Supporting Information

Tetraphenylethene-pyridine salts as the first self-assembling chemosensor for pyrophosphate

Hao-Ran Xu, Kun Li,* Shu-Yan Jiao, Sheng-Lin Pan, Jun-Ru Zeng and Xiao-Qi Yu*

College of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology (Ministry of Education) Sichuan University No.29 Wangjiang Road, Chengdu, P. R. of China 610064 Fax: (+86 28 85415886)

E-mail: kli@scu.edu.cn; xqyu@scu.edu.cn

Content:

1.	Experimental Section	S2
2.	Figure S1. Photographs of powdered solid of sensors	S4
3.	Figure S2. Bright-field and fluorescent images of TPM crystal	S4
4.	Figure S3. PH effect of TPM	S5
5.	Figure S4. PH effect of TPH	S5
6.	Figure S5. Fluorescence titration of TPM with PPi	S6
7.	Figure S6. UV-vis titration of TPM with PPi	S6
8.	Figure S7. Fluorescence kinetics of TPM	S 7
9.	Figure S8. Fluorescence titration of TPH with PPi	S7
10.	Figure S9. UV-vis titration of TPM with PPi	S 8
11.	Figure S10. Fluorescence kinetics of TPH	S 8
12.	Figure S11. DLS profile of TPM, TPH and APM	S9
13.	Figure S12. SEM image of TPH with PPi	S9
14.	Figure S13. Fluorescence confocal laser scanning images of TPH	S10
15.	Figure S14. Fluorescence titration of APM with PPi	S10
16.	Figure S15. Fluorescence responses of TPH with various anions	S11
17.	Figure S16. Fluorescence responses of TPH to various anions together with PPi	S11
18.	Figure S17. Fluorescence responses of TPM with various metal ions	S12
19.	Figure S18. Fluorescence responses of TPH with various metal ions	S12
20.	Figure S19. Fluorescence titration of TPH with Hg^{2+}	S13
21.	Figure S20. Fluorescence titration of TPM with Hg^{2+}	S13
22.	Figure S21. Cytotoxicity of TPM on Hela cells.	S14
23.	¹ H-NMR, ¹³ C-NMR and ESI-MS spectra of TPM , TPH and APM .	S15

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Details for Fluorescence Measurements and UV-Vis.

Stock solutions of, **TPM** and **TPH** (10 M) was prepared in distilled water as stock solutions for each measurement. Chloride (Hg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺), nitrate (Ca²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Al³⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ag⁺) and perchlorate (K⁺, Na⁺, Li⁺, Mg²⁺) (50mM) were prepared in distilled water. Stock solutions of anions as sodium salts (P₂O₇⁴⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HPO₄²⁻, PO₄³⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, F⁻, I⁻, NO₃⁻, Ci³⁻, AcO⁻, N₃⁻, CN⁻, SO₄²⁻, SO₃²⁻, S²⁻, HCO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₂⁻) (10mM) were prepared in distilled water. The fluorescence studies performed in pure water. Each time a 3 mL of receptor solution (10 μ M) was filled in a quartz cell of 1 cm of optical path length and the stock solution of metal ion or anion was dropped into a quartz cell using a microsyringe. The excitation and emission slits of fluorescence spectra were set at 5.0 nm if not specified. For absorption studies, the final concentrations of receptors were kept constant at 10 μ M, and the procedure used for the titrations was the same as that used for fluorescence titrations.

2.2 Determination of the fluorescence quantum yield

The fluorescence quantum yield (Q) is defined as the ratio of the number of photons emitted and the number of photons of the excitation light absorbed while the fluorescent substance absorbed photons. People usually use ratio method for the determination of the fluorescence quantum yield.

$$Q_{x} = Q_{r} \left(\frac{A_{r}(\lambda_{r})}{A_{x}(\lambda_{x})} \right) \left(\frac{I(\lambda_{r})}{I(\lambda_{x})} \right) \left(\frac{n_{x}^{2}}{n_{r}^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{D_{x}}{D_{r}} \right)$$

In this equations I(a) is the relative intensity of the exciting light at wavelength A, n is the average refractive index of the solution to the luminescence, D is the integrated area under the corrected emission spectrum, and A(a) is the absorbance of the solution at the exciting wavelength a. Subscripts x and r refer to the sample and reference solutions, respectively[1].

In this paper, we use the same wavelength to excite the sample and the reference solution. They have same solvent (H₂O), the middle two formulas of the equations are 1. That means we only need to determine the absorbance and the emission spectra of peak area integration. We use fluorescein as reference, Qr = 0.85. The result of the calculation is the fluorescence quantum yield increase from 0.136% to 3.283% after **TPM** complex with the PPi and from 0.127% to 4.143% after **TPH** complex with the PPi.

2.3 Calculations for detection limit

The detection limit was calculated on the basis of the fluorescence titration using the following equation[2]:

 $DL = \frac{3\sigma}{k}$

Here, σ is the standard deviation of blank measurement, k is the slop between the ratio of emission intensity versus [PPi]. The fluorescence emission of **TPM** and **TPH** were measured 10 times to obtain the standard deviation of blank measurement.

2.4 Cytotoxicity experiment

Toxicity toward Hela cells were determined by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) following literature procedures[3]. Briefly, about 9000 cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h. After removing the old medium, Hela cells were incubated with 0.5 μ M, 1 μ M, 2 μ M and 4 μ M **TPM** for another 24 h. The mediumwas replaced by 100 mL fresh medium containing 10 mL CCK-8 and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for further 2 h. Then, the absorbance of each sample was measured using an ELISA plate reader (BioRad, imark) at a wavelength of 450 nm. The cell ability (%) was obtained according to the manufacturer's instruction.

2.5 Imaging of living cells

Hela cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂/95% air incubator. For fluorescence imaging, cells (4×10^3 per well) were passed on confocal dishes and incubated for 24 h. Immediately before the staining experiment, cells were washed twice with PBS (10 mM). The dish incubated with 2 μ M **TPM** for 30min at 37 °C, and then washed with PBS (10 mM) 3 times, and analyzed with a fluorescent inverted microscope using an excitation wavelength of 405 nm.

Reference

- [1] G.A. Crosby, J.N. Demas, J. Phys. Chem., 75 (1971) 991-1024.
- [2] B.K. Datta, S. Mukherjee, C. Kar, A. Ramesh, G. Das, Anal. Chem., 85 (2013) 8369-8375.
- [3] K. Luo, C. Li, L. Li, W. She, G. Wang, Z. Gu, Biomaterials, 33 (2012) 4917-4927.



Figure S1. Photographs of powdered solid of sensors under A) daylight and B) 365nm UV illumination (From left to right: **TPM**, **TPH**, **TPM-C** and **APM**).



Figure S2. A) Bright-field and B) fluorescent images of TPM crystal. Scale bar: 100 μ m.



Figure S3. Effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity at 535nm of **TPM** (black, \blacksquare) and **TPM** + 2eq. PPi (red, \bullet) in aqueous solution. The pH of solution was adjusted by NaOH (1M) and HCl (1M).



Figure S4. Effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity at 535nm of **TPH** (black, \blacksquare) and **TPH** + 2eq. PPi (red, \bullet) in aqueous solution. The pH of solution was adjusted by NaOH (1M) and HCl (1M).



Figure S5. Fluorescence titration of **TPM** (10 μ M) with PPi (0 - 20 eq.) in water. Inset shows the fluorescence change at 531nm as a function of the amount of PPi (λ ex = 400 nm). Photographs of **TPM** (10 μ M) in water with PPi of 0 (left) and 50 μ M (right) taken under 365nm UV illumination.



Figure S6. UV-vis titration of TPM (10µM) with PPi (0-20 equiv.) in water.



Figure S7. Fluorescence response of TPM (10 μ M) in the presence of PPi (20 μ M), $\lambda ex=400$ nm, $\lambda em=531$ nm.



Figure S8. Fluorescence titration of **TPH** (10 μ M) with PPi (0 - 20 eq.) in water. Inset shows the fluorescence change at 562nm as a function of the amout of PPi (λ ex = 400 nm). Photographs of **TPH** (10 μ M) in water with PPi of 0 (left) and 50 μ M (right) taken under 365nm UV illumination.



Figure S9. UV-vis titration of TPM (10µM) with PPi (0-20 equiv.) in water.



Figure S10. Fluorescence response of TPH (10 μ M) in the presence of PPi (20 μ M), $\lambda ex=400$ nm, $\lambda em=562$ nm.



Figure S11. (A)(C) DLS profile of **TPM**, **TPH** and **APM** (10 μ M) in water; (B) DLS profile of **TPM**, **TPH** and **APM**(10 μ M) in water after the addition of PPi (5 equiv).



Figure S12. SEM image of (A) **TPH** and (B) **TPH** + 3eq. PPi formed in pure water. $c [TPH] = 10 \mu M$.



Figure S13. A,C) Bright-field and B,D) fluorescent images of **TPH** (50μ M) in water with A,B) 0 and 200μ M C,D) of PPi. Scale bar: 75μ m.



Figure S14. Fluorescence titration of APM (10 µM) with PPi (20 eq.) in water.



Figure S15. Fluorescence responses of **TPH** (10 μ M) in water with PPi (30 μ M) and various anions (100 μ M) including PO₄³⁻, HPO₄²⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HCO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Ci³⁻, Br⁻, N₃⁻, F⁻, AcO⁻, I⁻, Cl⁻, SCN⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₃²⁻, CO₃²⁻, HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₂⁻.



Figure S16. Fluorescence responses of **TPH** (10 μ M) in water to various anions (100 μ M) together with PPi (30 μ M). Black bars represent selectivity of **TPH** upon addition of different anions. Red bars represent competitive selectivity of **TPH** towards PPi in the presence of other anions.



Figure S17. Fluorescence responses of **TPM** (10 μ M) in water with PPi (30 μ M) and various metal ions (100 μ M) including Pb²⁺, Ni⁺, Al³⁺, Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺, Cr³⁺, Co²⁺, Ag⁺, Hg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Li⁺, Ba²⁺, Zn²⁺.



Figure S18. Fluorescence responses of **TPH** (10 μ M) in water with PPi (30 μ M) and various metal ions (100 μ M) including Pb²⁺, Ni⁺, Al³⁺, Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺, Cr³⁺, Co²⁺, Ag⁺, Hg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Li⁺, Ba²⁺, Zn²⁺.



Figure S19. Fluorescence titration of **TPH** (10 μ M) with Hg²⁺ (0 - 100 eq.) in water. ($\lambda ex = 400$ nm).



Figure S20. Fluorescence titration of **TPM** (10 μ M) with Hg²⁺ (0 - 100 eq.) in water. ($\lambda ex = 400$ nm).



Figure S21. Cytotoxicity of TPM on Hela cells.





S15









