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Supporting Information
I. Synthesis and structure characterization

Reagents and materials: All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar and used as received without further purification unless stated otherwise.

Synthesis of Co$_3$(HCOO)$_6$: A mixture of Cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate (1.309g, 4.4 mmol) and formic acid (1mL, 25.7mmol) in DMF (10 mL) in a glass vial was heated at 100 °C for 24 hours and then cooled to room temperature. The resultant pink powder of was filtered, rinsed with DMF (10 mL) and dried under air.

Same procedure was employed in the syntheses of other M$_3$(HCOO)$_6$ (M=Ni, Mn) compounds.

Instrument and method: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded on a Rigaku D/M-2200T automated diffractometer (Ultima+) using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). Graphite monochromator was used and the generator power settings were at 44 kV and 40 mA. Data were collected between a $2\theta$ of 3-50° with a step size of 0.02° at a scanning speed of 3.0 deg/min.
Figure S1. PXRD patterns of (a) Co$_3$(HCOO)$_6$, (b) Ni$_3$(HCOO)$_6$, (c) Mn$_3$(HCOO)$_6$. From bottom to top: simulated (black), as made (red), after gas sorption experiments (blue).

II. Thermal stability

Instrument and method: Thermogravimetric data were collected on a TA Q50 Analyzer with a temperature ramping rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature to 600 °C under nitrogen gas flow.
Figure S2. Thermogravimetric analysis of freshly prepared samples. (a) Co$_3$(HCOO)$_6$, (b) Ni$_3$(HCOO)$_6$, (c) Mn$_3$(HCOO)$_6$. Showing a good agreement between the observed weight loss of solvent DMF and the calculated value (14.03%, 14.01%, and 14.57% for Co, Ni, and Mn respectively).

III. Gas sorption experiments

Instrument and method: Gas sorption experiments at ambient temperatures were performed using a volumetric gas sorption analyzer (Autosorb-1 MP, Quantachrome Instruments). Measurements at cryogenic temperatures were carried out using a high-resolution Micromeritics 3Flex adsorption instrument. The 3Flex (Micromeritics) was equipped with high-vacuum system, three 0.1 Torr pressure transducers, and was coupled with a cryostat (Cold Edge Technologies) that maintains the cryogenic temperatures with a stability in the range of +/-0.001 K from the target temperature. Ultra high purity Xenon, Krypton, Ar and Ne (99.999%) were used. The initial outgassing process for each sample was carried out at 393K overnight (under vacuum). Outgassed samples in the amount of ~100mg were used for gas sorption measurements and the weight of each sample was recorded before and after outgassing to confirm the
removal of guest molecules. The outgassing procedure was repeated on the same sample between experiments for 2-4 hour. Xenon and Krypton sorption isotherms in M₃(HCOO)₆ (M = Ni and Mn) at different temperature are shown in Figure S3.

![Figure S3](image)

**Figure S3.** Adsorption and desorption isotherms of Xenon in Ni₃(HCOO)₆ (Top Left); Krypton in Ni₃(HCOO)₆ (Top Right); Xenon in Mn₃(HCOO)₆ (Bottom Left); Krypton in Mn₃(HCOO)₆ (Bottom Right).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table S1. Summary of xenon adsorption on M₃(HCOO)₆ (M = Co, Ni, Mn) series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V unit cell (Å³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of as made (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of solvent free (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (cc/g) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (w%) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (mmol/g) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (mmol/cm³) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (mole/uc) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (mole/seg) at 298K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (mole/seg) 288K, 1bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uptake (mole/seg) 278K, 1bar 0.89 N/A 0.78

**Table S2.** Summary of xenon/krypton selectivity in M₃(HCOO)₆ (M = Co, Ni, Mn) series at 298 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Co₃(HCOO)₆</th>
<th>Ni₃(HCOO)₆</th>
<th>Mn₃(HCOO)₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xe Uptake at 1bar, mmol/g</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr Uptake at 1bar, mmol/g</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry’s constant of Xe adsorption, mmol/g/bar</td>
<td>10.028</td>
<td>9.139</td>
<td>5.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry’s constant of Kr adsorption, mmol/g/bar</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of uptake</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe/Kr selectivity by the ratio of Henry’s constant</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. Henry’s constant fitting**

Low pressure range of the adsorption isotherm is nearly linear which corresponds to Henry’s law behavior. Thus Henry’s constant can be obtained from a linear fit to the initial part of the isotherm. In our case, the fitting is applied to the range where P < 0.1 bar to guarantee it is within Henry’s region.
Fitting of Kr adsorption isotherm in Co₃(HCOO)₆

\[ y = 0.8718x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9949 \]

Fitting of Ar adsorption isotherm in Co₃(HCOO)₆

\[ y = 0.1379x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9818 \]

Fitting of Xe adsorption isotherm in Ni₃(HCOO)₆

\[ y = 9.1389x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9966 \]
Fitting of Kr adsorption isotherm in Ni₃(HCOO)₆

\[ y = 1.1025x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9991 \]

Fitting of Xe adsorption isotherm in Mn₃(HCOO)₆

\[ y = 5.8649x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9985 \]
V. Ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) selectivity

The ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) developed by Myers and Praunitz\(^1\) assumes that the adsorbed mixture is an ideal solution at constant spreading pressure and temperature, where all the components in the mixture conform to the rule analogous to Raoult’s law, and the chemical potential of the adsorbed solution is considered equal to that of the gas phase at equilibrium.

From the IAST, the spreading pressure \(\pi\) is given by

\[ y = 0.7268x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9972 \]

\[ y = 0.2497x \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9973 \]
\[ \pi_i^0(p_i^0) = \frac{RT}{A} \int_0^{p_i^0} qd \ln p \]  
\[ \pi^* = \frac{\pi A}{RT} = \int_0^{p_i^*} \frac{q_i}{p} dp \]

Where \( A \) is the specific surface area of the adsorbent, \( \pi \) and \( \pi^* \) are the spreading pressure and the reduced spreading pressure, separately. \( p_i^0 \) is the gas pressure of component \( i \) that corresponding to the spreading pressure \( \pi \) of the gas mixture.

At a constant temperature, the spreading pressure of single component is the same:
\[ \pi_i^* = \pi_2^* = \ldots = \pi_n^* = \pi \]  
(3)

The relation between the mole fraction in the gaseous phase \( y_i \), and the mole fraction in the adsorbed phase \( x_i \) is described by Raoult’s law for ideal solutions,
\[ P \times y_i = p_i^0(x^*)x_i \]  
(4)

Where \( p_i^0 \) is the pressure of the single component \( i \) in its standard state which is fixed by the spreading pressure of the mixture according to Eq (3). Finally, the total number of moles adsorbed can be obtained from:
\[ \frac{1}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \frac{1}{q_i^0(p_i^0)} \]  
(5)

Where \( q_i^0(p_i^0) \) is the adsorbed amount from the pure component isotherms.

The selectivity of \( j \) over \( i \) is
\[ S_{ji} = \frac{p_j^0}{p_i^0} = \frac{Py_j/x_j}{Py_i/x_i} = \left( \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right) \left( \frac{y_j}{y_i} \right) \]  
(6)

**Dual Site Langmuir-Freundlich Model for Xe, Kr, and Ar Adsorption Isotherms**

The Dual site Langmuir-Freundlich (DSLF) model can be expressed as follows:
\[ N = N_1^{\text{max}} \times \frac{b_1 p_i^{1/n_i}}{1 + b_1 p_i^{1/n_i}} + N_2^{\text{max}} \times \frac{b_2 p_i^{1/n_2}}{1 + b_2 p_i^{1/n_2}} \]  
(7)

Here, \( p \) is the pressure of the bulk gas at equilibrium with the adsorbed phase (kPa), \( N \) is the adsorbed amount per mass of adsorbent (mol/kg), \( N_i^{\text{max}} \) and \( N_j^{\text{max}} \) are the
saturation capacities of sites 1 and 2 (mol/kg), $b_1$ and $b_2$ are the affinity coefficients of sites 1 and 2 (1/kPa), and $n_1$ and $n_2$ represent the deviations from an ideal homogeneous surface. The single-component Xe and Kr adsorption isotherms have been fit to enable the application of IAST in simulating the performance of 1' under a mixed component gas. The fitting parameters of DSLF equation as well as the correlation coefficients ($R^2$) are listed in Table S3. The experimental and fitted isotherm for Xe and Kr at 298 K are depicted in Figure S5.

**Table S3.** Equation parameters for the DSLF isotherm model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbates</th>
<th>$N_1^{\text{max}}$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>$b_1$ (kPa)$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$N_2^{\text{max}}$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>$b_2$ (kPa)$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00036</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. Isosteric heats of adsorption ($Q_{st}$) of noble gases in $\text{Co}_3(\text{HCOO})_6$ measured at cryogenic temperatures

In order to further understand the interactions between atoms of noble gases and the framework, we measured adsorption isotherms of Xe, Kr, and Ar at three temperatures (180, 190, 200 K) and Ne also at three temperatures but in a lower range (80, 90, 100 K) to calculate the isosteric heats of adsorption $Q_{st}$ of these noble gases. The isosteric heats of adsorption ($Q_{st}$) can be obtained by using the virial equation.\(^3\)

\[ \ln(p) = \ln(v) + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i v^i + \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j v^j \]  

where $v$, $p$, and $T$ are amount adsorbed, pressure, and temperature, respectively. Fitting equation (6) to adsorption data measured at different temperatures gives a set of temperature independent parameters $a_i$ and $b_i$ which are used in direct evaluation of $Q_{st}$

\[ Q_{st} = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i v^i \]  

(9)

Where $R$ is the universal gas constant.

Experimental adsorption isotherms of Xe, Kr, and Ar measured on $\text{Co}_3(\text{HCOO})_6$ sample and the goodness of fit by curves fitted by equation (6) are shown in Figures S6 – S8.
**Figure S6.** Xenon adsorption isotherms measured at 180, 190 and 200 K on Co$_3$(HCOO)$_6$ and their fit by equation 8.

**Figure S7.** Krypton adsorption isotherms on Co$_3$(HCOO)$_6$ and their fit by equation 8.
Figure S8. Argon adsorption isotherms on Co₃(HCOO)₆ and their fit by equation 8.

Table S4. Empirical parameters from fitting of virial equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Xe</th>
<th>Kr</th>
<th>Ar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a0</td>
<td>-3.26E+03</td>
<td>-2.56E+03</td>
<td>-2.00E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a1</td>
<td>-1.36E+02</td>
<td>-2.15E+02</td>
<td>4.43E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a2</td>
<td>-5.39E+02</td>
<td>2.85E+02</td>
<td>-1.62E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a3</td>
<td>1.46E+03</td>
<td>-2.34E+02</td>
<td>1.96E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a4</td>
<td>-1.13E+03</td>
<td>7.79E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a5</td>
<td>2.87E+02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Breakthrough experiments

In a typical breakthrough experiment, ~500 mg of MOF sorbent was gently ground and packed into a quartz column (5.8 mm I.D. × 150 mm) with silica wool filling the void space. The sorbent was in situ activated in the column with a helium flow (10 mL min⁻¹) at 150 °C for 2 h before the temperature of the column was decreased to 25 °C. The flow of He was then turned off while a gas mixture of Kr/Xe (90:10, v/v) at 10.0 mL
min⁻¹ was sent into the column. The effluent from the column was monitored using a mass spectrometer (MS). The dead time was determined using the same column after adsorption saturation. Breakthrough times were calculated by subtracting the dead time from the observed breakthrough time (Figure S9).

**Figure S9.** Column breakthrough experiment for Xe/Kr:10/90 binary gas system at 298 K and 1 bar in Co₃(HCOO)₆. (Black): Kr and (Red): Xe.
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