A bola-type supra-amphiphile constructed from a water-soluble pillar[5]arene and a rod-coil molecule for dual fluorescent sensing
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1. Materials and methods

Hydroquinone, 1,10-dibromodecane, K₂CO₃, CH₃CN, I₂, HIO₃, H₂SO₄, CH₃COOH, 4-biphenylboronic acid, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0), and 1-methylimidazole were reagent grade and used as received. Solvents were either employed as purchased or dried according to procedures described in the literatures. Water-soluble pillar[5]arene WP5 was prepared according to the literature.¹¹H NMR spectra were collected on a Varian Unity INOVA-400 spectrometer (Bruker) with internal standard TMS. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity INOVA-400 spectrometry at 100 MHz. Mass spectra were obtained on a Bruker Esquire 3000 plus mass spectrometer (Bruker-Franzen Analytik GmbH Bremen, Germany) equipped with an ESI interface and an ion trap analyzer. HRMS were obtained on a Bruker 7-Tesla FT-ICRMS equipped with an electrospray source (Billerica, MA, USA). The melting points were collected on a SHPSIC WRS-2 automatic melting point apparatus. The TEM images were obtained using a HITACHI instrument with an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was carried out on a Malvern Nanosizer S instrument at room temperature. The fluorescence titration experiments were conducted on a RF-5301 spectrofluorophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). Surface tension experiments were performed on a ZL-10 automatic surface tensiometer.
2. Syntheses of rod-coil molecule 1 and model compound 2

2.1 Synthesis of rod-coil molecule 1

Scheme S1. Synthetic route to rod-coil molecule 1

Scheme S1 shows the synthetic route to rod-coil molecule 1. The process involves the reaction of hydroquinone with bromine and potassium carbonate, followed by the introduction of iodine, hydrogen peroxide, and sulfuric acid. This is then followed by the addition of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium(0) to introduce the boronic acid. Finally, reflux conditions lead to the formation of compound 1.
2.1.1 Synthesis of compound $A^{S2}$

Scheme S2. Synthetic route to compound $A$

A solution of hydroquinone (11.0 g, 100 mmol) and 1,10-dibromodecane (120 g, 400 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (250 mL) was made. Under vigorous stirring, $K_2CO_3$ (46.4 g, 400 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 12 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. After removal of the inorganic salt, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/dichloromethane, v/v 10:1) to give compound $A$ as a white solid (46.7 g, 85%). The $^1$H NMR spectrum of $A$ is shown in Fig. S1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) δ (ppm): 7.02 (s, 4H), 4.05 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 4H), 3.44 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 4H), 1.88–1.81 (m, 4H), 1.78–1.75 (m, 4H), 1.50 (s, 4H), 1.35–1.28 (m, 20H).
Fig. S1. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 Hz, CDCl$_3$, rt) of A.

2.1.2 Synthesis of compound B

Scheme S3. Synthetic route to compound B
Compound A (19.8 g, 36.2 mmol), I₂ (8.30 g, 32.6 mmol), and HIO₃ (3.82 g, 21.7 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of H₂SO₄ (30%, 10.8 mL), CCl₄ (15 mL) and CH₃COOH (50 mL). The above mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes and then stirred at 75 °C for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was cooling to 0 °C to give white precipitate. The solvent was filtrated to provide a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether/dichloromethane, 100:1) to afford a white solid (17.2 g, 61%). Mp: 53.2–54.3 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of B is shown in Fig. S2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, rt) δ (ppm): 7.17 (s, 2H), 3.92 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 3.41 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 1.86–1.78 (m, 8H), 1.44–1.37 (m, 8H), 1.32 (s, 16H). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of B is shown in Fig. S3. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, rt) δ (ppm): 152.8, 122.7, 86.3, 70.3, 34.1, 32.9, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.8, 28.2, 26.0. LRESIMS is shown in Fig. S4: m/z 798.2 [B⁺]. HRESIMS: m/z calcd for [B + Na]⁺ C₂₆H₄₂Br₂I₂NaO₂, 820.9539, found 820.9542; error 0.3 ppm.

![Fig. S2. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃, rt) of B.](image-url)
**Fig. S3.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) of B.

**Fig. S4.** Electrospray ionization mass spectrum of B. Assignment of the main peak: $m/z$ 798.2 [B]$^+$. 
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2.1.3. Synthesis of compound C

Scheme S4. Synthetic route to compound C

A mixture of B (8.00 g, 10.0 mmol), 4-biphenylboronic acid (8.00 g, 40.0 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.115 g, 0.100 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) was stirred in a 100 mL round-bottom flask at 100 ºC for 24 hours. After cooling, the inorganic salt was removed, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/dichloromethane, v/v 10:1) to give compound C as a white solid (6.7 g, 79%). Mp: 120.3–121.5 ºC. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of C is shown in Fig. S5. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) δ (ppm): 7.82–7.80 (m, 4H), 7.620 (s, 2H), 7.52–7.41 (m, 14H), 4.14 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 4H), 3.44 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 4H), 1.89–1.84 (m, 8H), 1.54–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.39–1.32 (m, 20H). The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of C is shown in Fig. S6. $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) δ (ppm): 153.50, 140.39, 138.49, 130.49, 130.00, 128.92, 128.34, 127.29, 117.44, 70.34, 33.04, 31.49, 30.59, 28.95, 28.71, 26.49. LRESIMS is shown in Fig. S7: $m/z$ 873.4 [C + Na]$^+$. HRESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for [C + Na]$^+$ C$_{50}$H$_{60}$Br$_2$NaO$_2$, 873.2858, found 873.2844; error –0.9 ppm.
Fig. S5. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) of C.

Fig. S6. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100MHz, CDCl$_3$, rt) of C.
Fig. S7. Electrospray ionization mass spectrum of compound C. Assignment of the main peak: m/z 873.4 [C + Na]⁺.

2.1.4 Synthesis of rod-coil molecule 1

Scheme S5. Synthetic route to rod-coil molecule 1

A mixture of C (1.74 g, 2.00 mmol) and N-methylimidazole (1.64 g, 20.0 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) was stirred in a 100 mL round-bottom flask at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed and the residue was recrystallized from ethanol/diethyl ether (1:2) to give a white solid (1.66 g, 82%). Mp: 198.3–199.1 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 1 is shown in Fig. S8. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, rt) δ (ppm): 9.01 (s, 2H), 7.73–7.52 (m, 16H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.11 (s, 2H), 4.10 (t, J = 6.4Hz, 4H), 4.01 (t, J = 6.4Hz, 4H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 1.71–1.61 (m, 8H), 1.35 (s, 4H), 1.21 (s, 20H). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 is shown in Fig. S9. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆, rt) δ (ppm): 149.87, 139.95, 138.75, 137.05, 136.53, 128.69, 127.14, 126.37, 125.95, 123.44, 122.10, 115.48, 68.74,
48.77, 39.50, 39.08, 38.66, 35.48, 25.52, 25.43. LRESIMS is shown in Fig. S10: $m/z$ 428.2 $[\text{1} - 2\text{Br}]^{2+}$.

HRESIMS: $m/z$ calcd for $[\text{1} - 2\text{Br}]^{2+}$ C$_{58}$H$_{72}$N$_4$O$_2$, 428.2822, found 428.2822; error 0 ppm.

**Fig. S8.** $^1$H NMR spectrum (400MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, rt) of 1.
**Fig. S9.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, rt) of 1.
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**Fig. S10.** Electrospray ionization mass spectrum of 1. Assignment of the main peak: $m/z$ 428.2 [$1 - 2\text{Br}]^{2+}$. 
2.2 Synthesis of model compound 2

Scheme S6. Synthetic route to compound 2

A mixture of 1-bromododecane (24.9 g, 100 mmol) and N-methylimidazole (1.64 g, 20.0 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) was stirred in a 100 mL round-bottom flask at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed and the residue was recrystallized from ethanol/diethyl ether (1:2) to give a white solid (3.0 g, 88%). Mp: 38.7–39.4 °C. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 2 is shown in Fig. S11. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, D$_2$O, rt) δ (ppm): 7.60 (s, 2H), 7.37 (s, 2H), 4.30 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 2H), 1.37–1.27 (m, 18H), 0.86 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H). The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2 is shown in Fig. S12. $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, D$_2$O, rt) δ (ppm): 136.08, 123.58, 121.84, 49.51, 31.97, 29.90, 29.67, 29.21, 22.62, 13.72. LRESIMS is shown in Fig. S13: m/z 251.2 [2 – Br]$^+$. HRESIMS: m/z calcd for [2 – Br]$^+\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_2$, 251.2487, found 251.2482; error –0.2 ppm.

Fig. S11. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400MHz, D$_2$O, rt) of 2.
Fig. S12. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (100MHz, D$_2$O, rt) of 2.

Fig. S13. Electrospray ionization mass spectrum of 2. Assignment of the main peak: m/z 251.2 [2 – Br]$^+$. 
3. Self-assembly of rod-coil molecule 1 in water

**Fig. 14.** Surface tension of water as a function of the rod-coil 1 concentration. There are two linear segments in the curve and a sudden reduction of the slope, implying that the CAC of 1 is approximately $1.62 \times 10^{-4}$ M in water.

**Fig. S15.** Model of the rod-coil molecule 1 (carbon atoms are gray, oxygen atoms are red, and hydrogen atoms are white). It was estimated by ChemBio3D Ultra 13.0.
**Fig. S16.** Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) scan of 1. $\lambda = 0.01548$ nm, and $2\theta = 2.85^\circ$.\textsuperscript{S3}

4. Host-guest complexation of WP5 with 1 and 2

**Fig. S17.** Partial $^1$H NMR (400 Hz, D$_2$O, rt) spectra: (a) model compound 2 (1.00 mM); (b) WP5 (1.00 mM) and 2 (3.00 mM); (c) WP5 (1.00 mM).
To determine association constant for the complexation between WP5 and model compound 2, fluorescence titration experiments were done with solutions which had a constant concentration of WP5 (2.50 × 10^{-4} M) and varying concentrations of 2. The non-linear curve-fitting was based on the equation:

$$\Delta F = (\Delta F_\infty /[H]_0) \left( 0.5[G]_0 + 0.5([H]_0+1/K_a) - (0.5 ([G]_0^2 + (2[G]_0(1/K_a - [H]_0) + (1/K_a + [H]_0)^2)^{0.5}) \right).$$

Where \(\Delta F\) is the fluorescence intensity changes at 330 nm at \([H]_0\), \(\Delta F_\infty\) is the fluorescence intensity changes at 330 nm when WP5 is completely complexed, \([G]_0\) is the initial concentration of 2, and \([H]_0\) is the fixed initial concentration of WP5.$^4$

**Fig. S18.** Fluorescence emission spectra of WP5 with different concentrations of 2.

**Fig. S19.** Mole ratio plot for WP5 and 2, indicating a 1:1 stoichiometry.
**Fig. S20.** The fluorescence intensity changes at 330 nm upon addition of 2. The red solid line was obtained from the non-linear curve-fitting using the above equation.

\[ R^2 = 0.99 \]

\[ K_a = (1.79 \pm 0.15) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \]

**Fig. S21.** Fluorescence spectra of mixtures of 1 and WP5 with different molar ratios. Inset: fluorescent photographs of 1 (left) and (WP5)$_2$$\supset$1 (right) aqueous solutions upon irradiation with a 354 nm light source. The concentration of 1 is fixed at $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$ M.
**Fig. S22.** Electrospray ionization mass spectrum of (WP5)\(_2\)\(\supseteq\)1. Assignment of the main peaks: \(m/z\) 662.8 [WP5 – 2NH\(_4\)]\(^2\)^–; 867.6 [(WP5)\(_2\)\(\supseteq\)1 – 2Br – 6NH\(_4\)]\(^4\)^–; 1162.8 [(WP5)\(_2\)\(\supseteq\)1 – 2Br – 5NH\(_4\)]\(^3\)^–; 1342.4 [WP5 – NH\(_4\)]\(^–\).

**Fig. S23.** Partial \(^1\)H NMR (400 Hz, D\(_2\)O, rt) spectra: (a) WP5 + 2 + paraquat; (b) WP5; (c) WP5 + 2; (d) paraquat.
Fig. S24. Photographs: (a) WP5; (b) 1; (c) WP5 and 1; (d) WP5, 1 and paraquat.

5. References

