DNA Condensation With Spermine Dendrimers: Interactions in Solution, Charge Inversion, and Morphology Control
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TEM Figures

Figure S1: More TEM micrographs of DNA/SL-G2 thick rod-like condensates at \( cr = 2.3 \) and a nucleotide concentration of 0.23 mM in solution.

Figure S2: TEM of DNA/SL-G2 thick rod-like condensates at \( cr = 2.3 \) and a nucleotide concentration of 0.23 mM in solution.
Figure S3: More TEM micrographs of aggregates of DNA/SL-G2 condensates at cr = 2.3 and 35 mM NaCl.

Figure S4: More TEM micrographs of thin rods formed from DNA/SL-G2 at cr = 2.3 and 35 mM NaCl after 10/1 dilution.

Figure S5: TEM of DNA/SL-G1 condensates (left) and condensates together with free DNA strands (right) at cr = 0.7.
Figure S6: TEM of DNA/SL-G1 at cr = 1.0.

**CW-EPR Spectra**

Figure S7: CW EPR spectra of G1-spermine in Tris-HCl buffer with different salt concentrations, measured at 20 °C. The reference is 0.01 mM G1-spermine without DNA, in 150 mM NaCl buffer solution. a) CR=0.68; b) CR=2.7; lower row: CW EPR spectra l simulation of G1-spermine with DNA, CR=0.68, with 10 mM (c) and 150 mM NaCl (d), measured at 20° C. The measured spectra are plotted in black and the simulation is overlayed in red. For the simulation shown in c) a g-Tensor of [2.008 2.0043 2.003] was assumed and hyperfine-splitting constants of [4.5 4.5 39.5] G. An isotropic rotational correlation time \( \tau_c \) of 1 ns was assumed. For the simulation in d), \( \tau_c = 0.8 \) ns.
Figure S8: (a) CW-EPR spectra of G2-spermine. The reference (0.1 mM G2-spermine with 150 mM NaCl, black) and CR=0.92 exposed to 10 mM NaCl (red) and with 150 mM NaCl (blue), measured at 20 °C. (b) Simulation of G2-spermine with DNA, CR=0.92 with 10 mM NaCl (red), measured spectrum (black). g = [2.008 2.0043 2.003] and A = [4.5 4.5 39.5] G. Diffusion tensor D = [7\times10^{-8},3\times10^{-8},1\times10^{-8}] 1/s for the fast and [9\times10^{-7},9\times10^{-7},1\times10^{-8}] 1/s for the slow species. $\chi_{\text{condensed}} \approx 0.7$.

Figure S9: CW-EPR spectra of G1-spermine in Tris-HCl buffer with a salt concentration of 10 mM NaCl, measured at 20 °C. The CR is varied from 0.4 (bottom) to 2.7 (second to top). The reference is 0.1 mM pure G1-spermine without DNA. The dashed vertical lines are meant to guide the eye to the broadening of the linewidths with decreasing charge ratio.
Figure S10: CW-EPR spectra of SL-G2 at $cr = 2.3$ and 10 / 1 dilution (nucleotide concentration: 0.23 mM). Please note that the spectrum looks exactly as the one at a nucleotide concentration of 2.3. Therefore, one can infer that no structural variation takes place upon dilution, as confirmed by TEM. (Figures S1 and S2.)

Figure S11: CW-EPR spectra of SL-G2 at different $cr$ (top to bottom: 0.00, 0.35, 0.68, 1.20, 1.76, 2.30) and a nucleotide concentration of 2.3 mM. The spectra are shown in black, the simulations in red.

**Simulations of SL-G2 at different charge ratios.**

For spectral simulations EasySpin$^1$ implemented in MATLAB 8 was used. A model based on slow, anisotropic rotation, developed by Schneider and Freed$^2$ was applied. In every case, the spectral components of the slow species were approximated by an anisotropic g-tensor of $[2.0080 \ 2.0043 \ 2.0030]$. Hyperfine coupling matrix elements were $[4.5 \ 4.5 \ 39.5]$ G. An asymmetric diffusion tensor of $[9 \times 10^{-7}, 9 \times 10^{-6}, 1 \times 10^{-8}]$ 1/s with orientation of $[0 \ 70 \ 70]$° of its coordinate system relative to the molecular (g-) coordinate system was assumed.

The fast spectral components of the spectra were approximated applying a g-tensor of $[2.009 \ 2.0043 \ 2.0030]$ and HF values of $[4.5 \ 4.5 \ 39.5]$ G. The diffusion tensor was $[7 \times 10^{6}, 3 \times 10^{6}, 1 \times 10^{6}]$ 1/s, with orientations of $[0 \ 60 \ 60]$° relative to the molecular framework, indicating a faster rotation about the diffusional x-axis.
Scheme S1: Synthetic route towards SL-G1.
Scheme S2: Synthetic route towards SL-G2.
Synthesis of Spin-Labeled Spermine and its Derivatives

Mass spectra were done using FD techniques on a VG-Instruments TRIO-200 and ZAB 2-SE-FPD. NMR spectra were done with a Bruker Spectrospin 250 at room temperature. Chemical shifts are given relative to Tetramethylsilane in the δ-scale (ppm) and were calibrated through the deuterium-locking-signal. Analytical thin-layer-chromatography (TLC) was performed with Macherey-Nagel Alugram SIL G/ UV254 silica-gel plates. Detection of the Rf-values was performed through fluorescence quenching at 254 nm UV or staining with KMnO₄ solution.

Synthesis of SL-G1

N1,N2,N3-tri-Boc-Spermine was synthesized according to literature. Tris[2-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)ethoxy]methyl)methylamine (1) was synthesized according to literature.

Proxyl-N-Tris[2-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)ethoxy]methyl)methylamide (2)

186.23 mg (1 mmol) 3-Carboxyl-Proxyl, 122.17 mg DMAP (1 mmol) and 533.71 mg (1 mmol) of (1) where solved in 10 ml dichloromethane and 1 ml dimethylformamide (DMF) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred 2 h at this temperature and additional 24 h at room temperature. After removing precipitated dicyclocexylurea (DCU) by filtration the crude product was concentrated to approximately 2 ml and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂; DCM/MeOH 98:2 ' DCM/MeOH 95:5 ' DCM/MeOH 90:10 ' DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 70:10:1) and dried in vacuum. Yield: 528.5 mg (0.83 mmol).

TLC: Rf (SiO₂; hexane:EA 2:1.25): 0.36, visible through UV
FD-MS: 673.6 m/z

Proxyl-N-Tris[2-carboxyethoxy]methyl)methylamide (3)

528.5 mg (0.83 mmol) of (2) were solved in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂ and 10 ml TFA were added dropwise while stirring. After three hours CH₂Cl₂ and TFA were removed by evaporation. Yield: 390.35 mg (0.82 mmol).
TLC: Rf (SiO₂; hexane:EA 2:1.25): 0.04, visible through UV.
FD-MS: 505.8 m/z

SL-G1-tri-Boc-Spermine (4)

186.19 mg (0.37 mmol) (3), 681.82 mg (3.31 mmol) DCC, 334.39 mg (3.31 mmol) Et₃N and 446.51 mg HOBt (3.31 mmol) were solved in 12.5 ml of THF. 590.4 mg (1.176 mmol) N1,N2,N3-Tri-BOC-Spermine in 15 ml THF was added to the mixture dropwise while stirring. After three days precipitated DCU was removed by filtration. After concentrating the crude product to approx. 5-10 ml it was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂; DCM/MeOH 98:2 ' DCM/MeOH 95:5 ' DCM/MeOH 90:10 ' DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 70:10:1) and dried in vacuum. Yield: 155.9 mg (0.08 mmol).

TLC: Rf (SiO₂; DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 70:10:1): 0.64, visible through UV
FD-MS: 1956.2 m/z

SL-G1 (5)

155.9 mg (0.08 mmol) of (4) were solved in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂ and 10 ml TFA were added dropwise while stirring. After three hours CH₂Cl₂ and TFA were removed by evaporation. Yield: 164.1 mg (0.08 mmol).
TLC: Rf (SiO₂; DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 70:10:1): 0.01, visible through UV.

Synthesis of SL-G2


462.79 mg (0.91 mmol) of acid (3), 566.99 mg (2.75 mmol) DCC, 371.31 mg (2.75 mmol) hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) and 278.07 mg (2.75 mmol) triethylamine were solved in 40 ml THF. Afterwards 1.39 g (2.75 mmol) of amine (1) in 10 ml THF were added dropwise while stirring. After 24 h precipitated DCU was extracted from the solution by filtration and after additional 48 h the suspension was filtered again. Afterwards the crude product was purified by column chromatography [SiO₂; DCM/MeOH 20:1]. After removing the solvent and drying in vacuum orange oil remained. Yield: 381.46 mg (0.19 mmol)
TLC: Rf (DCM/MeOH 20:1): 0.27, visible through UV; FD-MS: 1970.8 m/z.

Proxyl-N-Tris[2-{[(carboxyethoxy)methyl]amino}carbonyl]ethoxy][methyl)methyl)methylamide (7)

381.46 mg (0.19 mmol) of ester (6) were solved in 10 ml DCM. While stirring under nitrogen 10 ml TFA were added dropwise. After five minutes the solution started to darken. After 45 min. stirring TFA and DCM were removed in vacuum and brown viscous oil remained. Yield: 273.75 mg (0.19 mmol).
TLC: Rf (SiO₂; DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 70:10:1): 0.01, visible through UV.
**SL-G2-tri-Boc-Spermine (8)**

273.75 mg (0.19 mmol) of acid (7), 652.00 mg (3.15 mmol) DCC, 426.98 mg (3.15 mmol) HOBt and 319.16 mg (3.15 mmol) triethylamine were solved in 80 ml ethylacetate. While stirring 1053.97 mg (2.09 mmol) of N1,N2,N3-tri-Boc-Spermine in 30 ml ethylacetate were added dropwise. In 24 h intervals 50 mg (0.10 mmol) N1,N2,N3-tri-boc-Spermine, 50 mg HOBt (0.37 mmol) and 50 mg (0.24 mmol) DCC were added to the solution after three days the precipitated DCU was removed by filtration. The crude product was purified by column chromatography [SiO2 (0.2-0.5mm); DCM/MeOH/konz. NH₄OHaq 70:10:1]. Orange oil remained. Yield: 912.37 mg (0.16 mmol).

TLC: Rf(DCM/MeOH/konz. NH₄OHaq 70:10:1): 0.68, visible through UV; MALDI-TOF: 5352 m/z; 5855 m/z.

[MALDI-TOF measurements showed that next to the product also a small fraction of a dendron with only eight arms. Either because of fractionalization during ionization or because of incomplete chemical substitution.]

**SL-G2 (9)**

609.8 mg (0.10 mmol) of dendron (8) were solved in 25 ml DCM and washed with 25 ml of a saturated NaCl solution. Afterwards 25 ml TFA were added to the organic layer and the mixture was stirred for 45 min. After removing DCM and TFA by vaporisation the substance was washed two times with water and DCM. Brown oil remained that solidified slowly into a yellow solid. Yield: 649.81 mg (0.10 mmol).

TLC: Rf(DCM/MeOH/konz. NH₄OHaq 70:10:1): 0.01, visible through UV.

---

FD-MS and MALDI-TOF Data

Figure S12: FD-MS of (2)
Figure S13: FD-MS of (3)
Figure S16: MALDI-TOF of (8)