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1. Experimental Details

Signal-photon Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometer (SPI-TOFMS). The variation of reactant gas concentration was monitored by SPI-TOFMS with a vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) laser ionization source. Reactant gas was ionized by 118 nm laser generated by focusing the third harmonic (355 nm, ~30 mJ per pulse) of a Nd:YAG laser in a tripling cell that contains about a 250 Torr argon/xenon (10/1) gas mixture. To separate the generated 118 nm laser beam from 355 nm fundamental beam, a magnesium fluoride prism (apex angle = 6°) is inserted to the laser beams. In this case, one is quite sure that the mass signal is by ionization purely through the VUV laser radiation with gentle power (~1 μJ per pulse, pulse duration ≈ 5 ns). The mass spectra of these three compounds obtained from the SPI-TOFMS are m/z = 44, 45, 59 and 74 for 2-butanol, m/z = 59 and 73 for 2-methyl-2-butanol and m/z = 43, 57 and 72 for 3-buten-2-ol. The fragmentation ions produced by SPI-TOFMS are fewer than that ionized by electron ionization, but the main fragmentation ions have the similar mass number as shown in NIST Chemistry WebBook.¹ For each compound, most of the peaks were recorded during the measurements, and the strongest one was used to investigate the kinetics. Profiting from the multichannel on-line detection of the SPI-TOFMS, the new peaks produced by gas-phase products can be observed.

Reactive Uptake Coefficients (γ). The heterogeneous kinetics can be quantified by the γ, which is defined as the probability that a gaseous molecule will be taken up irreversibly by the liquid. As an experiment just began, the movable injector was placed at its maximum position downstream. In this situation, the solution was
unexposed and the unperturbed concentration of reactant gas can be recorded as the original signal \( S_0 \). Then the injector was pulled upstream to expose the solution to the reactant gas. Reactive uptake was indicated by a constant offset between the original signal \( S_0 \) and the reactive uptake signal with time, \( S \). The observed first-order rate constant for removal of the reactant gas from gas-phase, \( k_{\text{obs}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) can be calculated from equation 1,

\[
\ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) = -k_{\text{obs}} \frac{L}{v_{\text{ave}}} \tag{1}
\]

where \( L \) (cm) is the contact distance of the reactant gas and the solution, and \( v_{\text{ave}} \) (cm s\(^{-1}\)) is the average gas flow velocity of the reactant gas. \( k_{\text{obs}} \) can be determined more accurately by placing the injector at various positions in the reactor to change the contact distance. Figure S1a depicts the loss of 2-butanol signal as a function of injector position. The rate constant for removal of the reactant gas, \( k_{\text{gas-liquid}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)), can be determined by correcting \( k_{\text{obs}} \) for diffusion:

\[
\frac{1}{k_{\text{gas-liquid}}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{obs}}} - \frac{1}{k_{\text{diff}}} \quad (k_{\text{diff}} = \frac{3.66D_i}{r^2}) \tag{2}
\]

where \( r \) (cm) is the inner radius of the rotating cylinder, \( D_i \) (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) is the diffusion coefficient which can be calculated from the Huller-Schettler-Gidding method,\(^5\) and \( k_{\text{diff}} \) is the diffusion-limited rate (s\(^{-1}\)). Fuller, Schettler and Giddings have developed a correlation equation based on special atomic diffusion volumes.\(^5\) The equation is shown in equation 3,

\[
D_{\text{diff}} = \frac{10^{-7}T^{1.75}(1/M_A + 1/M_B)^{1/2} \times 760}{\sqrt[3]{p \left( \sum_a v_a \right)^{1/3} + \left( \sum_b v_b \right)^{1/3} \right]^2} \tag{3}
\]
where $D_{AB}$ are the binary diffusion coefficients (Torr cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $T$ is the temperature (K), $M_A$, $M_B$ are the molecular weight (g mol$^{-1}$), $p$ is the pressure (Torr), and the $v_i$ are the atomic diffusion volumes (cm$^3$). The diffusion coefficients for aliphatic alcohols in the He-H$_2$O vapor mixture can be estimated from the binary diffusion coefficients for aliphatic alcohols in helium and in water vapor:

$$\frac{1}{D_i} = \left[ \left( \frac{y_2}{D_{12}} \right) + \left( \frac{y_3}{D_{13}} \right) \right] p T$$

(4)

where $D_i$ is the diffusion coefficients (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) at $p_T$, $y_i$ is the mole fractions, $D_{AB}$ is the binary diffusion coefficients (Torr cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), and $p_T$ is the total pressure (Torr). It has been suggested that the correction of diffusion mentioned in this work would be applicable when the precondition, $k_{obs}$<\$k_{diff}$/2, can be achieved. All of our experimental data listed in Table S1 are fitted for this precondition. The percentage of $k_{obs}$ to $k_{gas-liquid}$ is calculated to evaluate the contribution of diffusion to $k_{gas-liquid}$ in this study, the value of $k_{obs}$/$k_{gas-liquid}$ is in the range of 0.5 to 1 in all experiments. Peclet number ($P_e$) is also calculated to consider the influence of axial diffusion. The value of $P_e$ (Table S1) is greater than ten for all experiments. In this situation, the flow velocity is much bigger than the axial diffusion and 3.66 can be used for the calculation of $k_{diff}$. Finally, the $\gamma$ can be acquired from equation 5,

$$\gamma = \frac{4k_{gas-liquid}V}{\omega A}$$

(5)

where $\omega$ (m s$^{-1}$) is the mean molecular speed of reactant gas, $V$ (cm$^3$) is the volume of the reaction zone, and $A$ (cm$^2$) is the geometric area of the exposed solution.

**GC-MS Analysis.** The chemical composition of the extracts was analyzed by Shimadzu GC-MS (model QP2010) according to the following parameters: column
HP-5 MS (internal diameter 0.25 mm, length 30 m, film thickness 0.25 μm), injection volume 1μL, inlet temperature 523K, detector temperature 473K. The column temperature was holding at 323K or 333K for 10 min. The reaction products were identified by comparing the mass spectra with those from NIST-2008 MS library software.

**ESI-MS Analysis.** The organic-phase extract was dissolved with a certain amount of methanol (CH₃OH) before ESI-MS analysis. Given a certain loss of products in the water-phase and the dilution process before analysis, high concentration of H₂O₂ (300mM) solutions were used during the aqueous-phase reactions to ensure the detection of products. The organosulfates formed by the aqueous-phase reactions were analyzed by Shimadzu ESI-MS (model LCMS-2010) according to following parameters: ESI nozzle voltage +1.6kV, injection volume 20μL, elution (H₂O:CH₃OH=50%:50%) flow rate 0.1mL/min, capillary temperature 523K.

**Chemicals.** 2-butanol (99%, Alfa Aesar), 3-buten-2-ol (97%, Alfa Aesar), 2-methyl-2-butanol (98%, Alfa Aesar), tert-amyl hydroperoxide (85%, Lanzhou Auxiliary Agent Plant), di-tert amyl peroxide (95%, Lanzhou Auxiliary Agent Plant), tert-butyl alcohol (>99%, TCI), tert-butyl hydroperoxide (70%wt% aqueous solution, Alfa Aesar), di-tert-butyl peroxide(>94%, TCI), dichloromethane (99.9%, J&K), H₂SO₄ (96 wt%, Beijing Chemical Reagents Co.), H₂O₂ (35 wt% aqueous solution, Alfa Aesar) and H₂¹⁸O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, 2% in H₂O, 90 atom % ¹⁸O) were used as purchased. The reactant gas was prepared by injecting a compound into an evacuated 15 L glass flask to 3.8 Torr and pressurizing with helium to 1 atm. Reactant solution
were prepared by mixing deionized water (with resistivity of 18 MΩ cm) with H₂SO₄
and H₂O₂. The H₂SO₄ solution composition was checked before and after each
experiment by titration with known NaOH solution and found to vary by less than
0.5wt%. The H₂SO₄ solution was replaced after each uptake experiment.

2. Zaitsev's Rule

According to the Zaitsev's rule, the alkene formed in greatest amount is the one that
corresponds to removal of the hydrogen from the β-carbon having the fewest
hydrogen substituents in elimination reactions. Thus, the formation of (E)-2-butene is
reasonable because it has more substituents and tends to be more thermodynamically
stable compared to other possible product such as 1-butene. Moreover, it has weaker
steric hindrance compared to another possible product (Z)-2-butene.
Table S1. Summary of the experimental conditions, diffusion coefficients $D_i$ used, calculated diffusion-limited rate $k_{diff}$, observed first-order rate constant $k_{obs}$, calculated Peclet number and reactive uptake coefficients ($\gamma$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Reactant</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (wt %)</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (wt %)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (STP cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$P_T$ (Torr)</th>
<th>$D_i$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{diff}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{obs}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Peclet number</th>
<th>$\gamma$ ($\times 10^{-4}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-butanol</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.224</td>
<td>31.478</td>
<td>1.114</td>
<td>16.404</td>
<td>0.98±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12.631</td>
<td>30.066</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>16.821</td>
<td>0.82±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>12.967</td>
<td>30.866</td>
<td>2.167</td>
<td>16.613</td>
<td>1.98±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.416</td>
<td>31.935</td>
<td>2.338</td>
<td>16.398</td>
<td>2.01±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-2-butanol</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butanol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>9.075</td>
<td>21.602</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>16.674</td>
<td>0.27±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>8.063</td>
<td>19.194</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>19.333</td>
<td>0.51±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>7.832</td>
<td>18.470</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>19.415</td>
<td>2.21±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>7.311</td>
<td>17.402</td>
<td>4.667</td>
<td>19.643</td>
<td>5.89±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-buten-2-ol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>8.445</td>
<td>20.101</td>
<td>2.737</td>
<td>17.245</td>
<td>2.93±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>7.972</td>
<td>19.047</td>
<td>4.614</td>
<td>17.849</td>
<td>5.65±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>10.557</td>
<td>25.129</td>
<td>11.120</td>
<td>18.716</td>
<td>18.43±1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>9.138</td>
<td>21.752</td>
<td>8.347</td>
<td>16.165</td>
<td>12.52±0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.341</td>
<td>29.377</td>
<td>10.894</td>
<td>15.234</td>
<td>16.00±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.356</td>
<td>36.553</td>
<td>17.083</td>
<td>16.160</td>
<td>29.63±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>35.039</td>
<td>83.405</td>
<td>38.628</td>
<td>15.005</td>
<td>66.49±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-buten-2-ol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>10.969</td>
<td>26.109</td>
<td>1.794</td>
<td>16.442</td>
<td>1.61±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.978</td>
<td>30.089</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>15.421</td>
<td>1.10±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>13.731</td>
<td>32.685</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>13.775</td>
<td>1.28±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13.721</td>
<td>32.660</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>13.619</td>
<td>1.57±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.978</td>
<td>30.893</td>
<td>7.023</td>
<td>15.421</td>
<td>7.60±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>13.547</td>
<td>32.246</td>
<td>2.445</td>
<td>14.135</td>
<td>2.21±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.585</td>
<td>29.957</td>
<td>2.790</td>
<td>15.215</td>
<td>2.57±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>13.575</td>
<td>32.313</td>
<td>4.100</td>
<td>14.193</td>
<td>3.93±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220He</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.628</td>
<td>37.199</td>
<td>13.216</td>
<td>13.748</td>
<td>17.13±0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

/ represents no obvious uptake.

*The data is the average of at least three repetitious measurements.

†Each value is the average of at least three measurements, and the error corresponds to one standard deviation ($\sigma$).
Figure S1. (a) 2-butanol signal loss as a function of exposed distance for 60wt%H₂SO₄-1wt%H₂O₂ (▼), 60wt%H₂SO₄ (■), 70wt%H₂SO₄ (●) and 70wt%H₂SO₄-1wt%H₂O₂ (▲) solution. (b) Real-time variation of all ion peaks during the uptake of 2-butanol into 70 wt% H₂SO₄ solution. The insert plate is the real-time mass spectrum at the time marked by an long arrow.
Figure S2. IR spectrum of (a) (E)-2-butene copied from NIST Chemistry WebBook; (b) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of 2-butanol and 70wt%H_{2}SO_{4} solution; (c) NIST 2-methyl-2-butene copied from NIST Chemistry WebBook; (d) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of 2-methyl-2-butanol and 70wt%H_{2}SO_{4} solution; (e) 1,3-butadiene copied from NIST Chemistry WebBook; (f) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of 3-buten-2-ol and 70wt%H_{2}SO_{4} solution. A series of weak peaks (1300cm^{-1}-1700cm^{-1} and 3500cm^{-1}-3900cm^{-1}) in (f) are attributed to the water vapor interference.
Figure S3. ESI-MS spectra (in the negative mode) of extracted organic-phase from aqueous-phase reactions between (a) 2-butanol and H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH=1) solution; (b) 2-methyl-2-butanol and H$_2$SO (pH=1) solution; (c)2-methyl-2butanol and H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH=1)-H$_2$O$_2$ (300mM) mixed solution; (d) TAHP and H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH=1) solution; (e) 3-buten-2-ol and H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH=1) solution; (f) 3-buten-2-ol and H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH=1)-H$_2$O$_2$ (300mM) mixed solution; (g) tert-amyl sulfate and H$_2^{18}$O$_2$ (2wt%) solution; (h) 2-methyl-2-butanol and H$_2$SO$_4$(pH=1)-H$_2^{18}$O$_2$ (1wt%) mixed solution.
**Figure S4.** IR spectrum of (a) 2-methyl-2-butanol; (b) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of 2-methyl-2-butanol and 70wt%H₂SO₄-1wt%H₂O₂ mixed solution; (c) THAP; (d) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of TAHP and 70wt%H₂SO₄ solution; (e) 3-buten-2-ol; (f) gas-phase products formed during heterogeneous reaction of 3-buten-2-ol and 70wt%H₂SO₄-1wt%H₂O₂ mixed solution.
Figure S5. The gas chromatogram of the extracted organic-phase from aqueous-phase reactions between (a) 2-methyl-2-butanol and H₂SO₄(pH=1)-H₂O₂(300mM) mixed solution; (b) 2-methyl-2-butanol and H₂SO₄(pH=1)-H₂O₂(10mM) mixed solution. The column temperature were set at 333K and 323K for (a) and (b), respectively. The peaks 1-6 in (a) are attributed to butane, acetone, dichloromethane, 2-methyl-2-butanol, tert-amy hydroperoxide (TAHP) and di-tert-amy peroxide (DTAP), respectively.
Figure S6. Proposed mechanism for (a) the pyrolysis of DTAP at 523K (adapted form reference 8); (b) the acid-catalyzed rearrangement of 1,1-dimethylallyl hydroperoxide (formed during the heterogeneous reaction of MBO and H$_2$SO$_4$-H$_2$O$_2$ mixed solution); (c) the degradation pathway of methylallyl hydroperoxide in H$_2$SO$_4$ solution. The dashed arrow in (c) means a possible route for the formation of methylallyl hydrogen peroxysulfate.
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