Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analyst. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

## **Supporting Information**

## Collision cross sections of high-mannose N-glycans in commonly observed adduct states -Identification of gas-phase conformers unique to [M-H]- ions

## W. B. Struwe<sup>a\*</sup>, J. L. Benesch<sup>a</sup>, D. J. Harvey<sup>b</sup>, and K. Pagel<sup>c,d\*</sup>

a. Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford UK, OX1 3TA
b. Department of Biochemistry, Oxford Glycobiology Institute, University of Oxford UK, OX1 3QU
c. Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society, Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Germany.
d. Institut für Chemie und Biochemie der Freien Universität Berlin, Takustr. 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany.

E-mail: weston.struwe@chem.ox.ac.uk E-mail: kevin.pagel@fu-berlin.de

**Abstract:** Here we report collision cross sections (CCS) of high-mannose N-glycans as [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, [M+K]<sup>+</sup>, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, [M+Cl]<sup>-</sup>, [M+H2PO4]<sup>-</sup> and [M-H]<sup>-</sup> ions measured via drift tube (DT) ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS) in helium and nitrogen gases. Further analysis using traveling wave (TW) IM-MS revealed the existence of distinct conformers exclusive to [M-H]<sup>-</sup> ions.

### **Experimental Details**

#### N-glycan sample preparation

Synthetically derived N-glycans (Dextra, Reading UK) were diluted with HPLC grade water to a final concentration of  $150\mu$ M.  $1\mu$ I from the stock solution was added to  $8\mu$ I 1:1 MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (HPLC grade) and  $1\mu$ I 100mM solution of either KCl, NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, ammonium acetate, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl or 0.1% formic acid to promote adduct formation. Porcine thyroglobulin, bovine RNAse B and chicken ovalbumin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Ltd. (Poole, Dorset, UK) and N-glycans were released chemically by hydrazinolysis and subsequently re-N-acetylated. Sample solutions were stored at -20°C until IM-MS analysis.

#### **DT IM-MS and absolute CCS measurements**

Absolute collision cross sections (<sup>DT</sup>CCS) measurements were performed with a modified Synapt G1 HDMS (Waters, Manchester, UK) hybrid quadrupole IM-MS instrument modified with a linear drift tube as previously described<sup>1</sup>. For each analysis 2µl of sample was ionized by nano-electrospray ionization (nano-ESI) from gold-coated borosilicate glass capillaries made in-house<sup>2</sup>. Instrument setting for negative ion analysis were as follows: capillary voltage, 0.8-1kV; sample cone, 150V; extraction cone, 10V; cone gas, 40L/h; trap collision voltage, 10V; trap DC bias, 25V; IMS drift voltage, 50-150V; ion mobility cell pressure, 3.3 mbar (He), 1.2 mbar (N<sub>2</sub>). Instrument setting for positive ion analysis were as follows: capillary voltage, 10V; cone gas, 40L/h; trap collision voltage, 10V; trap DC bias, 25V; IMS drift voltage, 50-150V; ion mobility cell pressure, 3.3 mbar (He), 1.2 mbar (N<sub>2</sub>). Instrument setting for positive ion analysis were as follows: capillary voltage, 1-1.5kV; sample cone, 80V; extraction cone, 10V; cone gas, 40L/h; trap collision voltage, 10V; trap DC bias, 22V; IMS drift voltage, 50-150V; ion mobility cell pressure, 3.3 mbar (He), 1.1 mbar(N<sub>2</sub>). Data was processed with MassLynx v4.1 and Driftscope (Waters, Manchester, UK). Experimental arrival time distributions (ATDs) were fit to a Gaussian distribution to determine the drift time. <sup>DT</sup>CCS were calculated from the slopes of the drift time versus reciprocal drift voltage (50, 55, 60, 70, 80, 100, 125 and 150V) plots as previously described <sup>1, 3</sup>. Briefly, the velocity of ions through the IMS cell is proportionate to the mobility (*K*) and applied electric field (*E*) at constant pressure (*p*) and temperature (*T*) (Equation 1).

$$v = KE \tag{1}$$

The drift time  $(t_D)$  required to traverse the IM cell of length *L* is proportional to the inverse mobility (1/K) and the inverse field (1/E) (Equation 2). The intercept from a linear regression of drift time  $(t_D)$  versus the inverse drift voltage applied for each acquisition gives  $t_{O}$  which represents the time ions spend from the end of the IMS cell to the mass detector from which mobility (K) can be determined:

$$t_D = \frac{L}{KE} + t_0 \tag{2}$$

CCS values were then calculated using the Mason-Schamp equation<sup>4</sup>:

$$CCS = \frac{3e}{16N} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\mu k_B T_K}}$$
(3)

Where *e* is the ion charge, *N* is the drift gas number density,  $\mu$  is the reduced mass of the ion and drift gas,  $k_B$  is the Bolzmann constant and *T* is the temperature measured in the IMS cell.

#### **TW IM-MS measurements**

Traveling wave (TW) IM-MS measurements were performed on a Synapt G2Si instrument (Waters, Manchester, UK). For each analysis 2µl of sample was ionized by nano-electrospray ionization (nano-ESI) from

gold-coated borosilicate glass capillaries made in-house<sup>2</sup>. Instrument setting for negative ion analysis were as follows: capillary voltage, 0.8-1kV; sample cone, 100V; extraction cone, 25V; cone gas, 40L/h; source temperature, 80°C; trap collision voltage, 4V; transfer collision voltage, 4-100V; trap DC bias, 45V; wave velocity, 650 m/s; wave height, 40 V; trap gas flow, 2ml/min; IMS gas flow, 80 ml/min. Data was acquired and processed with MassLynx v4.1 and Driftscope software (Waters, Manchester, UK). Arrival time distributions (ATDs) were fit to a single or double Gaussian distribution prior to estimating experimental <sup>TW</sup>CCSs. A dextran calibration ladder with known <sup>DT</sup>CCS was for estimating N-glycan <sup>TW</sup>CCS values as previously described<sup>3, 5</sup>. Briefly, measured drift times ( $t_D$ ) were corrected for m/z dependent delay time from Equation 4 where c is an empirically determined constant ( $c = 0.001 \times EDC$  (enhanced duty cycle) delay coefficient).

$$t'_D = t_D - c_{\sqrt{m/z}} \tag{4}$$

Absolute dextran <sup>DT</sup>CCS were corrected for charge and reduced mass (Equation 5).

$$CCS' = CCS^{DT} / [z \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$
(5)

A linear correlation plot of ln(CCS') versus ln(dt') (R<sup>2</sup>>0.99) gives two constants termed the fit-determined constant *A* and the 'exponential factor' *X* from the equation:

$$\ln CCS = X \times \ln t_D + \ln A \tag{6}$$

Experimental ATDs were converted to <sup>TW</sup>CCS values from Equation 5.

# Supplementary Tables and Figures

	Glycan	Collision Cross Section (Ų)					
		Negative lons			Positive lons		
		M-H	M+Cl	M+H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	M+H	M+Na	M+K
Synthetic (Dextra)	Man3	266.9	277.3	279.4	301.1	304.6	295.3
	Man5	318.4/329.5	331.5	337.3	346.6	351.9	356.1
	Man6	346.0/356.8	355.4	362.4	370.1	372.5	368.6
	Man7	376.0	378.1	385.4	391.9	393.9	398.5
	Man8	406.2	413.0	415.7	421.4	422.8	423.2
	Man9	416.7/432.7	433.6	437.6	443.6	445.0	447.7
	Man9Glc	429.9/445.1	452.8	451.6	458.8	461.8	464.0
ovalburnin thyroglobulin	Man5	320.5/331.3	333.4	338.7			
	Man6	347.6/358.2	357.6	364.8			
	Man7	379.9	382.1	387.8			
	Man8	406.8	413.1	413.5			
	Man9	419.9/436.1	436.6	435.1			
	Man3	270.2	277.9	280.8			
	Man5	321.8/332.5	334.8	339.2			
	Man6	348.6/359.2	358.5	365.2			
RNase B	Man5	319.4/330.0	333.1	337.5			
	Man6	348.3/358.7	357.9	363.9			
	Man7	380.8	382.3	387.7			
	Man8	408.2	415.4	415.3			

 Table S1. Estimated TWCCS values of all investigated N-glycans.



Figure S1: Arrival time distributions of synthetic high-mannose N-glycans as  $[M+Cl]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions.



Figure S2: Arrival time distributions of thyroglobulin high-mannose N-glycans as  $[M+Cl]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions.



Figure S3: Arrival time distributions of RNase B high-mannose N-glycans as  $[M+Cl]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions.



Figure S4: Arrival time distributions of ovalbumin high-mannose N-glycans as  $[M+Cl]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions.



**Figure S5:** MS/MS spectra of Man5 and Man6  $[M-H]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions from thyroglobulin.  $[M-H]^-$  spectra were summed from peak 1 or peak 2. Fragmentation of  $[M-H]^-$  and  $[M+H_2PO_4]^-$  ions gave identical spectra and corresponds to the same structures for both Man5 and Man6.

# References

- 1. M. F. Bush, Z. Hall, K. Giles, J. Hoyes, C. V. Robinson and B. T. Ruotolo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 9557-9565.
- 2. H. Hernandez and C. V. Robinson, *Nat Protoc*, 2007, **2**, 715-726.
- 3. J. Hofmann, W. B. Struwe, C. A. Scarff, J. H. Scrivens, D. J. Harvey and K. Pagel, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 10789-10795.
- 4. H. E. Revercomb and E. A. Mason, *Analytical Chemistry*, 1975, **47**, 970-983.
- 5. K. Thalassinos, M. Grabenauer, S. E. Slade, G. R. Hilton, M. T. Bowers and J. H. Scrivens, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2009, **81**, 248-254.