Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analyst. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Supplementary

 Table 1. Comparisons of several common fluorescence-based imaging approaches that can be used for the visualization of cell-substrate interactions.

Microscopy tool	Pros	Cons
Total internal reflection	Excites fluorophores near	Florescence intensity is
fluorescence microscopy	the adherent cell surface	dependent upon both the
	and minimizes fluorescence	local dye concentration and
	originating from the bulk of	the position of the emitters
	the cell	with respect to the substrate
		surface
Fluorescence confocal	Generates high-resolution	Background excitation of
microscopy	image in three dimensions	components that belong to
		the cell body
		Low throughput
Surface plasmon enhanced	Amplifies excitation light,	Strong fluorescence
fluorescence	alter the spatial distribution	quenching for fluorophores
	of the fluorophore emission	in close proximity to metal
	and modify the radiative	Low quality factor
	lifetime of the fluorophore	resonances

Figure 1. Plot of the measured average fluorescence intensity for five sequential scans under offresonance illumination with plasma membrane dye (CellMask[™] Deep Red Plasma membrane Stain) and nucleus dye (NucRed[™] Live 647 ReadyProbes[™] Reagent). The fluorescence intensity is normalized to the value of initial measurement. The fluorophores in subsequent scans are 64%, 46%, 30% and 21% of the initial value for the plasma membrane dye and 92%, 89%, 88% and 86% of the first scan for the nucleus dye.

