Supporting Information for

Fluorescent Supramolecular Polypseudorotaxane Architectures with

Ru(II)/tri(bipyridine) Centers as Multifunctional DNA Reagent

Wen Zhang, Heng-Yi Zhang,* Yu-Hui Zhang, and Yu Liu*,

Department of Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry,

Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Nankai

University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

E-mail: hyzhang@nankai.edu.cn, yuliu@nankai.edu.cn

Contents

Experimental section Scheme S1. Synthesis route of Ru(bpy) ₃ complexes 1 and 2.		S1 S5
Figure S2-S5.	DOSY-NMR spectra of 1, 1+CB[8], 2, 2+CB[8]	S 6
Figure S6.	AFM image of the polypseudorotaxane from CB[8] and 1	S 8
Figure S7.	DLS of CB[8] and 1	S 8
Figure S8	The control experiments in the same flocculant concentration	S9
Figure S9–S20.	Characterization of 3, 1, 2 and 4	S10
Figure S21.	Cytotoxicity assay results for 1+CB[8] (1:1)	S16
Figure S22.	The confocal fluorescence imaging	S16

Experimental Section

Instrumentation and methods

All solvents and reagents were commercially available and used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. All experiments were performed in deionized water at 25 °C. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz instrument in D₂O, and chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm). DOSY experiments were carried out with a BRUKER AVANCE600 NMR spectrometer. DLS measurements, the sample solution was filtered through a 0.80 mm filter into a clean scintillation vial and then was examined using a laserlight scattering spectrometer equipped with a digital correlator at 636 nm at a scattering angle of 90°. High resolution mass spectra were performed on Q-TOF LC-MS with an ESI mode. Absorption spectra were record on Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer equipped with a PTC-348WI temperature controller. Fluorescence spectra in aqueous solution were measured in a conventional rectangular quartz cell ($10 \times 10 \times 45$ mm) at 25 °C on a JASCO FP-750 spectrometer equipped with a constant performed by means of a Nanoscope IIIa Multimode 8 AFM (Veeco Company, Multimode, Nano IIIa).

Synthesis of compound 3.

Compound 3 was synthesized according to the previous reference.^{S1}

¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.70 (s, 1H), 7.95 – 7.82 (m, 4H), 7.62 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) δ 135.9, 132.9, 132.8, 131.0, 129.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.1, 127.0, 125.5, 123.7, 122.1, 52.8, 35.7; ESI-MS for C₁₅H₁₅BrN₂: calcd. [M –Br[–]]⁺: 223.1235, found: 223.1233.

Synthesis of complex 1.

A solution of bipyridine imidazolium 7 (0.5 g, 0.66 mmol) and cis-[Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂]·2H₂O^{S2} (0.36 g, 0.69 mmol) in 50 mL EtOH was heated at reflux in the dark under an argon atmosphere for 12 h. The solvents were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude dark red residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of water, 0.25 g ammonium hexafluorophosphate was added and orange precipitate was appeared, filtered to get orange solid. Dissolved the solid into 10 mL acetone, 0.21 g tetrabutylammonium chloride was added and red precipitate was appeared, filtered to get orange solid and the product was purified by the mixture of EtOH and Et₂O. Dried under vacuum, dark red power was obtained (yield: 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.49 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.44 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.29 (d J = 0.7 Hz, 2H), 8.04 (td, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.92 – 7.86 (m, 4H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (dd, J = 19.6, 2.0 Hz, 4H), 7.52 (s, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 7.45 – 7.38 (m, 6H), 7.37 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 7.22 (m, 4H), 7.02 - 6.98 (m, 2H), 5.56 (s, 4H), 5.48 - 5.39 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) δ 157.3, 156.8, 156.7, 152.5, 151.3, 150.9, 144.4, 137.9, 137.8, 132.7, 132.6, 131.0, 128.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.7, 126.6, 125.3, 124.1, 124.0, 123.3, 123.1, 123.0, 53.2, 51.1; ESI-MS for $C_{60}H_{50}N_{10}C_{14}Ru$: calcd. $[M - 4Cl^{-} - 2H^{+}]^{2+}$: 505.1554, found: 505.1554.

Synthesis of complex 2.

A solution of **9** (0.39 g, 1 mmol) and cis-[Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂]·2H₂O (0.55 g, 1.05 mmol) in 40 mL EtOH was heated at reflux in the dark under an argon atmosphere for 12 h. The solvents were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude dark red residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of water, 0.49 g ammonium hexafluorophosphate was added and orange precipitate was appeared, filtered to get orange solid. Dissolved the solid into 10 mL acetone, 0.42 g tetrabutylammonium chloride was added and red precipitate was appeared, filtered to get orange solid and the product was purified by the mixture of EtOH and Et₂O. Dried under

vacuum, dark red power was obtained (yield: 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.49 – 8.40 (m, 4H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 8.00 – 7.92 (m, 4H), 7.85 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.67 – 7.65 (m, 3H), 7.62 – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.46 (m, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.38 – 7.33 (m, 1H), 7.32 – 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.21 – 7.13 (m, 3H), 5.60 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 5.57 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) δ 214.4, 157.3, 156.1, 156.0, 156.0, 154.7, 151.4, 150.5, 150.4, 150.2, 150.20, 149.8, 149. 6, 143.4, 136.7, 136.7, 135.5, 131.8, 130.3, 128.2, 127.7, 126.9, 126.8, 126.6, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 125.8, 124.8, 124.5, 124.3, 123.1, 123.0, 122.6, 122.4, 121.5, 52.4, 50.4, 19.5; ESI-MS for C₄₆H₃₉N₈Cl₃Ru: calcd. [M – 3Cl⁻ – H⁺]²⁺: 402.1132, found: 402.1140.

Synthesis of complex 4.

Complex 4 was synthesized according to the previous reports.^{S3}

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 9.27 (s, 1H), 7.99 – 7.92 (m, 4H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.58 – 7.52 (m, 3H), 5.59 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) δ 157.1, 157.1, 156.4, 151.4, 151.2, 150.4, 150.1, 137.2, 127.8, 126.9, 124.6, 123.8, 20.3; ESI-MS for C₃₂H₂₈N₆Cl₂Ru: calcd. [M – 2Cl⁻]²⁺: 299.0710, found: 299.0715.

DNA Concentration

Agarose gels of 1% were prepared by heating agarose (250 mg) in 25mLTAE buffer (Dingguo Changsheng Biotechnology Co. Ltd.). Sample solutions containing 1+CB[8], 2_2 +CB[8], 1, 2, 4 with different concentration were added into pBR322DNA then diluted to a total volume of 10 µL. After keeping in dark for 1h, the sample solutions were mixed with 10µL 6×Loading buffer to electrophoresis then visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The

DNA bands were visualized and photographed by means of a UV transilluminator and WD-9413B gel documentation system (Beijing Liuyi Instrument Factory, P.R. China).

Inhibition of HindIII.

Equivalent 1 (10 μ L) and CB[8] (10 μ L) in various concentrations were added to a solution of pBR322DNA (420 ng, 7 μ L). After keeping the samples in the dark for 1 h at room temperature, the mixture was incubated with HindIII (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Dalian)) according to the instructions provided by the supplier. After 30 min, enzyme reactions were stoped by loading buffer (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co.Ltd. (Dalian)), then the samples were loaded into agarose gel. Free DNA and *Hin*dIII-interacted free DNA were also conducted on the gel as control experiments.

Cytotoxicity Studies.

The cytotoxicity of polypseudorotaxane (CB[8]·1)_n was investigated by means of a 3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT). The solution of 5 mg·mL-1 MTT and 2.48 mg·mL⁻¹ (CB[8]·1)_n were prepared respectively, then filtered and stored to use at 4 °C. 293T cells were cultured in 96 wells in PBS buffer solution and incubated for 24 h, then various volume of polypseudorotaxane (CB[8]·1)_n solution were added into the wells to keep concentration gradients as 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 2 mM untreated cells were used as a control. After treating at 37 °C for 48 h, 20 µL of MTT solution was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. The culture medium containing MTT was removed and 150 µL of DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. Viable cells were detected by measuring the absorbance at l=490 nm using ELISA plate reader (ELx 800). The corresponding photomicroscopies of 293T cells at different stages of MTT test were listed in Figure S20.

DNA Translocation.

293 T human embryonic kidney cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and were seeded in a 24-well plate (1×10^5 cells mL⁻¹, 1 mL per well) for 24 h in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The DNA/(1+CB[8]) complexes were prepared by mixing 4.5 mg of DNA and 11.2 mg of polypseudorotaxane (CB[8]·1)_n in 300 µL of phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) buffer solution. The contrast experiment was carried out by adding 11.2 mg of 1+CB[8] directly in 300 µL of PBS solution. All the samples including the control were kept in dark for 1 h under room temperature. Before translocation experiment, the cell culture medium was removed and 30 µL DNA/(1+CB[8]) and 1+CB[8] PBS solution with 270 µL of DMEM without serum was carefully added into each cell well respectively. The cells were further incubated in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 6 h. The fluorescent confocal images were performed on a Leica TCS SP8 fluorescence microscope using an excitation wavelength of 455 nm.







Figure S1. (a) Aqueous UV/vis spectra of **3**, **3**:CB[8] (1:1) (5×10^{-4} M). (b) **1**, **1**:CB[8] (1:1) (5×10^{-5} M). (c) **2**, **2**:CB[8] (2:1) (2×10^{-5} M). (d) Emission spectra (excited at 275 nm) of a titration of CB[8] into an aqueous solution of **3** (1×10^{-5}).



Figure S2. DOSY-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, D_2O , 298 K) of 1 (3.0 mM), plotted using the log values of the diffusion constant.



Figure S3. DOSY-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of **1**+CB[8] (3.0 mM), plotted using the log values of the diffusion constant.



Figure S4. DOSY-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, D_2O , 298 K) of **2** (3.0 mM), plotted using the log values of the diffusion constant.



Figure S5. DOSY-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of 2_2 +CB[8] (3.0 mM), plotted using the log values of the diffusion constant.



Figure S6. AFM image of the polypseudorotaxane from CB[8] and 1



Figure S7. Distribution of the hydrodynamic diameter of 0.1 mM CB[8]/1 (1:1) aqueous solution at 298 K.



Figure S8. Agarose gel electrophoretogram of pBR322 plasmid DNA([DNA] = $15 \text{ ng} \cdot \mu \text{L}^{-1}$) condensed with **4** (lane 1), **2** (lane 2), **1** (lane 3), CB[8]·**2**₂ (lane 4) and (CB[8]·**1**)_n (lane 5) at same concentration (10 μ M). Lane 0, DNA only. All samples are incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 1 h, TAE buffer.



Figure S9. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of **3**.



Figure S10. ¹³C NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of **3**.



Figure S11. ESI-MS spectrum of guest 3 ($C_{15}H_{15}BrN_2$): calcd. $[M - 2Br - 2I - 2H]^{2+}$:

223.1235, found: 223.1233.



Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of 1.



Figure S13. ¹³C NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D2O, 298 K) of 1.



Figure S14. ESI-MS spectrum of complex 1 ($C_{60}H_{50}N_{10}C_{14}Ru$): calcd. $[M - 4Cl^- - 2H^+]^{2+}$: 505.1554, found: 505.1554.



Figure S15. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of **2**.



Figure S16. ¹³C NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of 2.



Figure 17. ESI-MS spectrum of guest 2 ($C_{46}H_{39}N_8Cl_3Ru$): calcd. [M – 3Cl[–] – H⁺]²⁺: 402.1132,

found: 402.1140.



Figure S18. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of 4.



Figure S19. ¹³C NMR spectrum (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) of 4.



Figure S20. ESI-MS spectrum of guest 4 ($C_{32}H_{28}N_6Cl_2Ru$): calcd. $[M - 2Cl^-]^{2+}$: 299.0710,

found: 299.0715.



Figure S21. Cytotoxicity assay results for 1+CB[8] (1:1) to 293T cells at different concentrations after 48 h ((a): 0, (b): 0.025 mM, (c): 0.05 mM, (d): 0.1 mM, (e): 0.2 mM, (f): 0.4 mM, (g): 0.8 mM, (h): 2 mM).



Figure S22. (a) Confocal fluorescence images of 293T cells incubated with $(CB[8]\cdot1)_n$ /DNA for 6 h at Bright-field (left), fluorescence (center, detected at 450 nm), and merged (right).(b) The confocal fluorescence imaging of the 293T cells in the presence of the polypseudorotaxane barely.

REFERENCES

- S1. D. Jiao, F. Biedermann, F. Tian and O. A. Scherman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15734-15743.
- S2. B. P. Sullivan, D. J. Salmon, T. J. Meyer, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 3334-3341.
- S3. G. J. Barbante, C. F. Hogan, D. J. D. Wilson, N. A. Lewcenko, F. M. Pfeffer, N. W. Barnett, P. S. Francis, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 1329–1338.