Supporting Information

Polypyrrole nanotubes-polyaniline composite for DNA detection using methylene blue as intercalator

S. Radhakrishnan, C. Sumathi, V. Dharuman and J. Wilson

Polymer Electronics Lab, Department of Bioelectronics and Biosensors, Alagappa Univesity, Karaikudi-630 004, Tamilnadu, India.



Fig.S1 CV response of PPy-PANi modified Au electrode measured in 1M HCl in the potential window -0.2 to 0.8 V at a scan rate of 50 mV/s



Fig.S2 CV response of PPy-PANi modified Au electrode measured in 1M HCl in the potential window -0.2 to 0.8 V. Effect of scan rate (10- 100 mV/s) on PPy-PANi redox behavior in 1M HCl; (Inset shows the scan rate vs current graph).



Fig.S3 DPV response of PPy-PANi-GA-ssDNA modified electrode (a and c) and hybridized with complementary target DNA (b and d) measured in PB (pH 7.0) solution in the potential window -0.5 to 0.0 V.



Fig. S4 DPV response of PPy-PANi-GA-ssDNA modified three independent electrodes hybridized with complementary target DNA, measured in PB (pH 7.0) solution in the potential window -0.5 to 0.0 V.

	Electrochemical Parameters			
Surfaces	$\frac{\mathbf{IS}}{R_{CT} (\Omega \ cm^{-2})}$	$\frac{\mathbf{CV}}{\varDelta E_P(mV)}$	$i_{pa}\left(\mu A ight)$	DPV $i_{pc} (\mu A)$
Bare Au	1078	80	8.86	1.67
PPy-PANi	2618	120	9.04	1.89
PPy-PANi-GA	6677	260	8.40	2.15
PPy-PANi-GA-ssDNA	12690	270	5.50	2.60
PPy-PANi-GA-dsDNA	15770	460	5.38	6.00

Supplementary Table S1 Impedance spectra (IS), cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) modification of Au electrode

Detection	Linear range	Detection	Reference
techniques	(M)	limit (M)	
DPV	9.0×10^{-12} to 9.0×10^{-09}	3.2×10^{-12}	Yuzhong et al., 2009
EIS	$3.1\times10^{\text{-}12}$ to $1.0\times10^{\text{-}06}$	3.1×10^{-13}	Yuanyuan et al., 2008
CC	1.0×10^{13} to 1.0×10^{11}	$3.5 imes 10^{-14}$	Jie et al., 2010
DPV	$1.0\times10^{\text{-}12}$ to $10\times10^{\text{-}09}$	1.0×10^{-12}	Shufeng et al., 2010
EIS	$3.7\times10^{\text{-}09}$ to $3.7\times10^{\text{-}07}$	$1.0 imes 10^{-09}$	Peng et al., 2006
DPV	$1.0\times10^{\text{-}11}$ to $1.0\times10^{\text{-}06}$	$2.6 imes 10^{-12}$	Yang et al., 2008
DPV	$3.2\times10^{\text{-}12}$ to $5.3\times10^{\text{-}09}$	6.4×10^{-13}	Shuyan et al., 2009
EIS	$1.0 \times 10^{\text{-}11}$ to $1.0 \times 10^{\text{-}06}$	1.5×10^{-12}	Yao et al., 2008
DPV	1.0×10^{10} to 5.0×10^{09}	$5.0 imes 10^{-11}$	Hong et al., 2002
DPV	5.0×10^{10} to 1.0×10^{11}	6.2×10^{-12}	Yuzhong et al., 2009
DPV	$5.0\times10^{\text{-}12}$ to $1.0\times10^{\text{-}09}$	4.3×10^{-13}	Liu et al., 2011
EIS	$1.0\times10^{\text{-}12}$ to $1.0\times10^{\text{-}06}$	1.0×10^{-13}	Wilson et al., 2012
DPV	1.0×10^{-13} to 1.0×10^{-09}	5.0×10^{-14}	This work
	Detection techniques DPV EIS CC DPV EIS DPV EIS DPV DPV EIS DPV EIS DPV	Detection techniquesLinear range (M) DPV 9.0×10^{-12} to 9.0×10^{-09} EIS 3.1×10^{-12} to 1.0×10^{-06} CC 1.0×10^{-13} to 1.0×10^{-11} DPV 1.0×10^{-12} to 10×10^{-09} EIS 3.7×10^{-09} to 3.7×10^{-07} DPV 1.0×10^{-11} to 1.0×10^{-06} DPV 1.0×10^{-11} to 1.0×10^{-06} DPV 1.0×10^{-11} to 1.0×10^{-06} DPV 1.0×10^{-11} to 1.0×10^{-09} EIS 1.0×10^{-10} to 5.0×10^{-09} DPV 5.0×10^{-10} to 1.0×10^{-11} DPV 5.0×10^{-12} to 1.0×10^{-09} EIS 1.0×10^{-12} to 1.0×10^{-09} DPV 5.0×10^{-12} to 1.0×10^{-09} DPV 1.0×10^{-12} to 1.0×10^{-09}	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Supplementary Table S2 The performance comparison of currently fabricated DNA biosensor with

reported literatures

Abbreviations: NP - Nanoparticles; PPAA - Poly(trans-3-(3-pyridyl) acrylic acid); PANi - Polyaniline; PLL – Poly(L-lysine); HDT-Hexanedithiol; PPy-Polypyrrole; PDDA-poly(diallydimethyl ammonium chloride) ; PDC- Pyridinedicarboxylic acid; PATP-p-aminothiophenol.