# **Electronic Supporting Information**

# Water Proton NMR—A Sensitive Probe of Solute Association

Yue Feng, Marc B. Taraban, Yihua Bruce Yu\*

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA

\*Corresponding author; +1 410-706-7514; <u>byu@rx.umaryland.edu</u>

# **Materials and Methods:**

### **General Information:**

BSA (bovine serum albumin),  $\gamma$ -globulin and sodium octanoate (NaC<sub>8</sub>) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich; sodium perfluorooctanoate (NaFC<sub>8</sub>) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. All compounds were used without further purification.

NMR experiments were carried out at 9.4 T using a Varian INOVA spectrometer equipped with a broad band probe with Z-gradient; and at 0.47 T using a UNIQ PMR benchtop spectrometer with a 10-mm proton probe.

#### **Sample Preparation:**

#### 1. BSA solutions

BSA was dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 50 sodium phosphate, 100 sodium chloride, pH 7.4) of different concentrations (0, 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mg/mL). Aggregation was induced by heating BSA solutions to 55 or 60 °C for 2 – 30 min. The heated solutions were then cooled down to room temperature for NMR or DLS measurements. For the determination of the correlation between the water proton  $R_2$  and the average molecular weight of the aggregate, BSA concentration was fixed at 15 mg/mL.

#### 2. $\gamma$ -Globulin solutions

 $\gamma$ -Globulin was dissolved in PBS at 15 mg/mL. Aggregation was induced by heating in the same way as BSA.

# 3. Sodium octanoate (NaC<sub>8</sub>)

15 samples of different concentrations of NaC<sub>8</sub> (0.009, 0.014, 0.019, 0.038, 0.075, 0.15, 0.30, 0.60, 0.90, 1.20, 1.50, 1.80, 2.00, 2.20 and 2.40 M) were prepared using volumetric serial dilution from a stock solution of 2.40 M of NaC<sub>8</sub> in water, which was prepared by gradually adding DI-water to NaC<sub>8</sub> in a 50-mL centrifuge tube and nutated slowly overnight at room

temperature. Afterwards, the solutions were let to equilibrate at room temperature for 4-6 weeks before measurements.

Previous works on NaC<sub>8</sub> were mostly reported in molality.<sup>S1</sup> To convert the literature reported CMC values from molality (mol/kg) to molarity (mol/L), the specific density  $\rho$  (in g/mL) of each solution was measured at 22.5°C using a density meter (DMA 5000 from Anton Paar).

# 4. Sodium perfluorooctanoate (NaFC<sub>8</sub>)

16 samples of different concentration (1.7, 2.5, 3.9, 5.8, 8.7, 13.0, 19.5, 29.3, 36.6, 43.9, 65.8, 98.8, 148.2, 222.2, 333.3 and 500 mM) were prepared by serial dilution from a stock solution of 500 mM NaFC<sub>8</sub> in DI water.

#### NMR experiments:

In all NMR experiments, the CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) sequence<sup>S2</sup> was used to measure the water proton transverse relaxation time ( $T_2$ ). The relaxation delay (d1) was larger than  $5 \times T_1$  in all cases. The water proton  $T_2$  value can be extracted from the following equation:

$$I(t) = I_0 \times \exp(-t/T_2) \tag{1}$$

where I(t) is the water proton signal intensity at time t;  $I_0$  is the initial signal intensity when t = 0; and t is the  $T_2$  delay time. By increasing t, a signal intensity decay curve can be obtained. The relaxation constant  $T_2$  was extracted by fitting the I(t) vs. t curve to Eqn. 1.

For all NMR experiments carried out at 9.4 T, the sample was loaded into a 3-mm NMR tube, which was then inserted into a 5-mm NMR tube that was preloaded with  $D_2O$  and trace amount of trimethylsilyl propionate (TSP) to provide the deuterium lock signal and chemical shift reference. At 9.4 T, a small flip-angle excitation pulse (about 10°) was used to avoid overflowing the receiver by the water proton signal.

#### 1. BSA solutions

For the CPMG experiments at 9.4 T, the interval between the 180° pulses  $(2\tau)$  was 120 µs, and 4 transients (*nt*=4) were collected. Ten different values of *t*, the  $T_2$  delay time, were used ( $t = 2n\tau$ , *n* is the number of 180° pulses. The *t* value was varied by varying *n* while  $\tau$  was fixed at 120 µs). To get good fitting results, these parameters were optimized based on the specific  $T_2$  value of each sample.

For the CPMG experiments at 0.47 T, the interval between the 180° pulses  $(2\tau)$  was 2 ms. Instead of using the peak area as the signal intensity, the amplitude of the FID of each CPMG echo was used as I(t) in Eqn. 1. Based on the specific  $T_2$  value of each sample, the echo numbers were optimized (400-2000) to get the proper signal decay curve.

# 2. γ-Globulin solutions

For γ-globulin solutions, the NMR experiment parameter settings were same as that of BSA.

#### 3. $NaC_8$

Chemical shifts of NaC<sub>8</sub> hydrocarbon protons were obtained from 1D pre-saturation experiments. 5 s recovery delay (*d1*), 30° excitation pulse was used and 32 transients (*nt*=32) were collected. The chemical shift of the C<sup>2</sup> methylene protons and that of the C<sup>3</sup> methyl protons were used for plotting Figure 2a.

For the water proton  $R_2$  measurements at 9.4 T, nt = 4,  $\tau = 1.0$  ms, and the values of  $2n\tau$  were optimized based on the  $R_2$  value of each sample. For instance, for the 0.009 M sample,  $2n\tau$  increased from 0.1 to 5 s in 13 steps; while for the 2.40 M sample,  $2n\tau$  increased from 0.1 to 2 s in 9 steps.

For the water proton  $R_2$  measurements in NaC<sub>8</sub> solutions at 0.47 T, the NMR parameters were the same as those for BSA.

### 4. NaFC<sub>8</sub>

For NaFC<sub>8</sub> solutions, the parameter settings for the water proton  $R_2$  measurements were same as those of NaC<sub>8</sub>.

# **Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Experiments:**

For DLS measurements, BSA and  $\gamma$ -globulin samples were the same as used in NMR studies. 1 mL of each sample was loaded into a cylindrical glass vial (6 mm in diameter). Data collection at 90° scattering angle started after complete equilibration at 25 °C (± 0.1 °C) in the cavity of the light scattering setup. DLS experiments were performed with a PhotoCor Instruments instrument,<sup>S3</sup> and the software *DynaLS* (SoftScientific, Inc.) was used to process the scattering data. For a single-exponentially decaying relaxation process, the intensity autocorrelation function  $g_2(t)$  (obtained in the homodyning mode) is given as<sup>S4, S5</sup>

$$g_2(t) - 1 = A \exp\left[-2\frac{t}{\tau}\right] \tag{2}$$

where A is the amplitude of the relaxation process, t is the "lag" (or "delay") time of photon correlation, and  $\tau$  is the characteristic relaxation time of the polarization fluctuation which essentially gives rise to light scattering. For a diffusive relaxation process, the decay (relaxation) time  $\tau$  reflects the average time of the particle travels within the laser spot of the instrument and, thus, is related to particle mobility, and, hence, the collective diffusion coefficient  $D_c$  as<sup>S4, S5</sup>

$$\tau = \frac{1}{D_{\rm c}q^2} \tag{3}$$

where q is the difference in the wave vectors between the incident and scattered light beams,

$$q = \frac{4\pi n \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})}{\lambda} \tag{4}$$

*n* is the refractive index of the solvent (1.33245095 for water),  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the incident light in vacuum ( $\lambda = 633$  nm for a He–Ne laser), and  $\theta$  is the scattering angle (90°). Thus, q = 0.0187 nm<sup>-1</sup>. For mono-disperse, non-interacting, spherical Brownian particles, the hydrodynamic radius  $R_{\rm h}$  can be calculated with the Stokes-Einstein relation<sup>S4, S5</sup>

$$R_{\rm h} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\eta D_{\rm c}} \tag{5}$$

where  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann's constant (1.381 × 10<sup>-23</sup> J/K), *T* is the absolute temperature (298 Kelvin), and  $\eta$  is the viscosity of the solvent (8.93904021 × 10<sup>-4</sup> Pa·s for water at 25°C). The mean values  $R_{\rm h}$  of the observed size distributions of the aggregates were used to obtain the average molecular weight of the aggregates based on the known relationship<sup>S6</sup> of the  $R_{\rm h}$  and number average molecular weight of the polymer  $\overline{\rm M.W.}$ :

$$R_{\rm h} \sim \overline{\mathrm{M.W.}}^{0.5}$$
 (6)

Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of 15 mg/ml BSA (Fig. S1a and S1c) and  $\gamma$ -globulin solutions (Fig. S1b and S1d). (a) and (b), without water suppression: the water signal are sharp and very easy to be detected (only 1 scan), while the protein signal are invisible at the same condition. (c) and (d), with pre-saturation water suppression: even with 100 scans, the protein proton signal are still weak and complex.



Figure S2. Water proton  $R_2$  vs. BSA concentration without (open squares) and with (solid squares) heat-induced aggregation. In the absence of aggregation, a linear relationship between the water proton  $R_2$  and BSA is observed. At a given BSA concentration, aggregation caused a jump of the water proton  $R_2$ .



Figure S3. Water proton  $R_2$  vs  $R_h$  of a) BSA and b)  $\gamma$ -globulin detected at 9.4 T and 0.47 T.



Figure S4. Structure of NaC  $_{\rm 8}$  and its  $^1{\rm H}$  spectrum. TSP is the chemical shift reference.



Figure S5. Protein aggregation is not always visually obvious. Vial #0: phosphate buffered saline (PBS), Vial #1: bovine serum albumin (BSA,  $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M) in PBS; Vial #2: BSA ( $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$  M) after 30 min heating to 60°C; Vial #3: BSA ( $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M) after 30 min heating to 60°C; Vial #4: BSA ( $7.5 \times 10^{-5}$  M) after 30 min heating to 60°C. Aggregates are absent in Vials 0, 1; and aggregates are present in Vials 2, 3, 4.



Figure S6. A sealed vial of a pharmaceutical product can be loaded into a benchtop NMR tube for water proton  $R_2$  measurement.

Compound	χ <sub>ν</sub> (× 10⁻6)	$\Delta\chi_{ m V}$ ( $ imes$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> )
H <sub>2</sub> O	-9.05	0
Partially fluorinated		
$C_6H_5CH_3$ (toluene)	-7.75	1.29
$C_6H_5CF_3$	-7.84	1.21
2-CH₃-phenol (m-cresol)	-8.67	0.38
2-CF <sub>3</sub> -phenol	-8.66	0.39
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	-7.73	1.32
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	-7.82	1.23
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	-7.25	1.80
CHF <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	-8.14	0.90
Perfluorinated		
n-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>14</sub>	-7.14	1.91
n-C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>14</sub>	-8.36	0.69
c-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	-7.20	1.85
c-C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>10</sub>	-8.07	0.98
CH₃COOH	-12.94	-3.90
CF <sub>3</sub> COOH	-7.29	1.76
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> COOH	-7.24	1.81
C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>5</sub> COOH	-7.30	1.75
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> COOH	-7.50	1.55
C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>7</sub> COOH	-7.83	1.22

**Table S1. Diamagnetic Susceptibility of Compounds** 

Notes:

- 1. For each pair of compound, the hydrogenated one is in black while the fluorinated one is in blue. In each pair, the fluorinated compound has smaller diamagnetic susceptibility contrast  $\Delta \chi_v$  (=  $\chi_v$ (compound)  $\chi_v$ (water)) with water than the hydrogenated one.
- 2. The volume diamagnetic susceptibility  $\chi_v$  of a compound was calculated from the molar diamagnetic susceptibility  $\chi_m$ , the density and the molecular weight of that compound listed in reference,<sup>S7, S8</sup> and converted to the SI unit. Unfortunately, there is no published data on  $\chi_v$  of NaFC<sub>8</sub>. But the three perfluorinated carboxylic acids in this Table all have  $\chi_v$  values closer to water than their hydrogenated counterparts.

# **References:**

- S1 P. Ekwall, *Chemistry, Physics and Application of Surface Active Substances, Vol. 2*, ed. J.
   Th. G. Overbeek, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967, pp. 651.
- S2 S. Meiboom, D. Gill, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 1958, 29, 688.
- S3 D. Subramanian, et al. J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2011, 56, 1238.
- S4 B. Chu, *Laser Light Scattering: Basic Principles and Practice*, Academic Press, Boston, 1991.
- S5 B. J. Berne, R. Pecora, *Dynamic Light Scattering: With Applications to Chemistry, Biology, and Physics*, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2000.
- S6 M. Adam, M. Delsanti, Macromolecules, 1977, 10, 1229.
- S7 CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, ed. D. R. Lide, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 84th edn., 2003-2004.
- S8 R. Perceau, Compt. Rend., 1954, 239, 170-171.