Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analytical Methods. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

- l Supplementary Data Assi et al.
- 2 S1. Analysis of blank test set
- 3 A blank test set was used to investigate the selectivity of the instruments' inbuilt
- 4 algorithms for the substances of interest (2AI, DXM and LID). The blank test set was
- 5 binary and ternary mixtures of common excipients and adulterants (Table S1), in
- 6 which no signature was stored for the mixture itself. It was also important that the
- 7 blank test set was made not to contain any of the substances of interest which were 2-
- 8 AI, DXM, LID and CAF. The blank test set was run and the signature(s), most
- 9 consistent with the sample analysed, selected by the instruments' algorithms were
- 10 compared (Table S2).
- 11 Using the microPHAZIR (NIR) CWS in-built identification, the test set was not
- 12 consistent with any signature(s) in the library using this algorithm. It gave r values
- 13 below 0.95 for all the blank test set mixtures (T1 T8) against the pure substances and
- 14 50:50 mixtures stored in the instrument library. The r values obtained for the blank
- 15 test set ranged from 0.5105 0.9256 which were observed for T8 (BEN/PRO/MCC)
- and T4 (MCC/TAL) against BEN and MCC, respectively. The NIR algorithm reports
- 17 only the signature most consistent with the sample analysed and does not provide a
- 18 combination of signatures like the Raman and ATR-FT-IR.
- 19 The TruScan RM (Raman) probability identification algorithm gave slightly different
- 20 results to the microPHAZIR CWS algorithm. This was because Raman, unlike NIR,
- 21 detects primarily the chemical makeup of a sample. In addition, the probability
- 22 algorithm reported correlations indicating how similar was the molecular signal of the
- 23 test substance to the signature(s) in the instrument library. No mismatches were made
- 24 to the signatures of 2AI, DXM, and LID. In most cases, the TruScan RM probability
- 25 algorithm correlated with the signature of one or two constituents in the mixtures, in

- 26 discovery mode. In three cases, a 'similar item' or no match was observed and
- 27 included T3 (TAL/PRO), T5 (PAR/MCC) and T7 (MCC/TAL/PAR). T3 gave a
- 28 'similar item' to the PRO signature, while T5 and T7 gave no match. The TruScan
- 29 RM can indicate a 'similar item' if a test spectrum was not consistent with, but
- 30 'similar' to a library signature. Most mixtures containing BEN, including T1
- 31 (BEN/TAL), T2 (BEN/PRO), and T8 (BEN/PRO/MCC), were consistent with the
- 32 BEN signature as well as the signatures of other constituents in each mixture (Table
- 33 S2). Only one mixture, T4 (MCC/TAL), gave a 100% correlation with MCC. This
- might be because TAL has low Raman scattering and it was present in only 25% m/m
- 35 of the mixture.
- 36 For the TruDefender FT-IR probability identification algorithm, no mismatches were
- 37 made to signatures from the analytes of interest (2AI, DXM, and LID). Using this
- 38 algorithm, T3 (TAL/PRO) was consistent with TAL (62%), but mismatched MCC
- 39 (12%). In the case of T4 (MCC/TAL), no % correlation was observed as both MCC
- 40 and TAL have one predominant Raman band around 1000 cm⁻¹; these bands are broad
- and overlapping reducing the ability to distinguish them at this dilution ratio. The
- 42 remaining binary mixtures were correlated to their individual constituents and were
- 43 T1 (BEN/PAR), T2 (BEN/PRO) and T5 (PAR/MCC). The ternary mixtures, T6
- 44 (PAR/BEN/PRO) and T8 (BEN/PRO/MCC), were both correlated to PRO and BEN.
- 45 On the other hand, the ternary mixture T7 (TAL/MCC/PAR) correlated to TAL.
- 46 S2. Analysis of pure substances
- 47 The pure substances correlated to their NIR signature far above the threshold (0.95)
- 48 with a minimum r value of 0.9944 observed for BEN (Table S3). The correlations
- 49 were slightly lower for the 50:50 mixtures of Mixture 1 (2AI/CAF), Mixture 2

- 50 (DXM/CAF) and Mixture 3 (LID/CAF), which gave the highest r values for their own
- 51 signatures at 0.9644, 0.9874 and 0.9589, respectively.
- 52 Pure substances, except LID, were consistent with their corresponding Raman
- 53 signatures with a minimum PVAL of 0.2279 observed for DXM (Table S3). Although
- 54 the LID spectrum had a number of distinctive Raman bands, the spectra also showed
- 55 broad fluorescence from 1300 1700 cm⁻¹, which may have impacted the initial
- 56 matching. The instrument then went into discovery mode where LID correlated to its
- 57 own signature. Similarly, the 50:50 mixtures were consistent with their own signature
- 58 with PVALs of 0.5652, 0.6004 and 0.5624 observed for 2AI/CAF, DXM/CAF and
- 59 LID/CAF, respectively.
- 60 All the pure substances were consistent with their own ATR-FT-IR signature with
- 61 correlation values of 100% (Table S3). On the other hand, the 50:50 mixtures were
- 62 consistent with either their corresponding mixture signature or the individual
- 63 signatures. The 2AI/CAF mixture correlated to the signatures of 2AI (56%) and CAF
- 64 (37%), and LID/CAF correlated to the signatures of LID (57%) and CAF (40%). Only
- 65 DXM/CAF correlated to both the mixture signature DXM/CAF (80%) and a pure
- 66 substance, DXM (17%).

Table S1 Details of the binary and ternary powder mixtures used for the blank test set¹

Mixture number	Diluent A	Diluent B	Diluent C	A amount (% m/m)	B amount (% m/m)	C amount (% m/m)
T1	BEN	PAR	NA	26.9	73.1	NA
T2	BEN	PRO	NA	43.0	57.0	NA
T3	TALC	PRO	NA	59.1	40.9	NA
T4	MCC	TALC	NA	75.6	24.4	NA
T5	PAR	MCC	NA	34.2	65.8	NA
T6	PAR	BEN	PRO	35.6	32.7	31.6
T7	MCC	TALC	PAR	26.1	43.9	30.0
T8	BEN	PRO	MCC	24.8	32.2	43.0

¹T: test samples, BEN: benzocaine, MCC: microcrystalline cellulose, PAR: paracetamol, PRO: procaine hydrochloride, TAL:

70 71

67

72 73

74 Table S2 Mean comparison value of the blank test set using hand-held NIR, Raman

75 and ATR-FT-IR spectroscopy¹

and Title it it spectroscopy							
Substance NIR			Raman		ATR-FT-IR		
	library	<i>r</i> value ²	library	correlation	library	correlation	
	signature	:	signature	e (%) ³	signature	(%) ³	
T1	BEN	0.6479	BEN	71.3	PAR	58	
			PAR	27	BEN	39	
T2	BEN	0.6743	BEN	88.3	PRO	73	
			PRO	15	BEN	27	
T3	PRO	0.7323	PRO	Similar4	TAL	62	
					MCC	12	
T4	MCC	0.9256	MCC	100	None	0	
T5	MCC	0.8287	None	0	PAR	50	
					MCC	36	
T6	BEN	0.5762	BEN	83	PRO	56	
					BEN	40	
T7	TAL	0.5277	None	0	TAL	84	
17	IAL	0.0211	INOILE	O	IAL	-	
T8	BEN	0.5105	BEN	77.7	PRO	72	
			PRO	17.3	BEN	24	

¹T: test mixture, BEN: benzocaine, PRO: procaine,

MCC: microcrystalline cellulose, TAL: talc, PAR: paracetamol. The numbers in bold indicate the test substance is consistent with the library signature.

Table S3 Mean comparison values of the pure substances using hand-held NIR, Raman and ATR-FT-IR spectroscopy.¹

Substance	NIR		Raman	PVAL ³ or	ATR-FT-IR	
	library	r value ²	library	Correlation	library	Correlation
	signature		signature	(%)4	signature	(%)4
2AI	2AI	0.9987	2AI	0.6207	2AI	100
BEN	BEN	0.9944	BEN	0.5826	BEN	100
CAF	CAF	0.9971	CAF	0.5528	CAF	100
DXM	DXM	0.9971	DXM	0.2279	DXM	100
LAC	LAC	0.9981	LAC	0.5873	LAC	100
LID	LID	0.9966	LID	100*	LID	100
MCC	MCC	0.9947	MCC	0.4867	MCC	100
PAR	PAR	0.9987	PAR	0.6272	PAR	100
PRO	PRO	0.9986	PRO	0.5741	PRO	100
TAL	TAL	0.9991	TAL	0.4433	TAL	100
2AI/CAF	2AI/CAF	0.9644	2AI/CAF	0.5652	2AI	56
					CAF	37
DXM/CAF	DXM/CAF	0.9874	DXM/CAF	0.6004	DXM/CAF	80
					DXM	17
LID/CAF	LID/CAF	0.9589	LID/CAF	0.5624	LID	57
					CAF	40

¹D; dilution number, AI: aminoindan hydrochloride, CAF: caffeine, DXM: dextromethorphan hydrobromide, LID: lidocaine hydrochloride. The numbers in bold indicate the test substance is consistent with the library signature.

98

² r value: correlation coefficient value.

³Correlation (%): indicates how much the test spectrum is similar to the library signature(s).

⁴The TruScan RM can indicate a 'similar item' if a test spectrum was not consistent with, but 'similar' to a library signature.

⁹³ 2 r value: correlation coefficient value

³ PVAL: probability value of the test substance spectrum against the selected library spectrum. A PVAL above 0.05 indicates that the test spectrum is consistent with the library spectrum; the asterisk (*) indicates a PVAL < 0.05 where the algorithm then compared the test substances to other signatures in discovery mode.

⁴Correlation (%): indicates how much the test spectrum is similar to the library signature(s).