Supplementary Information

1 Synthesis and characterization of the photosensitizer

The compound that we named Chlorin-12 is the second of the isomers characterized by $^1$H-NMR (see Fig. S1), COSY, ESI-MS and UV-Vis.

$2^1, 2^2[N,N$-dicarbonyl-$N$-(4-dodecylphenyl)]-8,12-bis[2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]-2,7,13,17-tetramethyl-18-vinyl-2,2$^1, 2^2, 2^3$-tetrahydrobenzo[b]porphyrin.

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz), $\delta$ (ppm): -2.45 (br s, 2H, H-21 and H-23); 0.9-1.27 (m, p-alkyl group); 2.08 (s, 3H, CH$_3$-25), 3.17 (t, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-12$^2$), 3.21 (t, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-8$^2$); 3.42 (s, 3H, CH$_3$-13$^1$); 3.47 (s, 3H, CH$_3$-7$^1$); 3.45-3.48 (m, 2H, H-2$^3\alpha$ and H-2$^3\beta$); 3.61 (s, 3H, CH$_3$-17$^1$), 3.91-3.95 (m, 1H, H-2$^1$); 4.32 (t, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-12$^1$); 4.65 (d, 1H, $J = 8.5$ Hz, H-2$^1$); 6.10 (dd, 1H, J = 18.0 and 1.5 Hz, H-18$^2\alpha$); 6.33 (dd, 1H, J = 18.0 and 1.5 Hz, H-18$^2\beta$); 7.41 (t, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 1H, H-2$^1$); 7.73-7.70 (m, 2H, H-2$^10$ and H-2$^12$); 8.13 (dd, 1H, J = 18.0 and 11.5 Hz, H-18$^1$); 9.26 (s, 1H, H-5); 9.28 (s, 1H, H-20); 9.68 (s, 1H, H-10); 9.74 (s, 1H, H-15).

ESI-MS-TOF, m/z 933.5321 calculated for C$_{58}$H$_{70}$N$_5$O$_6$ (MH$^+$); found 933.5329.

Figure S1: $^1$H-NMR (500 MHz) in CDCl$_3$ of Chlorin-12.

2 Langmuir monolayer

We performed Langmuir isotherms on pure POPC and pure POPC-OOH molecules (see Fig. S2). Precautions were taken in order to avoid oxidation of the monolayers exposed to laboratory air by working under controlled N$_2$ atmosphere. POPC-OOH shows clearly values of area per molecule (APM) which are larger than POPC.

Defining $\Delta A/A$ as

$$\frac{\Delta A}{A} = \frac{APM_{POPC-OOH} - APM_{POPC}}{APM_{POPC}},$$

we measure $\Delta A/A \sim 50\%$allow pressure, in agreement with the observations of van den Berg et al. [1] who compared PLPC monolayers with PLPC-OOH monolayers. Fig. S3 represents the
Figure S2: Langmuir isotherms at 20°C of monolayers made of mixtures of POPC (dashed line) and of the hydroperoxidized form POPC-OOH (full line).

Figure S3: $\Delta A / A$ as a function of the pressure $\Pi$. The dashed line corresponds to the relative area difference between POPC and POPC-OOH in bilayers.

Evolution of $\Delta A / A$ as a function of the surface pressure $\Pi$. This relative area difference decreases as the pressure increases. For the maximum pressure that we can achieve, $\Delta A / A$ decreases to 27%. Thus, whatever the pressure, $\Delta A / A$ remains larger than the relative area increase measured in fully oxidized POPC bilayers ($\sim 15\%$).

Despite intrinsic structural differences between monolayers and bilayers, it has been shown that for a certain lateral pressure, around 30-35 mN/m, the hydration state of both monolayer and bilayer systems is identical and structural parameters of these systems are comparable [2,3]. The use of monolayers to predict bilayer properties seems reasonable provided that bilayers can be pictured as two back-to-back monolayers interacting non-specifically as two slabs [4]. Depending on the properties one focuses on, the monolayer / bilayer equivalence occurs at different pressures.

In the case of relative area increase between POPC and its hydroperoxidized form POPC-OOH, even if there is no pressure value that allows to reach the monolayer / bilayer equivalence, our results clearly show a larger area per molecule of the hydroperoxidized form of the lipids in qualitative agreement with area increase in the bilayers.

3 Experiments analysis

3.1 Control experiments

3.1.1 Start-Stop experiments

We performed start-stop experiments on the decorated GUVs, held by the micropipette, by irradiating the sample for 10 seconds, and then observing the vesicles under the DIC observation mode for 15 seconds. No delayed effects could be detected, either after stopping or after restarting irradiation. Under our conditions, there is thus an instantaneous response of the vesicle area expansion to the irradiation.
3.1.2 Singlet oxygen does not oxidise saturated lipids

We have checked that oxidation phenomena is not present for lipid chains without unsaturated bonds. We prepared GUVs made of DMPC (a lipid with two saturated chains of 14 carbons) incorporating also 1% of Chlorin-12. The liquid/gel transition temperature of DMPC is 23°C. Thus, vesicle formation has been performed in an oven at 28°C. Irradiation of the DMPC giant vesicles showed no membrane transformations. Fluorescence from Chlorin-12 molecules followed the same time evolution due to bleaching, but no enhanced fluctuations or tube/bud formation was observed. A simple image analysis, using a $2\pi$ average radial profile was enough to extract the vesicle area evolution. Fig. S4 clearly shows no area increase.

3.2 Correction of the apparent area increase

During our irradiation experiments one measures an overall increase of the apparent surface area of a GUV submitted to a constant membrane tension, applied through the micropipette device. At constant tension, a measure of area increase due to lipid peroxidation is also perturbed by the changes of the stretching modulus of the membrane. Here, we correct for such (minor) perturbations by considering first the Evans-Helfrich equation for $\alpha$, the apparent area increase of a membrane submitted to a given tension $\sigma$, as a function of its constitutive mechanical parameters, the bending modulus $k_c$ and the elastic modulus $K_A$

$$\alpha = \frac{k_B T}{8\pi k_c} \ln \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right) + \frac{\sigma}{K_A},$$

(2)

where $\sigma_0$ is a constant. In the present case, the membrane is submitted to a constant tension $\sigma$, and after a time $t$ of illumination, a fraction $x_{ox}$ of the lipids have been peroxidized, leading to a relative area increase $x_{ox} \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is the relative molecular area increase, and to a decrease of both $k_c$ and $K_A$. We have measured a linear decrease of $K_A$ with $x_{ox}$ and noticed, without being able for technical reasons to measure it precisely, a decrease in $k_c$. Then, Eq. (2) becomes, after an irradiation time $t$

$$\alpha(x_{ox}) = \frac{k_B T}{8\pi k_c^{ox}(x_{ox})} \ln \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right) + \frac{\sigma}{K_A^{ox}(x_{ox})} + x_{ox} \epsilon$$

(3)

with $K_A^{eff}(x_{ox}) = K_A (1 - x_{ox}) + K_A^{ox} x_{ox}$, where $K_A^{ox}$ is the bending modulus of a completely peroxidized membrane that we have measured. The maximum error is expected for a fully peroxidized membrane. Assuming a decrease of a factor of four for $k_c$, $k_c^{ox} = 0.25 k_c$, similar to that measured for $K_A$, $K_A^{ox} = 0.25 K_A$, it follows that the error on the relative area increase $\epsilon(x_{ox} = 1)$, is less than 1% for a membrane submitted to a tension $\sigma = 0.7$ mN m$^{-1}$. Notice that the relative error remains smaller than one percent if $k_c^{ox}$ assumes values in the range 0.25 $k_c < k_c^{ox} < k_c$.

3.3 Data Analysis

GUVs decorated with various surfaces concentrations of Chlorin-12 under continuous irradiation at 410 nm, show a typical fluorescence intensity decrease due to photobleaching. We computed
the cumulative intensity as the integral over time of the fluorescence signal. For a given quantum yield for $^1\text{O}_2$ production $\phi_\Delta$, the cumulative fluorescence is thus directly proportional to the number of $^1\text{O}_2$ species produced since the beginning of the irradiation. In the absence of bleaching, the cumulative intensity should grow linearly with time. The decrease of light intensity associated with photobleaching results in a sublinear variation of the cumulative light intensity with time. The constant of proportionality between emitted light intensity and singlet oxygen generation can be computed by noticing that the rate of singlet oxygen production with time. The constant of proportionality between emitted light intensity and singlet oxygen associated with photobleaching results in a sublinear variation of the cumulative light intensity.

4 $^1\text{O}_2$ Sources, diffusion and reactions

4.1 Computing $^1\text{O}_2$ distribution

The concentration of $^1\text{O}_2$ near the plane of anchored sensitizers can be computed by solving the reaction-diffusion equation for the distribution of $^1\text{O}_2$ species:

$$\frac{\partial C_{SO}}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C_{SO}}{\partial z^2} - \frac{C_{SO}}{\tau} + Q \Sigma \delta(z - b)$$

(4)

where $C_{SO}$ is the singlet oxygen concentration profile, $D$ the diffusion coefficient, $z$ the distance away from the membrane, $\tau$ the $^1\text{O}_2$ lifetime, $Q$ the rate of $^1\text{O}_2$ generation per sensitizer molecule and $\Sigma$ the number of sensitzers per unit area. $b$ is the distance from the $^1\text{O}_2$ generation plane from the membrane, of order of a fraction of nanometer. Eq. 4 supposes that singlet oxygen reactions with the unsaturated bonds only marginally perturb the distribution, a full description would require a sink term located at the average (negative) height of the double bond plane. The stationary solution of Eq. 4 reads

$$C_{SO}(z) = \frac{Q \tau \Sigma}{2D} \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{\ell_D}\right\}.$$  

(5)

Close to the generating wall there is thus a concentration of singlet oxygen given by

$$C_{SO}(z = 0) = 0.5 Q \tau \Sigma \ell_D^{-1}.$$  

(6)

Under our irradiation conditions and for a Chlorin-12 molar fraction of 0.03 %, where $Q = 740 \text{s}^{-1}$, $\ell_D = 100 \text{ nm}$, $\tau = 4 \mu\text{s}$, we have $C_{SO}(z = 0) = 12 \text{nM}$. It is also worth stressing that the planar localization of the sensitizers might lead to oxygen depletion if the sensitizer surface density $\Sigma$ is too large. A higher bound value for $\Sigma_{\text{max}}$ can be estimated based on the comparison between the concentration of singlet oxygen at the surface $C_{SO}(z = 0)$ and the concentration of molecular oxygen in solution $C_{O_2}$, giving $\Sigma_{\text{max}} = 2C_{O_2}\ell_DQ^{-1}\tau^{-1}$ and a maximum sensitizer fraction $f_{\text{max}} = \Sigma_{\text{max}}S_0$ where $S_0$ is the area of one lipid molecule. Under our conditions where $S_0 = 0.65 \text{ nm}^2$, and $C_{O_2} = 250 \mu\text{M}$, we get $f_{\text{max}}$ of order unity. In our case, where $f_{\text{max}} \ll 1$, $^1\text{O}_2$ generation should thus not be limited by oxygen depletion effects.

4.2 Inhomogeneities in singlet oxygen distribution

The smallest average time interval between two successive $^1\text{O}_2$ generation events being, under our irradiation conditions, of order of 1.4 ms, the sensitizer diffuses in this interval over a typical distance of 20 nm. The distance between two probes is explored in 7 ms by the photosensitizer diffusive motion, corresponding to 5 emission events; during the time of the experiment, which is of order of one minute, each photosensitizer diffuses thus over distances one hundred times larger than the inter-probe length further contributing to an homogeneous distribution of $^1\text{O}_2$ species. These homogenizing factors are even stronger for the surface densities of the samples with high probe fractions such as the 2 % mol case shown in the main text, where the average distance between sensitizers is smaller than 10 nm. However, in this denser case, the average distance between sensitizers is comparable with the length below which self-quenching becomes significant [6], we thus confined our quantitative efficiency measurements to samples with lower probe densities, as discussed in the next paragraph.
4.3 Equivalent bulk constants for the hydroperoxidation reaction

Photosensitizers generate an average concentration of $^{1}\text{O}_2$ species close to the membranes given by $C_{SO} = 0.5\Sigma Q \tau \ell_D^{-1}$, where $Q$ is the number of $^{1}\text{O}_2$ generated per photosensitizer by unit time, $\Sigma$ the number of photosensitizer per unit surface and $\tau$ the $^{1}\text{O}_2$ lifetime. The factor 0.5 relies on the assumption that $^{1}\text{O}_2$ molecules distribute evenly on both sides of the probe plane. In a binary reaction between $^{1}\text{O}_2$ species at a concentration $C_{SO}$ and double bonds of concentration $C_{DB}$ one creates $k_{HP}C_{DB}C_{SO}$ hydroperoxide species per unit time $dC_{HP}/dt = k_{HP}C_{SO}C_{DB}$. Associating $m$, the initial slope of the curve in Fig. 4 (main text), with the value for the relative rate production of -OOH groups, $m = C_{DB}dC_{HP}/dt|_{t=0}$ one has $k_{HP} = m \times C_{SO}^{-1}$. For the case of Fig. 4 in the main text, $m = 0.036$. With $Q = 740$ s$^{-1}$, $\ell_D = 100$ nm, $\tau = 4$ $\mu$s and $\Sigma = 4.7 \times 10^{-4}$ nm$^{-2}$, this leads to the reaction constant value $k_{HP} \simeq 3 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$.

5 Single Chain Mean Field theory

Lipid molecules are modeled within the Single Chain Mean Field theory [7]. This theory is shown to describe adequately equilibrium and mechanical properties of lipid bilayers using coarse-grained models for lipid molecules [7]. Since mechanical and equilibrium properties of DOPC and POPC lipid bilayers, such as thickness, compressibility and the area per lipid are very close to each other, we describe both DOPC and POPC lipid bilayers using unique 10-beads model shown in Fig. 5 of main text. The oxidized DOPC and POPC molecules are described with the same set of parameters as non-oxidized lipids, but the central hydrophobic bead of the tail (grey), which corresponds to the double bond, is replaced by a hydrophilic bead (cyan), which has interactions with solvent two times less than the beads of the heads (green) (see Fig. S5). Our SCMF calculations have shown that more hydrophilic OOH group migrates closer to the surface, forming a kink in the tails. The oxidized beads stay at the border between hydrophobic core and the heads region. These groups, in turn, distort the bilayer, preventing close packing of neighboring tails, which is probably the main effect of oxidation. Although the peak of average position of OOH groups is slightly displaced to the surface of the bilayer (Fig. S6), some OOH groups can be found outside of the bilayer (Fig. S6).
Figure S6: Averaged position of oxidized OOH group (bead N° 5) and symmetrical carbon group (bead N° 10) of POPC oxidized lipid in the bilayer.
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