Farming and Water Resource Protection

Michael Payne

Environmental Consultant to NEU-

Drivers

> Article 7 – no deterioration Government policy – Water White Paper Carbon footprint Food security – rising populations, greater per capita demand and climate change Wider range of benefits – ecosystem services

Agricultural issues for drinking water

Nitrate
Pesticides
Crypto
Colour

NO STATISTICS!

Business As Usual (BAU)

What will be delivered without taking new action?

eg nitrate

Nitrate trends in surface water

- Analysis of EA surface water data by Glasgow University
- General falling trend since about 2000
- Pre-dates NVZ measures
- > Nitrate stripping at STWs
- Is there reason to believe groundwater will be different (apart from STWs)?

Nitrate – what's in the pipeline?

More stringent NVZ Action Programme came into effect in 2009 in existing NVZs and in 2010 in new ones.

But these have limited effectiveness (1-5 – 8.5%, Defra) and may be much less important than industry trends

Nitrogen fertiliser use

Inorganic fertiliser use – 39% reduction over 20 years

Organic fertiliser (manures) – similar reduction due to continuing decline in all livestock classes except poultry (where majority of manure goes to power generation)

Where action is needed ...

Engage with farmers
 Identify and characterise the problem, source apportionment etc
 Even-handed approach addressing all sources
 Assess uncertainty

Identify and assess options

Assessing the options

Scale of impact sought
 Targeting
 Cost-effectiveness
 Proportionality

Measures – diffuse pollution inventory

- Land use change
- Soil management
- Crop and livestock breeding
- Fertiliser management
- Livestock management
- Manure management
- Infrastructure eg slurry storage

Mechanisms

> Government policy: > Advice > Incentives > Regulation: Water Protection Zones (last resort)

Government hierarchy of measures



Incentives

> Regulation (last resort)

Examples of Advice

- Catchment Sensitive Farming Delivery Initiative (Government)
- Voluntary Initiative for pesticides (Industry)
- Campaign for the Farmed Environment (Industry)
- > PLANET Nutrient Management (Government)
- Tried and Tested Nutrient Management (Industry)
- > Wagrico (Water Industry)

Examples of Incentives

Environmental Stewardship (ELS and HLS) (Government) SCAMP (Water Industry) > Upstream Thinking (Water Industry) Paying for ecosystem services provides basis for funding, although challenging to develop comprehensive scheme

Examples of new initiatives

 Demonstration Test Catchments
 Catchment-Based Approach
 River Ray Project
 Defra Strategic Evidence and Partnership Project
 EA/NELL Single Jesue Phoenbate project

>EA/NFU Single Issue Phosphate project

Catchment management for drinking water protection

Cost effectiveness may be high, especially taking into account wider benefits

- Synergies may not extend to all objectives – some comprises necessary
- Politicians may need to take political decisions as to the priorities

Standards and compliance regimes are an obvious problem area

Standards and compliance regimes

- Catchment management can't easily deliver 100% compliance due to environmental variables weather etc.
- Is 100% compliance necessary or can another approach give the protection required?
- Some drinking water standards are difficult and costly to deliver, and may not be risk-based or well evidenced
- Can standards be examined to validate that they provide a consistent (high) level of protection that is affordable for customers, given the comprises in welfare that society is having to make in other areas?

Who pays?

- Polluter pays' changing in favour of 'beneficiary pays'
- Agriculture no ability to pass costs through to food consumers
- Water consumers surrogate for food consumers?
- Government Policy. Water for Life (December 2011): "Key elements of our approach will be:

 maximising wider sources of funding through payments for ecosystem services from the beneficiaries of a clean water environment"