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## Experimental section

Materials and general methods. All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Elemental analyses were conducted by a Perkin-Elmer 240 CHN elemental analyzer. FT-IR spectra were measured from KBr pellets in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range on a Mattson Alpha-Centauri spectrometer. PXRD patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Dmax 2000 X-ray diffractometer with graphite monochromatized $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.154 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and $2 \theta$ ranging from 5 to $30^{\circ} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian $500 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 125 MHz . Thermogravimetric (TG) measurements were performed on a Perkin-Elmer TG-7 analyzer from 30 to $1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ under nitrogen gas. The catalytic products were measured by gas chromatograph equipment with capillary ( 30 m long $\times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d., WondaCAP 17), and FID detector (GC-2014C, Shimadzu, Japan). UV-vis spectra were measured on a TU-1900 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co. Ltd., China).

Visible photocatalytic reduction of $\mathbf{C r}(\mathbf{V I})$. The experiments were carried out through a conventional process in aqueous solution. Typically, 50 mg of crystals and 20 mL of isopropanol were added into $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ aqueous solution. The solution was stirred in the dark for 30 minutes to guarantee adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Then, the mixture was stirred under the irradiation of Xe lamp, which was used as the visible light source with a cutoff filter. At 15 min intervals, a series of 3 mL sample solutions were taken out from the reaction system and separated by centrifugation to give the supernatant liquid without catalyst particles. During the reduction process, the separated sample was monitored by UV-vis spectrophotometer.

Azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions. In a typical procedure, benzyl chloride (1 $\mathrm{mmol}, 126 \mathrm{mg}$ ), phenylacetylene ( $2 \mathrm{mmol}, 204 \mathrm{mg}$ ), sodium azide ( $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and amyl acetate as internal standard ( $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 120 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were dissolved in methanol/water ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 1$ ). Then the catalyst ( $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added into the solution and the mixture was heated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The structure of the product
was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The yields of the products were calculated by GC based on the content of phenylacetylene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, [D $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ] DMSO, TMS) for 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2a): $\delta 5.57$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 1-(4-fluoro-benzyl) -4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2b): $\delta 5.85$ (s, 2H), 7.21-7.44 (m, 8H), 7.85-7.87 (s, 2H); 1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2c): $\delta 2.21-2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.54-5.65$ (s, 2H), 7.10-7.57 (m, 8H), 7.78-7.95 (s, 2H); 1-(3-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3triazole (2d): $\delta 2.26-2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{1}\right]$ chloroform, TMS) for 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2a): $\delta 54.0,119.3,125.6,128.1,128.7,129.7,130.5,131.5,131.6,138.7,148.1 ; 1-$ (4-fluoro-benzyl) -4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2b): $\delta 47.6,115.7,124.8,125.6,128.1$, 128.7, 129.1, 120.5, 130.8, 148.2, 159.5, 161.4; 1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2c): $\delta 21.1,54.0,125.6,128.1,128.7,129.7,130.5,131.6,138.7,148.1 ;$ 1-(3-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (2d): $\delta$ 21.6, 53.6, 125.8, 126.0, 127.7, 128.7, 129.7, 131.3, 132.1, 133.1, 136.5, 138.9, 147.6.

Oxidation of ethylbenzene. A mixture of catalyst $\mathbf{1}(25 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{mg})$, ethylbenzene ( $25 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \mathrm{mg}$ ), TBHP ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 128 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and amyl acetate as internal standard ( 20 $\mathrm{mmol}, 26 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in water ( 4 mL ) was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was centrifugated and subsequently extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The oxidation products were confirmed by comparison with GC signal of the commercial acetophenone. The yields were calculated by GC. Quenching experiment of radicals was carried out in the presence of $p$-benzoquinone ( $5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.4 \mathrm{mg}$ ).

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C u}(\mathbf{I})_{6} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{( C u}(\mathbf{I I})\right.$-TPPP)] $\mathbf{2 D M F}$ (1). A mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPPP ( 20 mg , $0.017 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.525 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMF ( 8 mL ) was placed in a Teflon reactor $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h , and then was gradually cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1}$. The dark purple crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained in a $50 \%$ yield. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{Cu}_{7}$ : C, $36.86 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 2.30 \%$; N, 12.12\%. Found: C, $36.65 \%$; H, $2.11 \%$; N, $12.29 \%$. IR ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3421(m), 1669(m), 1506(s), 1484(m), 1406(s), 1383(m), 1361(s), 1344(m), 1312(w), 1270(m), 1167(w), 1152(w),

1058(w), 998(s), 964(w), 945(m), 807(m), 767(m).
Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{0.5}(\mathbf{A g}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{TPPP})\right] \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot$ DMF (2). A mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPPP ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 176 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMF ( 8 mL ) was placed in a Teflon reactor and heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h , and then it was gradually cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1}$. The dark purple crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ were achieved in a $31 \%$ yield. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Ag}_{2}$ : C, $58.49 \%$; H, $3.34 \%$; N, 20.00\%. Found: C, $58.81 \%$; H, 3.52\%; N, 20.39\%. IR (KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3419(w), 1668(m), 1517(s), 1482(m), 1459(w), 1404(m), 1382(s), 1361(s), 1337(s), 1314(m), 1268(s), 1150(m), 1124(w), 1055(s), 1004(s), 962(m), 944(s), 852(w), 807(m), 788(m), 767(m).

X-ray crystallography. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were recorded on an Oxford Diffraction Gemini R CCD diffractometer with graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) at 293 K . All absorption corrections were applied using a multi-scan technique. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ full-matrix least-squares using the SHELXTL-97 program within WINGX. ${ }^{1}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The carbon hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. Because of the presence of voids of about $11 \%$ of the crystal volume in $\mathbf{2}$, we tried to locate some solvents from the difference Fourier maps, however, not any suitable diffraction peaks were found. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables S4 and S5. Crystallographic data of the compounds are listed in Tables S6 and S7.
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Scheme S1. Chemical structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPPP.

(a)

(b)


Fig. S1. (a) Coordination modes of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{6} \mathrm{I}_{6}\right]$ cluster. (b) Coordination modes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-$ TPPP in 1. (c) View of the 2D network of 1.


Fig. S2. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$.


Fig. S3. View of the 1D double-chain of $\mathbf{2}$.


Fig. S4. Thermogravimetric curves of 1 (a) and 2 (b).

Thermogravimetric analyses were performed under nitrogen gas from 30 to 1000 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 1, the weight loss in the temperature range of $192-422{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the removal of DMF molecules (obsd $4.8 \%$, calcd $5.7 \%$ ). From 422 to $1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the weight loss is probably attributed to the decomposition of the network. For 2, the lose weight in the temperature range of $223-318^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the removal of DMF molecules (obsd $4.9 \%$, calcd $4.7 \%$ ). The network begins to decompose from $473^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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Fig. S5. (a) GC of the blank experiment using internal standard, phenylacetylene and benzyl chloride. (b) GC of the AAC experiment using benzyl chloride,
phenylacetylene, catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ and internal standard. (c) GC of the blank experiment of 2-fluorobenzyl chloride. (d) GC of the AAC experiment using 2-fluorobenzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ and internal standard. (e) GC of the blank experiment using 4-methylbenzyl chloride. (f) GC of the AAC experiment using 4methylbenzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst 1 and internal standard. (g) GC of the blank experiment using 3-methylbenzyl chloride. (h) GC of the AAC experiment using 3-methylbenzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst 1 and internal standard.



Fig. S6. (a) GC of the AAC experiment using benzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst $\mathbf{2}$ and internal standard. (b) GC of the AAC experiment using 2-fluorobenzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst 2 and internal standard. (c) GC of the AAC experiment using 4-methylbenzyl chloride, phenylacetylene, catalyst 2 and internal standard. (d) GC of the AAC experiment using 3-methylbenzyl chloride phenylacetylene, catalyst $\mathbf{2}$ and internal standard.

Table S1. Catalytic activities of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in the AAC reactions ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Chloride | Product | Catalyst | Yield $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |


${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: phenylacetylene ( 2 mmol ), benzyl chloride ( 1 mmol ), sodium
azide ( 1.2 mmol ), catalyst ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), methanol ( 4 mL ), water $(1 \mathrm{~mL}), 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield was calculated by GC.


1-(2-fluoro-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2b:

(c)

(d)

1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2c:

(e)


Fig. S7. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2a. (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2a. (c) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(2-fluoro-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2b. (d) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(2-fluoro-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2b. (e) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2c. (f) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2c. (g) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(3-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2d. (h) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1-(3-methyl-benzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2d.
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Fig. S8. Crystal structures of 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2a (a) and 1-(2-fluoro-benzyl) -4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 2b (b).
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Fig. S9. (a) View of the cavity diameter of 1. (b) Chemical structures of the startings and products used in the AAC reactions.

Table S2. Molecular dimensions of the startings and products used in the AAC reactions

|  | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phenylacetylene | 6.0 | 9.3 | 2.5 |
| 2-fluoro-benzyl azide | 7.6 | 10.3 | 3.6 |
| 4-methyl-benzyl azide | 8.0 | 10.1 | 4.1 |
| 3-methyl-benzyl azide | 9.0 | 9.5 | 4.2 |
| 2a | 13.5 | 10.1 | 6.6 |
| 2b | 13.5 | 10.1 | 6.6 |
| 2c | 15.4 | 10.7 | 7.0 |
| 2d | 13.6 | 11.1 | 6.8 |
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Fig. S10. (a) PXRD patterns of the simulated (black), the experimental (blue) and the AAC catalyzed by 1 (green). (b) PXRD patterns of the simulated (black), the experimental (blue) and the AAC catalyzed by 2 (green).
step 1




Scheme S2. Proposed mechanism of the AAC reaction in a "one-pot two-step domino" way by using catalyst 1 .


Fig. S11. GC of the reaction of benzyl chloride with sodium azide.
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Fig. S12. (a) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h . (b) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 2 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h . (c) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 2 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 24 h . (d) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation
experiment using 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 2 h . (e) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 4 h . (f) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 6 h . (g) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 8 h . (h) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using $\mathbf{1}$ (1 mol\%), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 10 h . (i) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 1 ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 10 h . (j) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using $\mathbf{1}$ (5 mol\%), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h . (k) GC of the blank experiment using internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h . (l) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPPP}$, internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h . (m) GC of the ethylbenzene oxidation experiment using 1 (1 mol\%), internal standard, ethylbenzene and TBHP for 12 h after 5 cycles. (n) GC of the quenching experiment using 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), internal standard, ethylbenzene, TBHP and $p$-benzoquinone ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) for 12 h .

Table S3. Catalytic activities of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in the oxidation of ethylbenzene ${ }^{a}$.

| Entry | Catalyst | Reaction time (h) | Yield (\%) $^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 12 | $>99$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 12 | 66 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 24 | 80 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 37 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 49 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 6 | 73 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | 84 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 91 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {c }}$ | 12 | 88 |
| 10 | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {c }}$ |  | $>99$ |


| 11 | blank | 12 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{T P P P}$ | 12 | 60 |
| 13 | $\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{d}}$ | 12 | 90 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: ethylbenzene ( 2.5 mmol ), TBHP ( 1 mmol ), catalyst ( 0.025 $\mathrm{mmol})$, water ( 4 mL ), $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield was calculated by GC. ${ }^{c} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading. ${ }^{d}$ Fifth cycle.
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Fig. S13. (a) PXRD patterns of the simulated (black), the experimental (blue) and the ethylbenzene oxidation catalyzed by $\mathbf{1}$ (orange). (b) PXRD patterns of the simulated (black), the experimental (blue) and the ethylbenzene oxidation catalyzed by 2 (orange).


Fig. S14. Proposed mechanism of ethylbenzene oxidation using TBHP as oxidant.

Photocatalytic reduction of $\mathbf{K}_{2} \mathbf{C r}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{7}$ under visible light irradiation. Hexavalent chromium $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ is extraordinary toxic in environment, which may cause inherited gene defects and cancer by inhalation. ${ }^{1}$ While another oxidation state of chromium $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ is harmless and essential trace metal in human nutrition. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, reduction from $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ is necessary and effective way to treat chromium-containing waste water. ${ }^{3}$ In that case, selection of appropriate catalyst to reduce $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ has gradually become research hotspot. In this study, we selected $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ as $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ source and isopropanol as hole scavenger. The photocatalytic reduction from $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to Cr (III) with the use of catalysts $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were monitored by UV-vis absorption spectra. Typically, the aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and crystalline catalysts were stirred in the dark for 30 minutes to achieve adsorption-desorption equilibrium. The photocatalytic reduction of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ was performed under Xe lamp ( $\lambda>420 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). As illustrated in Figure 3, after 3 hours of irradiation, the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ ions were almost completely reduced to $\operatorname{Cr}($ III $)(95.4 \%$ ) by catalyst 1 and the color of the solution changed from pale yellow to colorless (Fig. S13). For 2, the reduction rate is slightly lower than that of $\mathbf{1}$ and only $45.6 \%$ of the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ was reduced within the same time. Thus, catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ is much more effective than $\mathbf{2}$ during the reduction from $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$. Notably, the reduction reaction of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ proceeds via a clear isosbestic point
at 315 nm , demonstrating that the redox reaction is proceeding smoothly without forming multiple products. It also should be pointed out that most of the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ reduction occurred at low pH values or heating temperatures. ${ }^{4}$ For the reduction reaction of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ using catalysts 1 and 2, a highly desired conversion rate was accomplished under the relatively mild conditions. Thereby, $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are particularly promising economical efficient catalysts for the reduction of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ ions.

On the basis of the experimental data discussed above, a tentative mechanism is proposed for the photoreduction activities of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ toward $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$. Under the visible light irradiation, porphyrin-based $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ was firstly excited to generate excited state electrons (Fig. S14). ${ }^{5}$ Meanwhile, the isopropanol on the surface of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ scavenge the holes produced by catalysts, and turns into reducing radicals. ${ }^{6}$ Then it further transforms to harmless products such as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{7}$ Apparently, this charge transfer process restrains the recombination of electrons and holes. ${ }^{8}$ The electrons accumulated on catalysts $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ finally resulted in the implementation of reduction from $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III}) .{ }^{9}$
(1) J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, K. J. Tiemann, V. Armendariz, L. BessOberto, R. R. Chianelli, J. Rios, J. G. Parsons and G. Gamez, J. Hazard. Mater., 2000, 80, 175.
(2) Y. Lin, W. Cai, X. Tian, X. Liu, G. Wang and C. Liang, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 991.
(3) P. Miretzky and A. F. Cirelli, J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, 180, 1.
(4) X.-L. He, Y.-P. Liu, K.-N. Gong, Z.-G. Han and X.-L. Zhai, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 1215.
(5) H. Wang, X. Yuan, Y. Wu, X. Chen, L. Leng and G. Zeng, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 32531.
(6) R. Liang, L. Shen, F. Jing, W. Wu, N. Qin, R. Lin and L. Wu, Appl. Catal. B, 2015, 162, 245.
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(8) L. Shen, L. Huang, S. Liang, R. Liang, N. Qin and L. Wu, RSC Adv., 2014, 4,
2546.
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Table S4. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg) for $\mathbf{1}$.

| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.985(6) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.991(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)^{\# 4}$ | 1.991(6) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)^{\# 4}$ | 1.985(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.5005(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 2.6730(16) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | $2.7690(18)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 2.190(8) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.068(9) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 2.6022(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | $2.6856(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.5925(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 2}$ | 2.075(7) | $\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.5461(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $2.6235(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{N}(8)^{\# 3}$ | 2.257(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{N}(9)^{\# 3}$ | 2.050(6) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)^{\# 4}$ | 90.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 78.2(3) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 116.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 123.3(3) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 119.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 95.9(3) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 116.24(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | 140.2(3) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | 139.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | 58.92(4) | $\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | 59.11(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 106.6(2) | $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 101.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 116.95(5) | $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 106.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 111.76(5) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{I}(3)^{\# 1}$ | 112.39(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 2}-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | 127.4(2) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | 125.93(5) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | 55.39(4) | $\mathrm{I}(3){ }^{\# 1}-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | 58.66(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(9)^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{N}(8)^{\# 3}$ | 77.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(9){ }^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 138.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 99.29(16) | $\mathrm{N}(9)^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 105.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8){ }^{\# 3}-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 109.87(18) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(4)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 114.17(5) |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: ${ }^{\# 1}-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2$; ${ }^{\# 2}-$ $x+1, y+2,-z+1 / 2 ;{ }^{\# 3} x, y+1, z ;{ }^{\# 4}-x+3 / 2,-y-1 / 2,-z+1$.

Table S5. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 2.

| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.088(4)$ | $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.076(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.081(5)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2.077(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(18)$ | $2.574(7)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(19)$ | $2.247(7)$ |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 1}$ | $2.459(7)$ | $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(11)^{\# 1}$ | $2.303(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.560(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $175.33(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $90.03(19)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $89.85(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $90.11(19)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $89.88(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(19)-\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(11)^{\# 1}$ | $168.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(19)-\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 1}$ | $116.4(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(11)^{\# 1}-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 1}$ | $71.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(19)-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $81.6(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)^{\# 1}-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $86.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 1}-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $124.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(19)-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(18)$ | $69.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{\# 1}-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(18)$ | $118.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)^{\# 1}-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(18)$ | $103.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(18)$ | $131.8(3)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atom: ${ }^{\# 1} x,-y, z+1 / 2$.

Table S6. Crystal data and structure refinements for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

| Compound | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{Cu}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{158} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{Ag}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| $M r$ | 2541.69 | 3122.30 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $C 2 / c$ | $C 2 / c$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $32.206(3)$ | $22.3750(14)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $8.8020(6)$ | $17.7880(10)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $30.440(3)$ | $35.5640(19)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $108.120(11)$ | $95.971(5)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $8201.1(13)$ | $14077.9(14)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 | 4 |
| $\left.D_{\text {calc }}(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm})^{-3}\right)$ | 2.059 | 1.473 |
| $F(000)$ | 4868 | 6344 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0466 | 0.0615 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.014 | 1.008 |
| $R_{1}{ }^{a}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 0.0661 | 0.0845 |
| $w R_{2}{ }^{b}($ all data $)$ | 0.1791 | 0.2543 |
| $\left.a_{1} R_{1}=\Sigma\| \| F_{o}\left\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right\| \Sigma\left\|F_{o}\right\| .{ }^{b} w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma w\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ |  |  |

Table S7. Crystal data and structure refinements for $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$.

| Compound | 2a | 2b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Mr | 235.28 | 253.28 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1}$ | Pc |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.9820(12) | 5.6730(7) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 5.766(2) | 15.311(2) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 13.788(2) | 15.1600(19) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 100.341(2) | 103.500(12) |
| $\gamma\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 624.3(3) | 1280.4(3) |
| Z | 2 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.252 | 1.314 |
| $F(000)$ | 248 | 528 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0652 | 0.0438 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.046 | 1.025 |
| $R_{1}{ }^{a}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 0.0512 | 0.0564 |
| $w R_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (all data) | 0.1308 | 0.1740 |

