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Basics of the dilution method and thermodynamics of the transfer of cosurfactant from oil to the interface

For a quaternary water-in-oil microemulsion system composed of water/surfactant/cosurfactant/oil, the solubilization of water is governed by the distribution of cosurfactant molecules between oil and the interface at a fixed temperature. A small amount of cosurfactant may remain solubilized in the aqueous phase depending on its lipophilicity. A threshold amount of cosurfactant is required to stabilize a water-in-oil dispersion at a fixed molar ratio of water to surfactant ($\omega$). As a result, an appropriate distribution constant ($K_d$) is attained, and governs cosurfactant molecules distributed between the interfacial region (consisting of surfactant molecules) and the oleic phase at a fixed temperature. The stable w/o microemulsion gets disrupted when excess oil is added and the system splits up into two distinct phases. Again, a threshold amount of cosurfactant is necessary to restore the w/o microemulsion equilibrium. This process is repeatedly followed in the dilution experiment. The concentrations of cosurfactant at the interface and in the bulk oil phase were estimated to get the distribution constant ($K_d$) by the dilution experiments in the light of the physicochemical rationale elaborated by Zheng et al.\(^1\), Moulik et al.\(^2,3\), Paul et al.\(^4-6\), and Abuin et al.\(^7\) The total number of moles of the cosurfactant, $n_a$ present in the stable microemulsion is given by the relation,

$$n_a = n_a^i + n_a^w + n_a^o$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S1)}

where, $n_a^i$, $n_a^w$, $n_a^o$ are the number of moles of cosurfactant in the interfacial, water and oil phases respectively. Since the solubility of cosurfactant in the oil is constant at a given temperature, the constant $k_o$ can be written as

$$k_o = \frac{n_a^o}{n_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(S2)}
where \( n_o \) is the total number of moles of oil in the system. Combining Equations S1 and S2 we get

\[
n_a = n_a^i + n_a^w + k_o n_o \tag{S3}
\]

Since the moles of cosurfactant in the interface and in the dispersed phase (water) depend on the surfactant concentration, Equation S3 may be converted into a more convenient form by dividing throughout by total number of moles of surfactant, \( n_s \) to give

\[
\frac{n_a}{n_s} = \frac{n_a^i}{n_s} + k_o \frac{n_o}{n_s} \tag{S4}
\]

In our experiment, negligible water solubility of cosurfactant (Pn) leads to \( n_w \approx 0.5 \). Thus, above equation becomes,

\[
\frac{n_a}{n_s} = \frac{n_a^i}{n_s} + k_o \frac{n_o}{n_s} \tag{S5}
\]

A plot of \( n_a/n_s \) against \( n_o/n_s \) should yield the values of the slope (S) and the intercept (I). Slope (S) is actually \( k_o \) and \( n_a^i \) can be determined from Equation S2. On the other hand, \( n_a^i \) can be calculated from the intercept (I), which is equal to \( n_a^i/n_s \).

The partition of Pn between the continuous oil phase and the interface of the droplet can be expressed in terms of the distribution constant (\( K_d \)). \( K_d \) can be calculated from the ratio of mole fraction of Pn in the interfacial composition (\( X_{a,i} \)) to the mole fraction of Pn in the bulk oil phase (\( X_{a,o} \)),

\[
K_d = \frac{X_{a,i}}{X_{a,o}} = \frac{n_a^i/(n_a^i + n_s)}{n_a^o/(n_a^o + n_s)} = \frac{n_a^i}{n_a^o} \tag{S6}
\]

Dividing numerator and denominator by \( n_a^i n_a^o \), and putting the values of the slope (S) and the intercept (I) from Equation S5, we get
The standard Gibbs free energy change of transfer ($\Delta G_{o-i}^0$) of Pn from the continuous oil phase to
the interfacial region, between the water and oil, is obtained from the relation

$$\Delta G_{o-i}^0 = -RT \ln K_d = -RT \ln \frac{X'_a}{X_a^o} = -RT \ln \frac{I(1+S)}{S(1+1)}$$

The Gibbs-Helmholtz equation$^8$ was used to get the standard enthalpy of the said transfer process
of alkanol from oil to interface ($\Delta H_{o-i}^0$). Thus,

$$[\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial T}]_p = -\Delta H_{o-i}^0 / T^2$$

Using chain rule of differentiation on the left hand side of equation (S9),

$$[\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial T}]_p = [\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial (1/T)}]_p [\frac{d(1/T)}{dT}] = [\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial (1/T)}]_p (-1/T^2)$$

Substituting this value into eqn. S(9) we get,

$$[\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial (1/T)}]_p (-1/T^2) = -\Delta H_{o-i}^0 / T^2$$

Hence$^8$,

$$\Delta H_{o-i}^0 = [\frac{\partial (\Delta G_{o-i}^0/T)}{\partial (1/T)}]_p$$

Herein, the $\Delta G_{o-i}^0 / T$ vs. $1/T$ plots is nonlinear in nature in each case. Therefore, the points in
$\Delta G_{o-i}^0 / T$ vs. $1/T$ plots have been fitted in a $2^0$ polynomial equation as follows,

$$\Delta G_{o-i}^0 / T = A + B (1/T) + C (1/T)^2$$

Where, A, B and C are the polynomial coefficients.

The first derivation of equation (S13) produced the enthalpy ($\Delta H_{o-i}^0$)$^{2,3}$
\[ \Delta H_{o-i}^0 = B + 2C^2 \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (S14)

Consequently, the corresponding entropy change (\( \Delta S_{o-i}^0 \)) can be found by the following relation,

\[ \Delta S_{o-i}^0 = \left( \Delta H_{o-i}^0 - \Delta G_{o-i}^0 \right) / T \]  \hspace{1cm} (S15)

The evaluation of standard specific heat capacity change of transfer process, (-\( \Delta C_{p}^0 \))_{o-i}, follows from the relation,

\[ \left[ (\Delta C_{p}^0) \right]_{o-i} = \left[ \partial \Delta H_{o-i}^0 / \partial T \right]_p \]  \hspace{1cm} (S16)

The standard state herein considered is the hypothetical ideal state of the unit mole fraction.

[B]. Interfacial composition of water (or, IL)/Tween-20/Pn/Cy microemulsion in absence and presence of IL

The dilution method was employed for a nonionic surfactant, Tween-20-based w/o microemulsion system stabilized in Pn (cosurfactant) and Cy (oil) with varying IL content (= 0.0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 and 0.20 mol dm\(^{-3}\)) at a fixed \( \omega (= 30) \) and temperatures (293K→323K). From the data collected, graphs were constructed by plotting \( n_a/n_s \) against \( n_o/n_s \) according to Eq. (S5). Representative plots are illustrated in Figure S1. The plots were strikingly linear (average correlation of coefficients was 0.9965). From the Figure S1, the values of \( n_{ao} \) and \( n_{ai} \) were obtained from slopes (S) and intercepts (I), respectively and subsequently all the thermodynamic parameters \([K_d, \Delta G_{o-i}^0, \Delta H_{o-i}^0, \Delta S_{o-i}^0\) and \((\Delta C_{p}^0)_{o-i}]\) were evaluated according to Eqs. (S1-S16).\(^{2-6}\) The values of above physicochemical parameters are presented in Table S1.

In order to underline the influence of IL content on the interfacial composition of Tween-20-based w/o microemulsion systems stabilized in Pn and Cy under various physicochemical conditions (mentioned earlier), \( n_{a/o}/n_s \) values [i.e. compositional variations of amphiphiles (both
Tween-20 and Pn) at the interface] are plotted against [IL] (0.0→0.20 mol dm\(^{-3}\)) and respective plots are depicted in Figure 1 (inset A). It has been observed from Figure 1 (inset A) that \(n_a^i\) values gradually increase with increase in [IL] at all temperatures with some exceptions at low and high temperatures (293 and 323K). The increase in \(n_a^i\) values may be attributed to the salting out of the polar head group of Tween-20 in the aqueous phase (i.e., nano water pool), resulting in enhanced interfacial packing of Tween-20 and Pn. Small and polarizable anion (Br\(^-\)) of 1-butyl-3-propyl benzimidazolium bromide tends to promote the water structure and dehydrate the ether oxygen of polyoxyethylene type nonionic surfactant (Tween-20 having 20 POE chains). Consequently, hydrophilicity of the surfactant (due to salting-out effect) decreases.\(^9\) Recently, it was reported that POE chains of Tween-20 produce electrostatic interaction with imidazolium cation, and thereby, stimulate the rigidity of IL/oil interface.\(^{10,11}\) Further, delocalization of the charge as well as the charge shielding due to the presence of both benzene and imidazolium ring in IL contribute the factor that influences the effective binding of Pn with IL and Tween-20 at the droplet surface. With increasing [IL], delocalization of charge will be more. Hence, requirement of Tween-20 molecules decreases at the interface, and consequently, Pn population (\(n_a^i\)) increases.\(^{12}\) All these phenomena are responsible for observed increase in Pn population (\(n_a^i\)) at the interface with increase in [IL]. Similar results were also observed by Wang et al.\(^{13}\) for [bmim][BF\(_4\)]/Brij-35/1-butanol/toluene microemulsion with different \(m_{IL}/m_{H2O}\) values at different temperatures. On the other hand, \(n_a^i\) increases with increase in temperature in absence and presence of IL with some exceptions at higher [IL]. It can be explained on the basis of the interactions between the active constituents at the interfacial layer of the microemulsion (for example, hydrogen bonding interaction between IL-water, dipole-dipole or dipole-induced dipole interaction between Pn-Tween-20, and ion-dipole interaction between IL-Pn and IL-Tween-20)
(Scheme 1). With increase in temperature, all these interactions are diminished. Consequently, more Pn is accommodated at the interfacial layer, which imparts stability of the microemulsions. Similar behavior was also observed for both [C$_{12}$mim]Br/1-pentanol/octane/[bmim][BF$_4$] systems and CTAB/alkanol/toluene/ [bmim][BF$_4$] systems. No systematic trend as a function of IL content has been observed for n$_a$ values (Table S1) at the studied temperature range.

Figure S1. Plots of n$_a$/n$_s$ vs. n$_o$/n$_s$ according to Eq. (S4) for water/Tween-20/ pentanol /cyclohexane microemulsion system with different [IL] at $\omega = 30$ at constant temperature of 303K.
Table S1: Interfacial and bulk compositions of 1-pentanol, distribution constant (K_d) and thermodynamic parameters of its transfer from cyclohexane to the interface for w/o microemulsion containing 3.6 ml oil, 1 mmol of surfactant at constant $\omega$ (= 30) with varying [IL].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IL (mol dm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>$10^4 n_o$ (mol)</th>
<th>$10^3 n_o$ (mol)</th>
<th>K_d</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ_{o\rightarrow i}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ_{o\rightarrow i}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ_{o\rightarrow i}$ (JK$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$[\Delta C_p^\circ]_{o\rightarrow i}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>-32.58</td>
<td>-97.92</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>-25.43</td>
<td>-66.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>-18.04</td>
<td>-39.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>-10.41</td>
<td>-11.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>-11.70</td>
<td>-20.74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>-11.10</td>
<td>-17.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>-10.48</td>
<td>-11.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>-9.85</td>
<td>-9.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>-14.15</td>
<td>-30.46</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>-8.79</td>
<td>-10.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
<td>-10.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>28.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>-7.64</td>
<td>-8.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>-11.34</td>
<td>-15.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>-15.16</td>
<td>-26.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>-8.89</td>
<td>-8.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>-13.34</td>
<td>-21.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S2. Plots of nonlinear dependence of \((\Delta G^0_{o→i} / T)\) on \((1/T)\) in terms of a two degree polynomial equation for water/Tween-20/pentanol/cyclohexane microemulsion system in absence of IL at \(ω = 30\) with varied temperature \((293K → 323K)\).
**Figure S3.** A representative plot for the variation of conductance as a function of IL content for water/Tween-20 /pentanol/cyclohexane microemulsion system at $\omega (= 30)$ and 303K. Inset A: Result of blank experiment (same concentration of IL in water). Inset B and C: Hydrodynamic diameter ($D_h$) (B) and Count Rate (C) of the microemulsion droplets for the same w/o systems with increasing IL content at identical condition.
Figure S4: Representative FTIR spectra of O-H band for water/Tween-20/pentanol/cyclohexane microemulsion system at constant $\omega$ (= 30) and fixed temperature (303K). (A) In absence of IL and (B) in presence of [IL] = 0.20 mol dm$^{-3}$. Specification: Experimental spectra (black curve), overall fitted curve (red) and deconvoluted curves (1: bulk water; 2: bound water).

Figure S5. The variation of Gaussian profiles (area fraction) of the normalized spectra of different water species in water/Tween-20/pentanol/cyclohexane as a function of IL content.
Table S2. Heck coupling reaction in water (IL)/Tween-20/Pn/Cy microemulsion medium in presence of different bases at constant $\omega$ (= 30) and temperature (323K) ([IL] = 0.05 mol dm$^{-3}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base used</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>1 hr.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NEt$_3$</td>
<td>1 hr.</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1 hr.</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme S1
**Figure S6.** UV-Vis spectra of the water/Tween-20/Pn/Cy microemulsion system with the progress of reaction in presence of IL (= 0.05 mol dm$^{-3}$). Inset A: UV-Vis spectra of individual component.
Figure S7. Plots of sample absorbance (measured at 320 nm) vs. volume of pentanol (Pn) for water/Tween-20/pentanol/cyclohexane microemulsion system at $\omega = 30$ and constant temperature of 303K.
[C]. Spectral analysis ($^1$H-NMR and $^{13}$C-NMR)

1-Butyl-3-propylbenzimidazolium Bromide:

$^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz) $\delta$ 1.01-0.92 (m, 6H), 1.42-1.32 (m, 2H), 2.02-1.87 (m, 4H), 4.40-4.33 (m, 4H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 10.30 (s, 1H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz) $\delta$ 11.5, 14.2, 20.2, 24.5, 32.9, 50.5, 52.1, 123.3, 123.4, 137.3.

4-methyl butyl cinnamate:

$^1$H- NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300MHz) $\delta$ 0.96 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 1.44 (m, 2H), 1.68 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 4.20 (t, 2H, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 6.39 (d, 1H, $J = 16.2$ Hz), 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.42 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.66 (d, 2H, $J = 16.2$ Hz); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz) $\delta$ 13.8, 19.2, 21.4, 30.8, 64.3, 117.2, 128.1, 129.6, 131.8, 140.6, 144.6, 167.3.
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