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**Figure S 1.** FESEM images of the top surfaces of AAO membrane with the diameter of 30 nm before (A) and after asymmetric modification of PMETAC (B) and PSPMA (C) polymer brushes.

**Figure S 2.** Cross-sectional FESEM images of AAO membrane before (A) and after (B) modification with polymer brushes (PMETAC).
Figure S 3. (A) XPS spectra of (a) AAO-initiator membrane, (b) one side of the AAO membrane modified with PMETAC polymer brush and (c) the other side modified with PSPMA polymer brush. (B) Corresponding FT-IR spectra of same samples shown in (A).

Figure S 4. (A) XPS spectra of AAO membrane before and after asymmetric modification. (a) AAO-initiator membrane, (b) PNIPAM and (c) PDMAEMA polymer brushes modified side of AAO membrane. (B) Corresponding FT-IR spectra of the same part of A.

Figure S 5. (A) Contact angle changes of PDMAEMA side at different temperatures, and (B) PNIPAM side at different pHs.
**Figure S 6.** (A) XPS survey spectra of (a) AAO-PMETAC, (b) AAO-PMETAC-Au(+3) and (c) AAO-PMETAC-Au(0) of one side of asymmetric AAO catalytic membrane. (B) XPS survey spectra of (a) AAO-PSPMA, (b) AAO-PSPMA-Pd(+2) and (c) AAO-PSPMA-Pd(+0) of the other side of asymmetric AAO catalytic membrane. (C) XPS spectra of AAO-PMETAC-Au(0) in the Au (4f) level regions before (curve a) and after(curve b) reduction reaction. (D) XPS spectra of AAO-PSPMA-Pd(0) in the Pd(3d) level regions before (curve a) and after(curve b) reduction reaction of asymmetric AAO catalytic membrane.

**Figure S 7.** TEM images of Au and Pd loaded bare AAO membrane without polymer brushes.
Figure S 8. TG curves of (a) bare AAO membranes, (b) AAO-initiator membranes, (c) AAO-PMETAC-Au@PSPMA-Pd membrane, and (d) AAO-PMETAC@PSPMA membrane.

Figure S 9. Schematic diagram showing the process of (a) injection using a PHD 22/2000 syringe pump series (E=250V, I=0.50A), (b) catalyst using a homemade reaction cell, (c) detection using a UV spectrophotometer. The AAO membrane was magnified in Schematic diagram and effective membrane area is 0.3846 cm².

Flow-through catalysis:

Equation (1) for calculation of water volume flow:

\[
J = \frac{V \times 0.1}{3 \times 0.1225 \pi \times 60 \times 1000 \left[ \frac{mol}{cm^2 \cdot s} \right]} \quad (1)
\]
For a solution flowing through a catalytic membrane, first-order kinetics is described by

**Equation (2):**

$$\frac{dC_x}{dx} = -\frac{dC_x}{dt} \frac{dx}{dx} = \frac{kC_x}{v} \quad (2)$$

Where $C_x$ is the concentration of 4-NP at a distance $x$ into the membrane, $t$ is time, $k \text{ (s}^{-1})$ is the first-order rate constant, and $v$ is the linear velocity of the solution in the membrane. Integration of eq 1 across the length, $l$, of the membrane followed by rearrangement yields

**Equation (3):**

$$C_r = C_0 \exp \left( -\frac{kl}{v} \right) \quad (3)$$

Where $C_0$ and $C_r$ are the concentrations of 4-NP entering and exiting the membrane, respectively.

**Permeability tests**

![Permeability tests](image)

**Figure S 10.** (A) Histogram of flux Vs injection rate of (a) Au-PMETAC@PSPMA-Pd (b) PMETACIPSPMA (c) AAO-initiator and (d) bare AAO membranes. (B) Catalytic efficiency curve under different fluxes.

The permeability of the polymer brushes asymmetrically modified AAO nanochannels array was also investigated. After checking leakage, the solution in reactor chamber was
sampled at a fixed time interval of 3 min while 2 min was needed to keep equilibrium before the testing. Flux \((J)\) was calculated from the following relation:

\[
J = \frac{V}{A_m \cdot t} \left[ \frac{mL}{cm^2 \cdot s} \right]
\]  

(1)

where \(V\) is the volume of penetrating fluid collected during 3 min, \(A_m\) is the effective membrane area (0.3846 cm\(^2\)), \(t\) is the collection time of penetrating fluid, detailed formula for calculation of flux to water was provided in supporting information. As shown in Fig. 10A, the flux obviously increased with rise of the injection rate. The flux decreased after grafting asymmetrical polymer brushes PMETAC and PSPMA, compared to that of the bare and initiator anchored membranes. Furthermore, the flux became smaller after loading Au and Pd nanoparticles, which may be resulted from the blocking effect of nanoparticles.

Hagen-Poiseuille (HP) equation was used to investigate the polymer brushes structure of swelling state in confined nanochannels: \([1]\)

\[
J = \frac{Q}{A_m} = \frac{n\pi(D / 2)^4 \Delta P}{8\eta L}
\]  

(2)

where \(Q\) is the volumetric flow rate from test, \(A_m\) is the effective external area (0.3846 m\(^{-2}\)), \(J\) is the flux, \(n\) is the number of pores per unit area of membrane\((\sim 10^9)\), \(D\) is the average pore diameter, \(\eta\) is the fluid viscosity, \(\Delta P\) is the pressure difference across the membranes, and \(L\) is the average length of the pores\((\sim 60 \mu m)\). The calculation results (Table S1), which indicated that the average pore diameters obviously decreased after asymmetrically grafting from polymer brushes, compared to bare AAO membrane. The pore diameters decreased further while Au and Pd NPs were asymmetrically loaded onto the channels, which may be resulted from the cooperative effect both of polymer brushes and stabilized nanoparticles. However, with the increase of the injection rate, the calculation results engender deviation. We speculate that this may result from the theoretical hypothesis in Hagen-Poiseuille equation.\([2]\)
The flux injection rate also affected the catalytic efficiency of the Au-PMETAC@PSPMA-Pd membrane. The reaction was thought as a pseudo-first-order with an average rate constant (k) of ~5.9 s⁻¹ because NaBH₄ was in large excess compared to 4-nitrophenol. The residence time decreased with the rise of flux rate. The residence time was 0.2 s with a flux of 0.0149 mL/(cm² s) and a linear velocity of only 0.03 cm/s assuming a 50% porosity. As shown in Fig. 10B, catalytic efficiency decreased with the increase of the flow rate. The maximum flux rate of through the membrane was limited to about 0.0524 mL/(cm²•s) to avoid the fracture of the AAO membrane. The reaction rate constant (k) was calculated according to the kinetics formula of the a catalytic membrane in previous report.[3] The reaction rate constants (k) ranging from 5.75 to 5.52 s⁻¹ for a catalytic efficiency membrane, conformed well to the first-order reaction kinetic model (Table S2).

Table S1. Pore diameter estimated by the Hagen-Poiseuille (HP) equation in different membrane pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vₐ (mL/min)</th>
<th>ΔPᵇ (Pa)</th>
<th>Pore diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dᶜ</td>
<td>dᵈ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01379</td>
<td>174.8 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.04830</td>
<td>172.0 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.12412</td>
<td>149.2 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.22065</td>
<td>145.7 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃInjection rate of the PHD 2000 INFUSION PUMP(E=250V, I=0.50A). ᵃPressure difference across the membrane. ᵃPore diameter of the AAO-PMETAC-Au@PSPMA-Pd membrane. ᵃPore diameter of the AAO-PMETAC@PSPMA membrane. ᵃPore diameter of the AAO-initiator membrane. ᵃPore diameter of the bare AAO membrane.

Table S2. Rate constant k calculated by first-order kinetics equation of catalytic membrane and average velocity of nanochannel assuming a 50% porosity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J (mL cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>0.0053</th>
<th>0.0149</th>
<th>0.0253</th>
<th>0.0361</th>
<th>0.0447</th>
<th>0.0525</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (cm s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0298</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
<td>0.0722</td>
<td>0.0895</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Flux determined in the permeability experiments. $^b$Rate constant $k$ calculated by first-order kinetics equation of catalytic membrane and average velocity of nanochannel assuming a 50% porosity. $^c$Average linear velocity in the nanochannel of the membrane.
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