated. Analysis of the liberated amine was performed by enantioselective HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H column, 0.5 mL flow rate, 0.5 mL injection volume, 60:40:0.1 hexanes:isopropanol:triethylamine) to give the enantiomeric excess.

The statistical model fitted to the responses is a full quadratic including interaction terms.

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 a + \beta_2 b + \beta_3 c + \beta_4 d + \\
\beta_5 ab + \beta_6 ac + \beta_7 ad + \beta_8 bc + \beta_9 bd + \beta_{10} cd + \\
\beta_{11} a^2 + \beta_{12} b^2 + \beta_{13} c^2 + \beta_{14} d^2
\]

where \( Y \) is the response variable, \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) are levels of the factors A, B, C, and D respectively, and \( b_0 \ldots b_{14} \) are coefficients of the model.
Once the model is obtained it is possible to find values of the factor levels that maximize the response variable. Figure S29 shows the yield as a heat map in the three dimensional space of the time, temperature and solvent ratio, at the three values of concentration of resolving agent.
Figure S29. Yield of product as a function of duration of experiment, temperature, and solvent ratio, at three concentrations: top: 0.05 M, middle: 0.1 M and bottom: 0.2 M. The color scale represents yield as a % of recovered amine, and the filled circles are the design points.

The interpretation of the figures is that increasing the concentration increases the yield, and at the highest concentration (0.2 M), the yield is greatest at the lowest temperature, lowest solvent ratio, and a middle time. The model shows significant interactions among the factors. At higher temperatures a greater solvent ratio is favored, while the optimum is at low temperature and low solvent ratio.

Figure S30 is a similar series of graphs for the enantiomeric excess.
Figure S30. Enantiomeric excess of product as a function of duration of experiment, temperature, and solvent ratio, at three concentrations: top: 0.05 M, middle: 0.1 M and bottom: 0.2 M. The color scale represents enantiomeric excess of the amine as a percentage and the filled circles are the design points.

Maximal values of ee are found in the middle range of concentration, with greater times and temperatures favored, with little effect of solvent ratio.

Though yield and ee were not found to be very compatible in terms of temperature and solvent ratio. While further optimization was possible, the best combination was taken (described in main paper) with enantioenrichment being provided by recrystallization.
6. Biological evaluation

**Determination of antischistosomal activity on adult *Schistosoma mansoni***

Adult schistosomes were collected from the hepatic portal system and mesenteric veins of female NMRI mice (n=5, obtained from Harlan Laboratories (Horst, the Netherlands)), seven weeks post subcutaneous infection with ~ 100 cercaria following standard procedures. The animal work was approved by the local veterinary agency (permit 2070). Schistosomes were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (supplemented with 5% inactivated foetal calf serum (iFCS) and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA)) at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ until usage.

The test compound was tested in triplicate at various concentrations ranging from 0.31-25.0 µg/mL (25.0, 8.33, 2.78, 0.93 and 0.31 µg/mL) using a DMSO stock solution (conc. 10 mg/mL) and repeated once. The stock solution was diluted in supplemented RPMI1640 medium within 24 flat bottom well plates (BD Falcon, USA) to obtain a final concentration of 25 µg/mL. The lower concentrations were obtained by using a threefold dilution series. Three worms of both sexes were placed into each well containing 2 mL drug and medium. Wells with the highest concentration of DMSO in medium served as controls. After an incubation time of 72 h phenotypes of schistosomes were monitored using the motility scale described by Ramirez *et al*, and an inverse microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany, magnification 80x). The IC₅₀ value was calculated using CompuSyn software (Version 3.0.1, 2007; ComboSyn, Inc) as described before.
7. References


