Supporting Information

A Near-Infrared Multifunctional Fluorescent Probe with Inherent Tomor-targeting Property for Bioimaging

Xu Zhao^{a,b}, Yang Li^{a,b}, Di Jin^a, Yuzhi Xing, Xilong Yan^{a,b} * and Ligong Chen^{a,b} *

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin 300072, P.R. China

Corresponding authors: X. L. Yan and L. G. Chen Tel.: +86 22 27406314, Fax: +86 22 27406314. E-mail address: lgchen@tju.edu.cn (L. G. Chen), yan@tju.edu.cn (X. L. Yan)

Contents

Materials and equipments
Synthesis and characterization of compounds
Measurement of absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy7
Measurement of absolute quantum yield ······8
In vitro cytotoxicity experiments
pH-dependent cell imaging and subcellular localization experiments9
In vivo imaging experiments ·····10
Fig.S1 UV absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of compound 710
Fig.S2 UV absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of compound 811
Fig.S3The decayed curves of compound 10 at different pH values
Fig.S4 The fluorescent intensity at 814 nm of 10 at $pH = 4.01$ upon addition of
different interferents ······11
Fig.S5 Effect of probe 10 on the viability of HeLa cells12
References·····12
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR spectra 13

Materials and equipments

1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl), Nhydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 2,3,3-trimethyl-5-nitro-3*H*-indole and ethyl 3-bromo propionate were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Rhodamine123 and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, England). Fetal bovine serum and cell culture media were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Diethanolamine was obtained from Yantai Peiyang Fine Chemical Co. Ltd. (Shandong, China). Other reagents and solvents such as POCl₃ and TEA were purchased from Tianjin chemicals Co. (Tianjin, China) and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. DMF was purified by refluxing with calcium hydride for 4 h and then distilled. Deionized water was used throughout this work.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer and Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard. Highresolution mass spectra were measured with a Bruker Daltonics micrOTOF-Q II instrument (ESI). All pH measurements were measured with a Sartorius basic pHmeter PB-10. UV-Vis spectra were obtained on an Evolution 300 UV-Visible spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Jobin Yvon-Spex Fluorolog3 spectrofluorometer and Hitachi F-4500 spectrofluorometer. The photophysical properties of compound 10, including fluorescence quantum yield and lifetime were performed on a PTI QM/TM/NIR system (Photon Technology International, Birmingham, NJ, USA). The decayed curves of compound **10** emission at 810 nm excited by the high resolution laser (N₂ laser at 337nm tuned by laser dye PLD665) at 665 nm. Confocal fluorescence images of live cells were taken on a Leica TCS SP8. The *in vivo* images of mice were performed with a Bethold NightOWL LB 983 *in vivo* Imaging System equipped with a CCD camera.

Synthesis and characterization of compounds





Scheme S1 Synthetic routes of probes

3-(3,3-dimethyl-2-methyleneiodolinl-yl)-ethyl propionate (1) and 2-chloro-1formyl-3-(hydroxymethylene)-cyclohex-1-ene (2) were prepared according to our previously reported method^[1].

Synthesis of compound **4**: To a mixture of compound **1** (7.75 g, 29.88 mmol) and compound **2** (5.14 g, 29.78 mmol) in ethanol was added compound **3** (6.13 g, 30.01 mmol), then the resulting solution was stirred at 50 °C for 5 h under N₂ atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into brine (100 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×30 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to afford a red solid. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with gradient elution (petroleum ether / ethyl acetate of 10/1 to 2/1, V/V) to obtain compound 4 as a red solid (7.10 g, 39.78 %).

¹H NMR (600MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.30(1H, d, *J* =16.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 8.26(1H, d, *J* =9.0 Hz, ArH), 8.17(1H, d, *J* =2.4 Hz, ArH), 7.63(1H, d, *J* = 8.4 Hz,

ArH), 7.60(1H, d, *J* =12.6 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.21-7.18(2H, m, ArH), 6.92(1H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 6.74(1H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, ArH), 6.59(1H, d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.55(1H, d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.13(2H, q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 4.02(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.69(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.62-2.59(4H, m, CH₂), 1.93-1.89(2H, m, CH₂), 1.66(6H, s, CH₃), 1.53(6H, s, CH₃), 1.21(3H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (150MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 189.15, 189.10, 171.45, 159.93, 159.05, 147.73 145.08, 143.65, 139.67, 139.05, 128.44, 127.86, 125.24, 124.78, 122.49, 121.85, 120.67, 120.06, 117.16, 116.91, 106.66, 93.64, 61.02, 53.00, 46.18, 38.29, 31.23, 29.69, 28.23, 26.88, 26.32, 24.31, 14.10.

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{35}H_{38}ClN_3O_4$, $[M+H]^+$ 600.2629, found 600.2623.

Synthesis of compound **5**: To an ethanol (50 mL) solution of compound **4**(3.60 g, 6.00 mmol), tin(II) chloride dihydrate (4.06 g, 15.00 mmol) was added, and then the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After this, the solvent was removed, then the residue was dispersed in ice and water mixture (150 mL) and alkalized to pH=8 with 2 mol/L NaOH solution. The suspension was extracted with dichloromethane (4×30 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to obtain the crude product as a red solid. Finally, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography with gradient elution (petroleum ether / ethyl acetate of 5/1 to 1/1, V/V) to offer the title compound as a red solid (2.67 g, 78.17%).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.97(1H, d, *J* =16.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.50(1H, d, *J* =12.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.41(1H, d, *J* =7.6 Hz, ArH), 7.19(1H, t, *J* =8.4 Hz, ArH, 1H, d, *J* =16.8 Hz, -CH=CH-), 6.90(1H, t, *J* =7.6 Hz, ArH), 6.71(1H, d, *J* =7.6 Hz, ArH), 6.66(1H, s, ArH), 6.63(1H, d, *J* =8.8 Hz, ArH), 5.53(1H, d, *J* =12.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.14(2H, q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 4.01(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.77(12H, s, NH₂), 2.69(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.61-2.58(4H, m, CH₂), 1.91-1.88(2H, m, CH₂), 1.67(6H, s, CH₃), 1.48(6H, s, CH₃), 1.23(3H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (150MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 180.48, 171.59, 157.41, 149.33, 146.66, 144,93, 143.90, 139.00, 136.33, 134.90, 126.98, 127.76, 125.34, 125.57, 121.80, 121.15, 120.98, 120.20, 114.26, 108.25, 106.33, 93.41, 60.97, 52.21, 45.90, 38.21, 31.21, 28.17, 26.87, 26.43, 25.00, 21.53, 14.10

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{35}H_{40}ClN_3O_2$, $[M+H]^+$ 570.2887, found

570.2886.

Synthesis of compound **6**: To a chilled dichloromethane solution (25 mL) of compound **5** (2.63 g, 4.61 mmol) and TEA (1.8 mL, 13.0 mmol) was dropwise added the solution of chloroacethyl chloride (450 μ L, 5.98 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was stirred under an ice bath for 5 h. Then the mixture was poured into water (100 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×30 mL). The organic phases were combined and dried over MgSO₄, concentrated to give the title compound without further purification (2.68 g, 89.93%).

¹H NMR (600MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.25(1H, s, -NHCO-), 8.02(1H, d, *J* =16.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.66(1H, d, *J*=1.8 Hz, ArH), 7.50(1H, d, *J*=8.4 Hz, ArH), 7.45(1H, d, *J*=13.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.25(1H, dd, *J*₁= 1.8 Hz, *J*₂= 7.8 Hz, ArH), 7.12-7.09(2H, m, ArH), 6.82(1H, t, *J*=7.2 Hz, ArH), 6.64(1H, d, *J*=7.8 Hz, ArH), 6.55(1H, d, *J*=16.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.45(1H, d, *J*=12.6 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.15(2H, s, CH₂), 4.05(2H, q, *J*=7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.93(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.60(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.53-2.51(4H, m, CH₂), 1.84-1.79(2H, m, CH₂), 1.58(6H, s, CH₃), 1.43(6H, s, CH₃), 1.14(3H, t, *J*=7.2 Hz, CH₃).

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{37}H_{41}Cl_2N_3O_3$, $[M+H]^+$ 646.2603, found 646.2605.

Synthesis of compound 8: To a solution of compound 6 (1.04 g, 1.61 mmol) in dichloromethane (25 mL) was added TEA (1.0 mL, 7.2 mmol)) at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was poured into water (100 mL) and then extracted with dichloromethane (30 mL×3). The organic phases were combined and dried over MgSO₄, concentrated to give a purple red solid. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (dichloromethane / methanol of 5/1, V/V) to obtain 1.01 g compound 8 as a purple red solid (88.60 % yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 11.60(1H, s, NH-C=O), 8.11(1H, d, J = 16.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.82(2H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, ArH), 7.57-7.53(2H, m, ArH, -CH=CH-), 7.21(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz, ArH), 6.92(1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 6.74(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, ArH), 6.64(1H, d, J = 16.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.55(1H, d, J = 12.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.78(2H, s, CH₂), 4.16(2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 4.03(2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.70(6H, q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.71(2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 2.63-2.61(4H, m, CH₂), 1.95-1.90(2H, m, CH₂), 1.68(6H, s, CH₃), 1.54-1.51(15H, s, CH₃), 1.25(3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (150MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 183.71, 171.49, 160.93, 158.14, 150.77, 147.71, 143.78, 139.03, 137.87, 137.01, 135.33, 128.67, 127.78, 127.01, 125.47, 121.83, 120.42, 120.23, 120.12, 119.54, 113.60, 106.48, 93.51, 60.98, 57.65, 55.21, 52.73, 46.15, 46.04, 31.22, 28.19, 26.86, 26.37, 24.70, 21.45, 14.09, 8.43.

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{43}H_{56}ClN_4O_3^+$, $[M]^+$ (m/z) 711.4041, $[M+H]^{2+}$ (m/z) 356.2060, found 711.4037, 356.2058.

Compound 7 was obtained by the similar procedure in 79.86 % yield.

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 9.80(1H, s, -NHCO-), 8.10(1H, d, J = 16.4 Hz, -CH=CH-),7.97(1H, s, ArH), 7.56-7.51(2H, m, ArH, -CH=CH-), 7.35(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, ArH), 7.21(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz, ArH), 6.92(1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 7.43(1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, ArH), 6.64(1H, d, J = 16.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.54(1H, d, J = 12.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.15(2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 4.02(2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.79(4H, t, J = 4.8 Hz, CH₂), 3.44(2H, s, CH₂), 2.89(4H, t, J = 4.8 Hz, CH₂), 2.70(2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.62-2.60(4H, m, CH₂), 1.93-1.87(2H, m, CH₂), 1.68(6H, s, CH₃), 1.51(6H, s, CH₃), 1.24(3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (150MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 183.40, 171.55, 169.68, 157.98, 149.98, 147.97, 143.83, 139.05, 136.67, 136.18, 136.13, 128.67, 127.78, 126.71, 125.47, 121.83, 120.36, 120.28, 119.70, 119.13, 112.91, 106.42, 93.46, 60.99, 59.86, 58.46, 56.89, 52.72, 46.01, 31.22, 29.70, 28.20, 26.88, 26.39, 24.68, 18.44, 14.10.

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{41}H_{51}ClN_4O_5$, $[M+H]^+$ 715.3626, found 715.3925.

Synthesis of compound 9: To an ethanol solution (25 mL) of intermediate 8 (1.82 g, 2.55 mmol), 2 mol/L NaOH solution (17.5 mL) was added, and then the resulting mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 3 h under N₂ atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed, then the residue was dissolved in water (50 mL) and acidified to pH=6 with 1 mol/L HCl solution. The precipitation was collected by filtration and washed with water (30 mL×3), then purified by flash chromatography with gradient elution (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate of 3:1 to methanol) to afford compound 9 as a blue solid (1.46 g, 83.91 %).

¹H NMR (400MHz, MeOD) δ (ppm): 8.14(1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.78(1H, s, ArH), 7.56(1H, d, J = 12.4 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.45(2H, s, ArH), 7.20-7.15(2H, m, ArH), 6.90-6.85(2H, m, ArH), 6.55(1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.67(1H, d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, -CH=CH-), 4.94(2H, s, CH₂), 4.00(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.63(6H, q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 2.55-2.51(6H, m, CH₂), 1.82(2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 1.62(6H, s, CH₃), 1.45(6H, s, CH₃), 1.38(9H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₃).

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{41}H_{52}ClN_4O_3^+$, $[M]^+$ (m/z) 683.3728, $[M+H]^{2+}$ (m/z) 342.1903, found 683.3780, 342.1918.

Synthesis of compound **10** (TM): The mixture of EDC·HCl (0.29 g, 1.62 mmol) and NHS (0.21 g, 1.82 mmol) was added to a solution of compound **9** (1.03 g, 1.51 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (15 mL) on an ice bath under N₂ atmosphere. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and continually stirred for 12 h in the dark. After this, *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (820 μ L, 4.70 mmol) and D-glucosamine hydrochloride (0.39 g, 1.81 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional 5 h under N₂ atmosphere, and then poured into acetone (50 mL). The precipitation was collected by filtration and purified by flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/Methanol of 10:1) to obtain the title compound as a red solid (0.73 g, 57.03 %).

¹H NMR (600MHz, MeOD) δ (ppm): 8.14(1H, d, *J* =15.6 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.77(1H, d, *J* =28.8 Hz, -CH=CH-), 7.60-7.55(2H, m, ArH), 7.45(1H, dd, *J_I*= 2.4 Hz, *J₂*= 8.4 Hz, ArH), 7.19-7.14(2H, m, ArH), 6.87(1H, t, *J* =7.2 Hz, ArH), 6.77(1H, d, *J* =8.4 Hz, ArH), 6.56(1H, d, *J* =15.0 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.59(1H, d, *J* =10.8 Hz, -CH=CH-), 5.32(1H, d, *J* =3.0 Hz, -NH-), 4.05(6H, q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.84-3.80(2H, m, CH₂), 3.79-3.76(2H, m, CH₂), 3.54(1H, d, *J* = 16.8 Hz, CH), 3.44(1H, t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, CH), 3.33-3.32(1H, m, CH), 2.66(2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, CH₂), 2.62-2.58(4H, m, CH₂), 1.85(2H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, CH₂), 1.60(6H, s, CH₃), 1.45(6H, s, CH₃), 1.15(9H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH₃).

HRMS (ESI Positive): calc. for $C_{47}H_{63}ClN_5O_7^+$, $[M+H]^+$ 845.4494, found 845.4487.

Measurement of absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy

Absorption spectra were recorded in a quartz cuvette (1 cm×1 cm) under ambient atmosphere at room temperature. Before the measurement, a stock solution of probe (1×10⁻³ M) was prepared by dissolving the required amount of **10** (0.0085 g) in ethanol and diluting it to the mark in a 10 mL volumetric flask. Further dilution yielded the working solution (1×10⁻⁵ M) by diluting 2.5 mL of stock solution to 250

mL with ethanol-water (2:8, V/V). For investigating the optical response of this probe towards pH, the accurate pH of the working solution was adjusted by 0.1 M NaOH and 0.1 M HCl (from 2.53 to 11.70), and then the absorption spectra at different pH value were recorded in the wavelength range of $300\sim1000$ nm. Fluorescence spectra were collected in a quartz cuvette (1 cm×1 cm) at a 90-degree angle relative to the excitation light path. Excitation and emission slits were both 8 nm and the excitation wavelength was 760 nm. The Absorption spectra and fluorescence spectra of control molecule (compounds 7 and 8, 1×10^{-5} M) upon pH were evaluated in the similar method.

The biologically relevant analytes, including metal ions (Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ca²⁺) made from their chloride salts, thiols (GSH, Cys, Hcy) and H₂O₂ were dissolved in deionized water to obtain the stock solutions of the interferents (1×10^{-3} M). In selectivity experiments, working solution (9 mL) was added to a 10 mL volumetric flask, to which stock solutions of interferents (100μ L) were respectively added using a micropipettor, and then it was diluted to the mark with deionized water.

Measurement of absolute quantum yield

The absolute fluorescence quantum yield (ϕ_f) of solution of compound 10 (excitation at 760 nm) at room temperature was determined on a PTI QM/TM/NIR system (Photon Technology International, Birmingham, NJ, USA) with an integration sphere attachment (Labsphere, North Sutton NH, USA). A circular quartz cuvette for the working solution of sample $\frac{10}{F_{set}} \frac{1}{F_{set}} \frac$

Where $PN_{(abs)}$ and $PN_{(em)}$ are the number of photons absorbed by the sample and photons emitted from the sample, respectively. L_{sample} is the fluorescence intensity of the working solution of sample, $E_{\text{reference}}$ and E_{sample} are the excitation light intensities of the reference and the sample, respectively. Ethanol-water (2/8, V/V) solution was employed as reference.

In vitro cytotoxicity experiments^[2]

HeLa cells were used for *in vitro* cytotoxicity evaluation of the probe (10) based on the MTT assay. HeLa cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5×10^4 cells/well in 100 µL DMEM with 10% FBS at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. After 24 h incubation, the original medium was replaced with fresh culture medium containing different concentrations of 10 (0.5, 1, 5 and 10 equiv. of)testing concentration $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{M})$ and then incubation for another 24 h (each concentration was done in triplicate). Afterwards, the medium in each well was then removed and washed with PBS buffer three times. Then solution of MTT (30 µL, 5 mg/mL in PBS buffer) and PBS buffer (1 mL) were added to each well followed by incubation for additional 4 h under the same condition. Subsequently, the medium in each well was removed and washed twice with PBS buffer, then 2 mL DMSO was added to dissolve the internalized purple formazan crystals. The plate was subjected to gently agitation for 30 min in order to dissolve all the crystals. The absorbance at wavelength of 570 nm was recorded on a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher). The average absorbance of the blank wells without cells was subtracted from the readings of the other wells. And then the cell viability was determined by the following equation: viability (%) = $A_x/A_{control} \times 100$, (A_x is the average absorbance of the date with a certain concentration of 10, A_{control} is the average absorbance of the control wells in which the probe was absent.).

pH-dependent cell imaging and subcellular localization experiments^[3]

HeLa cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of 5×10^5 cells/well and incubated for 24 h for cell attachment. Afterwards, the original medium was removed and the cells were further incubated with 1×10^{-5} M of **10** in culture media for additional 2 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Then the culture medium was removed and washed twice with PBS buffer. For the pH-dependent cell imaging study, the above cells were treated with PBS buffer at various pH values from 4.0 to 7.0, and then confocal fluorescence imaging was acquired on Leica TCS SP8 confocal laser-scanning microscope with a 40×oil-immersion object lens. Fluorescence was excited at 633 nm and emission was collected by a 700~800 nm band pass filter.

Next, HeLa cells were incubated on another 6-well plate for subcellular localization study. After incubation for 24 h, **10** (1×10^{-5} M) was added to one of the well, and compounds **7** and **8** (1×10^{-5} M) were respectively added into another well as control. The culture medium was removed and washed twice with PBS buffer after incubated for 2 h, and then fresh culture medium containing rhodamine123 (1×10^{-6} M) was added to these wells and incubated for another 1 h. Afterwards, the medium was

removed and washed 3 times, and then the cells were treated with PBS buffer at pH 5 in order to activate the fluorescence of **10**, **8** and **7**. Subsequently, fluorescence images of probe (**10**, **8** and **7**) and rhodamine123 were measured.

In vivo imaging experiments^[4]

Male nude mice were purchased from Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital at 4 weeks of ages. All animal experiments were conducted according to protocols approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Institute of Hematology & Hospital of Blood Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College. For in vivo imaging, HeLa cells (5×10⁶ cells suspended in 100 µL PBS) were inoculated into the right hind limb of the nude mice after anesthetization by injection 4% chloral hydrate (150 µL). After inoculation for two weeks, the mice were chosen for in vivo imaging experiments with the tumor diameters reached approximately 0.5 cm. With the HeLa tumor-bearing nude mice on the hand, 10 (1×10^{-5} M in PBS containing 1% DMSO, 200 µL) was injected in suit into the tumor-bearing nude mice and subcutaneously injected into the homologous normal tissus (left hind limb) with the same amount as a control. The fluorescence images of the tumor-bearing nude mice were performed at the special time points (before injection, 0.5 h, 1 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after injection) with a Bethold NightOWL LB 983 in vivo Imaging System equipped with the CCD camera. The excitation filter and emission filter were set as 630 nm and 800 nm respectively, the constant time was 0.1 s.



Fig.S1 UV absorption spectra (A) and fluorescence emission spectra (B) of compound 7 (1×10⁻⁵ M) upon pH in ethanol-water (2/8, V/V) solution



Fig.S2 UV absorption spectra (A) and fluorescence emission spectra (B) of compound **8** (1×10^{-5} M) upon pH in ethanol-water (2/8, V/V) solution



Fig.S3 The decayed curves of compound 10 at different pH values



Fig.S4 The fluorescent intensity at 814 nm of 10 at pH = 4.01 in ethanol-water (2/8, V/V) solution upon addition of different interferents



Fig.S5 Effect of probe 10 on the viability of HeLa cells

References

- [1] X. Zhao, R. S. Wei, L. G. Chen, D. Jin and X. L. Yan, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 4791-4798.
- [2] X. J. Jiang, P. C. Lo, S. L. Yeung, W. P. Fong and D. K. P. Ng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 3188-3190; K. H. Xu, F. Wang, X. H. Pan, R. P. Liu, J. Ma, F. P. Kong and B. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 2554-2556; M. H. Zan, J. J. Li, S. Z. Luo and Z. S. Ge, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 7824-7827.
- [3] M. H. Lee, N. Park, C. Yi, J. H. Han, J. H. Hong, K. P. Kim, D. H. Kang, J. L. Sessler, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14136-14142, T. Myochin, K. Kiyose, K. Hanaoka, H. Kojima, T. Terai and T. Nagano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 3401-3409.
- [4] Y. Wang and X. P. Yan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013,49, 3324-3326; A. Abdukayum, J. T. Chen, Q. Zhao and X. P. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 14125-14133.

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra



Fig. S7 13 C NMR spectrum of compound 4



Fig. S9 ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 5







Fig. S11 ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 7











