CROSS EXAMINATION OF EXPERT WITNESSES

Iain Purvis QC

11, South Square, Gray's Inn

Will I be cross-examined?

- Almost certainly!
- Unusual exceptions
 - Civil Evidence Act notice
 - Evidence is accepted
 - Evidence not called

Procedure in Court

- Trial procedure
 - Opening speeches
 - Claimant's evidence
 - Defendant's evidence
 - Closing speeches
- Evidence procedure
 - Evidence in chief
 - Cross-examination
 - Re-examination

What is counsel trying to achieve?

- Various possibilities
 - Get you to agree with his/her case
 - Discredit your independence
 - Demonstrate that your approach is wrong
 - Hindsight
 - Wrong skilled person
 - Show that you are not an expert
 - Show that you are too much of an expert
- Maybe simply exploring the arguments

Typical methods

- Leading questions
- Discrepancy with other published work
- Questioning authorship of your report
- Creating antipathy
 - Tone of voice
 - Not listening to answers
 - Suggestion of incredulity
- Creating empathy

Typical methods continued

- Acceptance of inferiority
- Suggestion of unethical behaviour
- Leaving difficult questions until 4pm
- Shaking up early
- Questions on unrelated areas

Good techniques

- Don't worry about where the line of questioning is going!
- Address answers to Judge
- Don't look at client/research assistant/client's lawyers
- Ask to repeat if do not understand or for time
- Speak slowly and clearly
- Be good humoured
- Think before you speak

Guaranteed to make you unpopular...

- Cut and paste your report from the internet
- Wildly exaggerate your answers for effect
- Ask for your research assistant to come and help you answer
- Give long self-justifying speech before any questions asked
- Deny all knowledge of passages in your report