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Figure S1 FESEM image of (a) as-synthesized organic cores as a reference made by hydrothermal treatment of an ascorbic acid solution (0.15 mol.L⁻¹, pH 1, 12 h at 250 °C). Even at low tension (1 kV), charge effects are visible, due to the low conductivity of the organic core before calcination; (b) after calcination (7h, 600 °C under argon). The apparatus tension was lowered from 5 kV to 1 kV to register picture (a) before calcination because of charge accumulation in the non-conductive sample.
Figure S2 UV-visible-near IR absorbance spectra of the C-MoO$_2$ particles (black) compared to bare 30 nm MoO$_2$ nanoparticles (grey). The noise at ca. 900 nm corresponds to a change in the UV-vis. lamp. The absorbance was calculated from the original reflectance and wavelength coordinates of the spectra and transformed to Kubelka-Munk function F(R) versus photon energy, where F(R) is considered as the absorbance.
**Figure S3** XRD monitoring of MoO$_2$ crystallization during the carbon-MoO$_2$ core-shell formation. The reaction is stopped after 1 h (a1 and a2), 3 h (b), 6 or 12 h (c) of hydrothermal treatment. The difference between the two 1h-samples is due to the fact that for such short times, it is difficult to master the hydrothermal treatment duration. 6 h and 12 h samples present the same XRD profile. a star (*) indicates the peaks belonging to monoclinic MoO$_2$ according to the reference pattern (ICDD 04-003-1961. The most intense peaks are indexed.
Figure S4 Fourier-Transform Infrared spectra recorded in ATR (Attenuated Total Reflectance) mode, for different materials: (a) the reference core (ascorbic acid at pH 1, hydrothermally treated at 250°C for 12 hours). (b) Reference MoO₂ nanoparticles without the organic core, made by using carbon-free hydrazine reductant. (c) The as-synthesized carbon-MoO₂ core-shell product. (d) The calcined carbon-MoO₂ core-shell product.
**Figure S5** FESEM images of the C-MoO$_2$ sample (a) before and (b) after SPS (Spark Plasma Sintering) treatment.
**Figure S6** (a) XRD patterns of the core-shell assemblies before (dotted line) and after (solid line) calcination. The patterns can be fully indexed to the MoO$_2$ monoclinic structure. (b) and (c) FESEM images of the core shell structures before and after calcination, respectively.