Supplementary Information

A Biofuel Cell in Nonaqueous Solution

Xinxin Xiao and Edmond Magner*

Department of Chemical and Environmental Sciences and Materials and Surface Science Institute, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland *Corresponding author: Edmond Magner, E-mail: edmond.magner@ul.ie

Experimental section

1.1. Materials

Sulfuric acid (95–98 %), nitric acid (70%), potassium phosphate monobasic (\geq 99 %) and dibasic (\geq 98 %), d-(+)-glucose (99.5 %), ethanol (EtOH, 96%), acetonitrile (ACN, \geq 99.9%), acetone (AC, \geq 99.8%), 1-propanol (PrOH, \geq 99.5%), methanol (MeOH, \geq 99.9%), 1-butanol(BuOH, \geq 99.7%), 1-pentanol (PeOH, \geq 99%), tetraethylammonium *p*-toluenesulfonate (TEATS), and poly(ethylene glycol)diglycidyl ether (PEGDGE) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Ireland, Ltd. Absolute ethanol was obtained from Lennox Ltd., Ireland. All solutions were prepared with deionised water (resistivity of 18.2 M Ω cm) from an Elgastat maxima-HPLC (Elga, UK). All experiments were carried out at room temperature (20±2 °C).

The complexes, $[Os(2,2'-bipyridine)_2(polyvinylimidazole)Cl]^{+/2+}(Os(bpy)_2PVI, E^o: 0.22 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and <math>[Os(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)_2(polyvinylimidazole) Cl]^{+/2+}(Os(dmbpy)_2PVI, E^o: 0.12 V vs. Ag/AgCl) were synthesized using published procedures¹. GOx from$ *Aspergillus niger* $(EC 1.1.3.4, type II, <math>\geq$ 15,000 U g⁻¹) and BOD from *Myrothecium verrucaria* (EC 1.3.3.5, 2.63 U mg⁻¹) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Ireland, Ltd. and Amano Enzyme Inc. (Nagoya, Japan), respectively.

NPG sheets were prepared by dealloying 100-nm-thick Au/Ag leaves (12-carat, Eytzinger, Germany) in concentrated HNO₃ for 30 min at 30°C, then placed on the surface of glassy carbon electrodes (GCE)². Prior to using, NPG electrodes were electrochemically cleaned by scanning potential in 1 M H₂SO₄.

1.2. Enzyme immobilization procedures

A 5.3 μ l aliquot of a 6 mg ml⁻¹ aqueous suspension of osmium-based redox polymer, Os(dmbpy)₂PVI or Os(bpy)₂PVI, was combined with 1.3 μ l of a 15 mg ml⁻¹ aqueous solution of PEGDGE and, either 3.2 μ l of a 10 mg ml⁻¹ solution of GOx or BOD. All the components were homogenously mixed by vortexing. The surface of the NPG electrode was fully covered by a drop of the solution, and immediately placed in a vacuum desiccator connected to a vacuum pump for 10 min. The electrodes were then transferred into the fridge, allowed to dry overnight in the dark at 4°C. To elucidate the role of the enzymes on the catalytic response, NPG electrodes modified only with redox polymer were prepared by the same procedure.

1.3. Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical studies were performed using a CHI802 potentiostat (CH Instruments, Austin, Texas) in a standard three-electrode electrochemical cell. Platinum wire and saturated calomel electrodes (SCE) were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Enzyme-modified electrodes were immersed in 0.1 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffer solution (PBS) for at least 20 min prior to electrochemical measurements to allow for film swelling.

The biofuel cell consists of a bioanode made of NPG/Os(dmbpy)₂PVI/GOx and a NPG/Os(bpy)₂PVI/BOD biocathode. The power density of biofuel cells was measured in different oxygen-bubbled organic solvents containing 5 mM glucose using the bioanode as working electrode and the biocathode as a combined counter/reference electrode. The potential was scannedat a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹, while recording the current in the circuit. Storage stability was determined by storing the BFC in buffer solution at 4°C and measuring the response for the required period of time. Nonaqueous solutions were prepared by the addition of the desired volume of buffer solution (4.4 mM phosphate, pH 7.0) to the organic solvent. 0.1 M TEATS was used as the electrolyte. Operational stability tests (for 5 hours in 95% ACN) were

performed by continuously recording the currentat aconstant potential of 0.15 V, with O_2 bubbling of the solution.



Fig. S1 Chronoamperometry response of the NPG/Os(dmbpy)₂PVI/GOx bioanode at +0.2 V vs. SCE in PBS (A) and 95% ACN (B).



Fig. S2 Chronoamperometry response of the NPG/Os(bpy)₂PVI/BOD biocathode at +0.1 V vs. SCE in PBS (A) and 95%ACN (B).



Fig. S3 Chronoamperometric response of blank electrodes without enzymes in PBS: NPG/Os(dmbpy)₂PVI at +0.2 V vs. SCE (A); NPG/Os(bpy)₂PVI at +0.1 V vs. SCE(B).

Note: No amperometric response was observed upon the addition of glucose or O_2 to modified electrodes without GOx or BOD, indicating that the enzymes were catalytically active.



Fig.S4. Operational stability of the BFC in 95% ACN.



Fig.S5 Storage stability of the BFC.

References

- 1. P. A. Jenkins, S. Boland, P. Kavanagh and D. Leech, *Bioelectrochem.*, 2009, **76**, 162-168.
- 2. X. Xiao, J. Ulstrup, H. Li, J. Zhang and P. Si, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **130**, 559-567.